

Date: August 22, 2020

From: Ricardo Beas

To: President Michael V. Drake, UC Regents, Executive Vice-President UC Health,
University of California, et al
Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal; Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

**RE: COMPLAINT AGAINST UC PRESIDENT NAPOLITANO'S UNLAWFUL ORDER
OF JULY 31, 2020 REGARDING THE FLU VACCINE**

To all parties involved,

I request that President Napolitano's order of July 31, 2020, regarding mandatory inoculation with the flu vaccine in order to attend school be immediately rescinded and declared null and void, for among other things, not being founded in fact or law, it is contrary to the health of some or all students at UC, is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, beyond her or the University of California's authority to deny schooling to students that refuse to take any vaccines, including the flu vaccine, and is in general in violation of me and my family's God-given, Conscience, Personal, Religious, Natural Law, Common Law, Federal and State Constitutional, and International Rights, hereinafter "rights." This request is based on the following findings of facts and conclusions of law:

1. **NAPOLITANO LACKS AUTHORITY.** While President Napolitano has authority to issue orders as president of the University of California, she does not have any authority to violate any man or woman's rights. Her Oath of Office is limited to complying with the U.S. and California Constitutions, which constitutions require her to protect and not violate, or allow others to violate our rights, including our religious rights, as well as our "Bodily Integrity" rights. As noted in Wikipedia,

"Bodily integrity is the inviolability of the physical body and emphasizes the importance of personal autonomy, self-ownership, and self-determination of human beings over their own bodies. In the field of human rights, violation of the bodily integrity of another is regarded as an unethical infringement, intrusive, and possibly criminal."¹

2. **UC CANNOT REMOVE RELIGIOUS RIGHTS TO REFUSE VACCINATION.** Removing or not allowing any religious exemptions to vaccination to students is a violation of their rights, in particular the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Section 4 Article 1 of the California Constitution.

3. **THE ORDER DISCRIMINATES.** Under Napolitano's order, UC discriminates against students that don't vaccinate in the following ways:

- a) Students that don't vaccinate will be discriminated against by the University of California (hereinafter UC), who is threatening to deny attendance to such unvaccinated students, but allows the vaccinated to attend, therefore creating a category of students that will be prejudiced by such policies and will be discriminated against.

¹ See U.S.C 241, *Conspiracy against rights*; and 18 U.S. 242, *Deprivation of rights under color of law*.

- b) Napolitano's order allows UC employees to invoke their religious right not to vaccinate, yet does not allow students to do the same, therefore it is discriminating against students and placing UC employees' religious rights above those of students.
- c) Men and women like me that are against forced vaccination and who refuse certain or all vaccines have been categorized as anti-vaxxers. Accepting that term for the sake of argument, we the anti-vaxxers are being discriminated against simply for standing firm on our sincere personal, conscience and religious beliefs that the flu vaccine (a) can be dangerous for our health and spiritual's wellbeing, (b) that the flu vaccine will not help alleviate any strained hospital resources, and (c) that we have a God-given right to refuse to receive vaccines due to their lack of proper safety testing, lack of use of true saline placebos in their pre-licensing studies, their proven and documented injury to the recipients,² and the fraudulent intent by vaccine manufacturers to hide data that shows the dangers of their vaccines.³ And,
- d) To discriminate against one group, like those that don't vaccinate, is the same as discriminating against someone based on their religious beliefs, appearance, sex, race, color, economic status, political affiliation, physical appearance, physical conditions, handicaps, and/or mental disabilities. Discrimination is discrimination. The lives of those that don't vaccinate matter as much as those that do vaccinate, and they are looking in the best interests for themselves and society, for all the reasons noted and referenced herein.

At the same time, employees also have the right not to receive the flu or any other vaccines, as they too are men and woman as described herein, and regardless of their contractual agreement with an employer, they have superior authority over their body under any circumstance, based on personal, conscience, health and/or religious beliefs and rights.

4. NAPOLITANO IS NOT THE STATE'S HEALTH OFFICER. In Napolitano's order she implies directly or indirectly that the order is primarily being issued to help minimize the potential of our state hospital system being overwhelmed once the flu season hits and COVID-19 and other diseases claim their victims at the same time. This is unproven and therefore a fallacy, and it is all based on speculation and artificial models that often have been proven wrong, mismanaged, false and or purposefully manipulated.⁴ It is not the job of Napolitano or UC, or is it within their limited authority, to determine the state of our hospital system and how to protect it; UC is an educational quasi-governmental agency of the state with a limited charter, which cannot step outside of its jurisdictional boundaries and authority, including infringing on the students' rights as described herein.

² See the story of triplets that received a vaccine and all three immediately became victims of severe life-long neurological disorders within hours of each other, at <https://tinyurl.com/Vaxxed-II-Triplets>. To see the many stories of children and adults affected by all types of vaccines, see the documentary "*Vaxxed: The People's Truth*," at <https://www.vaxxed2.com/>.

³ See my *Analysis of Vaccine Safety Claims* flowcharts, which prove that no vaccine in the CDC childhood or adult vaccine schedules has been tested against any true saline placebo study groups, and which also uncovers how Merck, the manufacturer of the Gardasil vaccine for teenagers, hid injury suffered by that vaccine by manipulating and hiding one study group (the only one ever revealed by the vaccine manufacturers) that only received a true saline placebo solution and suffered no injury, compared to all the other groups that received the vaccines or concoctions with aluminum and other toxic chemicals contained in the Gardasil vaccine itself, at <https://tinyurl.com/Vaccine-Flowchart-Summary>. See also my **Gardasil Revised Table 10**, using the vaccine package insert, at <https://tinyurl.com/Gardasil-Revised-Table>.

⁴ See the original model used to lockdown our country due to the alleged COVID-19 pandemic, which discredited author's study originally estimate that 2.2 million Americans would die of COVID-19 in the typical flu season months, and whose numbers were significantly reduced once the study was scrutinized by other scientists. See the discrediting of this Imperial College study model at <https://tinyurl.com/Imperial-College-Covid19-Stats>.

5. **THE FLU SHOT WILL INCREASE HOSPITALIZATION.** Under her misguided and erroneous reasoning, Napolitano believes that if persons are vaccinated against the flu, that this will help reduce hospitalizations in our state, yet this is unfounded and incorrect for the following reasons:

- a) ***The Flu Shot Increases the Chances of Getting COVID-19.*** A study by the U.S. Department of Defense shows that the flu vaccine increases the chances of contracting COVID-19 by 36%,⁵ also proving that a flu vaccine will not help stop a person from getting COVID-19. This means that promoting vaccines or trying to force them among the student population at UC campuses, can result in a higher number of hospitalizations, contrary to the supposed purpose of the order.
- b) ***Flu Shot Recipients Sheds the Virus.*** From babies to adults, those that are vaccinated with the flu shot shed the virus 6.3 times more than those that are not vaccinated.⁶ Therefore, the flu shot can increase hospitalizations. Not only that, in typical periodic outbreaks of supposed vaccine-preventable diseases, it is always those that are vaccinated, even with all their booster shots, that get re-infected, which appears to show that those that get the vaccines are more susceptible to the disease once such virus returns to the community the next time.⁷
- c) ***The Flu Shot Causes Spontaneous Abortions.*** A study shows that women that are pregnant who receive the flu shot have a higher rate of spontaneous abortions than those that have not been vaccinated.⁸ Therefore, any pregnant women, whether aware of her pregnancy or not, is at risk of spontaneous abortion if coerced to get a flu vaccine in order to attend school. Such flu vaccine-induced spontaneous abortions would increase hospitalizations.

6. **THE ORDER INFRINGES ON A STUDENT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PUBLIC EDUCATION.** Any UC order that prohibits a student from attending school solely on the basis of not being vaccinated is in violation of Sections 1 and 5, Article 9 of the California Constitution, as such children or adults, until proven otherwise, are free of symptoms and disease, and not contagious to anyone, and therefore are not a danger to anyone in the UC community and campuses.

7. **JACOBSON WILL NOT APPLY TO JUSTIFY THE UC ORDER.** If UC and its legal counsel believe that UC has the authority to limit and determine who is required to receive the vaccine and who is exempt in order to attend school, and intends on claiming such authority under the U.S. Supreme Court case *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, that case precedent does not apply to me or my family, nor to any other present or future student of UC. The *Jacobson* case has to be viewed based on the present state of affairs as to vaccines, the true science behind them, who is behind them in the private and public sector, and the intent behind health and school authorities to force any man, woman or child to be vaccinated in order to attend school or work, for which vaccine manufacturers' lobbyists promote compulsory vaccination with such authorities, regardless of a person's health or previous bad vaccine experience.

I hereby give legal notice to all parties named and referenced herein that me and those in my class are not fictitious entities, creatures of the state, under the jurisdiction of any earthly government, as we

⁵ See DOD study noting that the flu vaccine increases the chances of contracting COVID-19 by 36%, at <https://tinyurl.com/Flu-Vaccine-Increases-COVID-19>.

⁶ See studies on shedding after the flu shot, for babies and adults, at <https://tinyurl.com/Flu-Shot-Shedding>, and <https://tinyurl.com/Flu-Vaccine-Shedding-Children>.

⁷ See newspaper articles showing that it is typically the vaccinated, even with their required booster shots, that are the ones more susceptible to viruses that vaccines are supposed to immunize against and help prevent re-infection. See <https://tinyurl.com/Vaccine-Newspaper-Article-1> and <https://tinyurl.com/Vaccine-Newspaper-Article-2>.

⁸ See study on the flu shot increasing the rate of spontaneous abortions, at <https://tinyurl.com/Flu-Shot-Abortion>.

have no nexus or substantial relationship with such government. In this regard, as to our God-given rights, the most important point made in the *Jacobson* case was the following:

*“There is, of course, a sphere within which **the individual may assert the supremacy of his own will and rightfully dispute the authority of any human government, especially of any free government existing under a written constitution, to interfere with the exercise of that will.**”*

To prove that *Jacobson* is no longer applicable precedent in vaccine-related cases today, see my analysis of the July 23, 2020, Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and Alan Dershowitz debate regarding the government’s lack of authority to coerce, compel, or force vaccination upon any man, woman or child who is against it for any reasons.⁹

8. UNIVERSAL REASON WHY NOT TO VACCINATE AGAINST OUR WILL. The ultimate conclusion we can reach based on all of the above information and facts provided, and using written law established since antiquity, the precedent above all present-day-alleged legislation and law, is that ***We are All Children of God, regardless of whether some or all of us knows or believes it,*** and our legal rights come from God, as referenced in the Declaration of Independence. We are a part of God, a drop in the ocean we call God. We are The Temple of God and His Spirit lives within us, as clearly we all know in our hearts what is good and what is bad; and as the pure essence of the Common Law, which *“rules us from the grave,”*¹⁰ confirms and dictates to us, we have a right to total freedom and happiness so long as we don’t “actually” harm others.

The Kingdom of God Is Within Us,¹¹ and we must protect our body from harm, and the flu vaccine has been proven to be ineffective, it can cause harm, and will not make any positive difference in helping in any way any present or future resources of our state’s hospital system, which we were told would be overwhelmed because of COVID-19, but ended up mostly empty, like the Navy hospital ships that President Trump sent to both our Pacific and Atlantic coasts. The COVID-19 alleged pandemic has been badly managed, due to inefficiencies, ineptitude and/or purposefully used by health authorities, including Dr. Anthony Fauci, to benefit himself¹² and others,¹³ particularly vaccine manufacturers and those that promote mandatory vaccines in all three branches of our federal and state governments, academia, non-profit organizations, medical institutions and news and social media outlets.

Because God gives us and we derive from God our right to self-preservation and bodily autonomy to protect ourselves, our children and those in our care, and because our rights are superior to

⁹ See my treatise on the Kennedy and Dershowitz debate regarding the government’s right to force vaccination on a man or woman, any Child of God, at <https://tinyurl.com/Kennedy-Dershowitz-Debate-Vaxx>.

¹⁰ See Common Law Pleading, by Koffer and Reppy, Intro.Ch.1, West 1969: *“It has been said that due process of law must be understood to mean law in the regular course of administration through courts of justice according to those rules and forms which have been established for the protection of private rights... For ‘although lawyers and judges have buried the Common Law, THE COMMON LAW RULES US FROM THE GRAVE.’”*

¹¹ See the King James Bible, Luke 17:21, where Jesus said, *“Neither shall they say, Lo here! or, lo there! for, behold, The Kingdom of God Is Within You.”*

¹² See the documentary, *Plandemic – InDoctorNation*, which exposes Dr. Fauci and Bill Gates’ conflict of interest and possible fraud and criminal activity when it comes to promoting and trying to force a COVID-19 and other vaccines upon the masses at a global level, at <https://tinyurl.com/Plandemic-InDoctorNation-Movie>. See Judy Mikovits’ full *Plandemic* interview regarding Fauci, et al, at <https://tinyurl.com/Judy-Mikovits-Plandemic>.

¹³ See my complaint against the San Diego County COVID-19 Health order, at <https://tinyurl.com/RBLetter-COVID-NewsomAuthority>.

any UC orders potentially or actually affecting our health negatively, UC must rescind and declare null and void President Napolitano's order regarding mandatory flu vaccination in any way, shape or form.

I adopt herein all my prior correspondence, all footnotes, exhibits, noted internet articles and videos by reference and incorporation.

NOTICE OF LIABILITY AND POTENTIAL LOSS OF GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY

This is not the first time I have given personal notice to UC and its Regents regarding planned attempts for force vaccination on its students, in violation of their rights as referenced herein.¹⁴ I am hereby giving all involved parties timely, fair and legal notice that this document is further a Notice of Liability to each and every man or woman, fictitious person and/or legal entity violating any of me and my family's rights as declared, referenced and used herein. If any of my family members were to lose any school days due to their lack of vaccination of the flu or any other vaccine that UC may require from students, I value each day of lost schooling at \$100,000 U.S. dollars, payable individually on demand by any and all men or woman, as a representative of any governmental agency and/or fictitious entity, or personally as a man or woman. This is a tacit agreement that you as servants of those that put you in authority agree with all the terms as noted in this document.

Further, violation of your Oath of Office and acting outside of your authority and violating our rights will result in you losing all governmental immunity for acting outside of your limited authority, for abuse of power and for malicious intent to coerce me and/or any of my family members to take such toxic vaccines in order to attend school, subjecting you to potential and certain civil action and criminal prosecution.¹⁵

REMEDY AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Based on the above, I respectfully request that UC immediately rescind President Napolitano's order of July 31, 2020, and that it declare it null and void, as regards a student requirement to get the flu or any other vaccine in order to attend school. To be specific, that students and UC employees be allowed to attend school with or without any particular vaccine based on their God-given, personal, conscience, medical, and/or religious beliefs and rights referenced herein, without any limitations, requirements to wear face covering or masks, or the like, etc.

Respectfully Submitted,

Pastor Ricardo Beas

Natural Law Church of Health and Healing

¹⁴ See my June 14, 2016 letter to all California UC Regents, titled "Notice of Violation of Students' Legal Rights, Regents Oath of Office and Notice of 'Private Person' Liability," at <https://tinyurl.com/UC-Regent-Notice-Vaccines-2016>.

¹⁵ See *Millbrook v. United States*, 569 U.S. 50 (2013); see also *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971); *Scheuer v. Rhodes*, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 683, 1687 (1974); *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908).