

Date: June 3, 2019
Pages: 7 Including cover
From: Ricardo Beas, RicardoBeasV@hotmail.com

FAX COVER SHEET

To: Medical Board of California
Executive Office/ Office of Public Affairs
Denise Pines, President; Ronald Lewis, Vice-President
Members of the Medical Board and Executive Staff
Fx/Em: (916) 263-2387 -- webmaster@mbc.ca.gov

Re: OPPOSITION TO SB 276 IN ANY FORM

LEGAL NOTICE -- NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL/NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT -- LEGAL NOTICE

President Pines and Board Members,

While I applaud and are grateful to several Board Members for their opposition of SB 276 in its present form, and are also grateful that the Board's final decision was to only support this bill in concept, is it disheartening to listen to Board Members not see or acknowledge the big picture, avoiding the elephant in the room.

While several of the Board Members voiced their concerns about how SB 276 could limit the allowed medical exemptions to only very serious injuries, the focus should have been over the 100+ parents that spoke and many others that wrote against the bill and the many of those that told their stories of vaccine injury. The outcry of Board Members should have been over the number of diverse injuries explained by the parents, proving that the CDC's general statement that "such reactions from a vaccine are very rare, estimated at about 1 in a million doses," in nothing less than a fallacy and a lie.

The Principle of Parsimony is easily applicable to this physical, palpable relationship between vaccines and serious injury. The Parsimony principle is basic to all science and tells us to choose the simplest scientific explanation that fits the evidence. In the case of vaccination, the following basic facts repeated over and over again by the parents who made comments are the following:

- (1) A CHILD HAS PERFECT HEALTH
- (2) THE CHILD GOES TO A WELLNESS VISIT
- (3) THE DOCTOR DECLARES THE CHILD TO BE IN EXCELLENT HEALTH AND RECOMMENDS VACCINATION
- (4) IMMEDIATE AND SERIOUS INJURY HAPPENS AFTER VACCINATION
- (3) NO EXPLANATION FOR INJURY OTHER THAN VACCINATION IS LOGICAL OR PLAUSIBLE

As the attached documents will show and prove to you, the major vaccine manufacturers know very well the harm their vaccines can and are causing and it is because of this that they never due true saline placebo comparisons in pre-licensing vaccine studies. Instead, they compare any given vaccine with (1) the same vaccine together with other vaccines, (2) other vaccines,

(3) between multiple doses of that vaccine, and (4) in the case of MMR, no pre-licensing studies were reported. See Exhibit 2. **This lack of true placebo testing and all the other irresponsible acts by the major vaccine manufacturers mentioned herein are clearly noted in the vaccine inserts themselves.**

Why don't they compare their vaccines with a true inert saline solutions placebo? Because such saline solution, made of up water and salt, in such small vaccine quantities, will never cause any side effects, not to mention any serious injury or death. From Wikipedia, "Placebo controlled study":

*"A separate control group receives a sham "placebo" treatment which is specifically **designed to have no real effect** ... **Without a placebo group to compare against, it is not possible to know whether the treatment itself had any effect.**"* See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Placebo-controlled_study.

In the CDC website, in a section titled "History of Vaccine Safety" it states as follows:

*"**Before vaccines are licensed by the FDA, they are tested extensively in the laboratory and with human subjects to ensure their safety ... Because the vaccinated group can be compared to those who have not received the vaccine, researchers are able to identify true reactions.**"* See <http://tinyurl.com/CDC-History-of-Vaccines>.

What is the vaccine-related proof that the saline solution does not cause any adverse serious injury? Merck did the only reported pre-licensing true saline placebo studies for their Gardasil vaccine. In these studies 590+ subjects were only given the saline solution. In all 590+ cases, not a single serious injury was reported. Yet, instead of reporting this finding in their insert and/or to the authorities, like HHS, Merck created a third group that received toxic aluminum and other adjuvants and ingredients in their HPV vaccine, combined the true placebo group with the aluminum+ group, then only included two columns in their vaccine insert table, compared the similarity of results and use that to claim that the Gardasil vaccine causes no harm. See Exhibit 3. See also Dr. Stanley A. Plotkin deposition, Exhibit 4, at <http://tinyurl.com/HPV-Placebo-Study>.

At the same time, Merck included Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4, which separated all three groups, but this was only in relation to the **"Injection-Site Adverse Reactions"**. The tables related to **Common Systemic Adverse Reactions**, from Table 5 to Table 10 only had two columns, with the combined aluminum/true placebo groups. See insert, at <https://tinyurl.com/Gardasil-Vaccine-Insert>.

This means that if we revise the Gardasil vaccine insert Table 10 to include three columns, the table would show no injury for the true placebo group, **while at the same time it would show that both the Gardasil vaccine group and the Aluminum+ group had serious injuries in high percentages reported in such pre-licensing clinical studies.** Here is a model of such revised Table 10 (see also Exhibit 3):

HPV INSERT REVISED TABLE 10 (see Plotkin Deposition)			
Conditions	GARDASIL (N = 3,092) n (%)	Only AAHS Control (N = 2,029) n (%)	Only Saline Placebo (N = 274) n (%)
Alopecia Areata	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ankylosing Spondylitis	1 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Arthralgia/Arthritis/Reactive Arthritis	30 (1.0)	17 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
Autoimmune Thrombocytopenia	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Diabetes Mellitus Type 1	3 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Hyperthyroidism	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Hypothyroidism**	3 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Inflammatory Bowel Disease***	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Myocarditis	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Proteinuria	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Psoriasis	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Vitiligo	2 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
All Conditions	43 (1.4%)	32 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)

Based on the above model table, the Gardasil vaccine and its **aluminum-containing false placebo, which ingredients are common in many, if not all vaccines**, are causing serious conditions and injury on average 1.4% of the time, which in no way reflects the fraudulent “one in a million injury rate” claimed by the CDC. See <https://tinyurl.com/HPV-CDC-Factsheet>.

Further, as also shown in Table 3, many of these pre-licensing vaccine studies where only monitored for short periods of time, in some cases 5 days, in the case of Gardasil 14 days, which clearly is not sufficient time to identify any possible future serious side effects, as confirmed recently by Dr. Stanley Plotkin. See Plotkin references in Exhibits 2 and 3. Further, in none of the vaccines “recommended” by the CDC has there been a reported study that looked at carcinogenicity and fertility. And if you see all the tables in all the childhood vaccine inserts, you will only see results for “solicited conditions”, which do not include many of the side effects noted on the inserts, including death, nor do they ask for autism or SIDS reports. See Gardasil vaccine insert, at <https://tinyurl.com/Gardasil-Vaccine-Insert> as an example.

If we apply these facts to all childhood vaccines and their inserts, which have had no true placebo comparisons, it can easily be concluded that in such vaccine insert tables showing serious side effects and conditions, that **such noted recorded injuries are indeed caused by the vaccine itself**, confirming the harm of vaccines, as claimed by the many people making comments in the SB 276 hearing, because the saline solution would not cause such similar injury.

CONCLUSION AND REQUEST

The evidence presented herein proves beyond a doubt the dangers of vaccines, or if nothing else, the lack of proof that they are safe, for all children, not to mention those more sensitives, like the ones you heard described in the May 28, 2019 hearing. If either things are correct, then it further proves that (1) the major vaccine manufacturers have committed fraud upon the public, (2) have infiltrated or bought of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, who parrots the vaccine manufacturers statements of safe vaccines, and (3) the major vaccine manufacturers are working together with legislators, maybe even health departments through organizations such as the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), to introduce bills such as SB 277 and SB 276 in all states of our nation to protect both their interested and further to maintain their lie about vaccine safety.

The question is, as implied by several speakers in the SB 276 Board hearing, is this Board or some of its members working to help vaccine manufacturers achieve their ultimate goal of government-imposed mandatory vaccination? While there were multiple Board Members which questioned the benefit and possible dangers of SB 276, other Board Members worked hard to support the bill, regardless of the opposition, by even suggesting that the Board vote supporting SB 276 “in concept,” leaving all of us wondering how deep this corruption flows. In fact, in the Boards Legislative Analysis presented for the SB 276 hearing it states that the implementation of this **bill would have zero fiscal impact**, contrary to all the evidence that shows it will cost the state millions of dollars to implement.

For all the reasons stated above, I urge you to withdraw any all support of SB 276, that you vote against it and that you reconvene a new hearing as soon as possible if necessary to do so.

I further request that this Board reconsider its support of SB 277 and that it work with groups and organizations such and the Children’s Health Defense Fund to reevaluate the whole vaccine mandate that has been imposed upon our children for years, to their detriment as seen in Exhibit 1.

You, as public officials took an oath of office to uphold the U.S. and California Constitutions, which constitutions require you to protect our God-given and constitutional rights to be secure of our bodies and to not violate our religious rights. If you violate our rights, you will be violating your oath of office, acting outside of your authority and as such, you would lose all governmental immunity, making your personally liable for your unconstitutional actions. See Millbrook v. United States, 569 U.S. 50 (2013); see also Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971); Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 683, 1687 (1974); Ex parte Young, 209 U. S. 123 (1908).

I am hereby giving your legal notice and making you aware of the fraud perpetrated by BigVaxxPharma. The swamp in being drained on many fronts. Lawsuits are coming. History will judge you on this existential issue. Act accordingly and please do the right thing.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ricardo Beas -- Chula Vista, California


PROOF OF THE VACCINE FRAUD

Exhibit 1: Chart showing a relationship between the increase in the number of CDC recommended vaccines and chronic illness Prevalence.

Exhibit 2: Chart showing that all childhood vaccines had a very short study period and that no true saline placebo comparison was ever done on any of the vaccines, except for Gardasil. Also no testing for carcinogenicity and effects on fertility.

Exhibit 3: Chart showing how Merck attempted to hide the true saline placebo group in their Gardasil insert by combining the true saline placebo (zero events reported) with an aluminum/AAHS control group. The first two columns are from the insert.¹

Exhibit 4: A video of the highest authority on vaccines and major vaccine manufacturers' consultant, representative and promoter, Dr. Stanley Plotkin, confirming the fraud that has been perpetrated against humanity with the lies by vaccine manufacturers of proper testing and proof of safe vaccines for the masses. See Plotkin's deposition at www.tinyurl.com/HPV-Placebo-Study.



† Bethel et. Al. 2011. A National and State Profile of Leading Health Problems and Health Care Quality for US Children: Key Insurance Disparities and Across-State Variations. Academic Pediatrics, at <https://tinyurl.com/Bethel-Study>. * Cleave et. al. 2010. Dynamics of Obesity and Chronic Health Conditions Among Children and Youth. JAMA, at <https://tinyurl.com/Cleave-Study>. by PRBWorks, Rev 2

¹ See also CDC website, History of Vaccine Safety, "Before vaccines are licensed by the FDA, they are tested extensively in the laboratory and with human subjects to ensure their safety ... **Because the vaccinated group can be compared to those who have not received the vaccine, researchers are able to identify true reactions.**" At tinyurl.com/CDC-History-of-Vaccines.

BREAKDOWN OF CHILDHOOD VACCINE INSERTS' PRE-LICENSING STUDIES

	VACCINE/Breakdown Tables/ Groups Compared (see Insert section 6.1)	VACCINE MANUFACTURER & BRAND NAME	# In Study	Monitor Period	Saline Placebo Group	Cancer Study	Fertility Study
	Hepatitis B / None -- In two sets of studies, there were only vaccinated children. In a third set they compared those that received three doses with a group that received two doses	Merck: Recombivax HB	147 1,252 Unk.	5 d	No	No	No
	Diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis (DTaP or Tdap) / Yes -- Three studies in US, 4,198: participants were enrolled to receive four consecutive doses of Pentacel. Fourth study in Canada, 1,782 participants previously vaccinated with three doses of Pentacel received a fourth dose. Compared vaccine with other vaccines	(a) Sanofi Pasteur: Pentacel	5,980 1,782	3 d	No	No	No
	Diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis (DTaP or Tdap) / Yes -- Compares Daptacel vaccine to DT and DTP	(b) Sanofi Pasteur: DAPTACEL	8,197	14 d 2 months	No	No	No
	Diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis (DTaP or Tdap) /Yes -- Compared Adacel to DECAVAC (Tetanus and Diphtheria Toxoids Adsorbed; manufactured by Sanofi Pasteur Inc., Swiftwater, PA)	(c) Sanofi Pasteur: Adacel	7,143	14 d 6 months	No	No	No
	Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) / Yes -- Compares groups that received 1, 2 and 3 doses of DAPTACEL + IPOL + ActHIB Vaccines with a group that received DAPTACEL + ActHIB Vaccines	Sanofi Pasteur: ActHIB	110 1,454	48 hrs. 3 d 30 d	No	No	No
	Pneumococcal conjugate or polysaccharide / Tables -- Compares Prevnar 13 with Prevnar	Pfizer: Prevnar 13	7,489	4 d 7 d	No	No	No
	Inactivated poliovirus (IPV) / Yes -- Compares IPV only schedules and sequential IPV-OPV schedules; compares IPOL with IPOL + DTP	Sanofi Pasteur: IPOL	+700 + 3,000 (exact number not reported)	18 Months	No	No	No

BREAKDOWN OF CHILDHOOD VACCINE INSERTS' PRE-LICENSING STUDIES

	VACCINE/Breakdown Tables/ Groups Compared (see Insert section 6.1)	VACCINE MANUFACTURER & BRAND NAME	# In Study	Monitor Period	Saline Placebo Group	Cancer Study	Fertility Study
	Measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) / No -- No pre-license studies reported	Merck: M-M-R II	Unk.	Unk.	No	No	No
	Varicella (chickenpox) / Yes -- Says no placebo-controlled group in current vaccine. Mentions placebo-controlled group, but the placebo contained 45 mg of neomycin, an antibiotic with serious side effects	Merck: Varivax	11,000 914	42 d	No	No	No
	Meningococcal conjugate or polysaccharide / Yes -- Compares Menactra vaccine to Menactra + PCV7a + MMRVb + HepA, and PCV7a + MMRVb + HepA	Sanofi Pasteur: Menactra	3,721	7 d	No	No	No
	Hepatitis A / Yes -- Compares VAQTA vaccine to VAQTA + ProQuad + Prevnar concomitantly - Only solicited reactions	Merck: VAQTA	+10,000 (exact number not reported)	14 d 5 d	No	No	No
	Rotavirus / Yes -- Mentions Placebo group, but the Placebo contained Polysorbate 80 and many other substances -- In 42 day period 52 deaths reported	Merck: RotaTeg	71,725	42 d	No	No	No
	Human Papillomavirus (HPV) / Yes -- Compares Gardasil group to AAHS and Saline Placebo groups, but reports AAHS and Saline Placebo groups as one unit -- The Saline Placebo group (594) had zero Systemic Autoimmune Disorders reported. See Plotkin Deposition transcript, pages 197 to 202, at https://tinyurl.com/Plotkin-Deposition-Transcript ; see also Deposition video at https://tinyurl.com/HPV-Placebo-Study	Merck: Gardasil	18,083 Placebo Group = 594 (3.29% of total)	14 d	Yes	No	No

REVISED TABLE 10: Summary of Boys and Men 9 Through 26 Years of Age Who Reported an Incident Condition Potentially Indicative of a Systemic Autoimmune Disorder After Enrollment in Clinical Trials of GARDASIL, Regardless of Causality

HPV INSERT REVISED TABLE 10 (see Plotkin Deposition)		-- Columns in original Gardasil Insert --		
Conditions	AAHS Control* & Saline Placebo (N = 2,303) n (%)	GARDASIL (N = 3,092) n (%)	Only AAHS Control* (N = 2,029) n (%)	Only Saline Placebo (N= 274) n (%)
Alopecia Areata	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ankylosing Spondylitis	2 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Arthralgia/Arthritis/Reactive Arthritis	17 (0.7)	30 (1.0)	17 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
Autoimmune Thrombocytopenia	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Diabetes Mellitus Type 1	2 (0.1)	3 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Hyperthyroidism	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Hypothyroidism**	0 (0.0)	3 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Inflammatory Bowel Disease***	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Myocarditis	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Proteinuria	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Psoriasis	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Vitiligo	5 (0.2)	2 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
All Conditions	32 (1.4)	43 (1.4)	32 (1.4)	0 (0.0)

See Plotkin Deposition. Video at tinyurl.com/HPV-Placebo-Study; Transcript pages 197-202, at tinyurl.com/Plotkin-Deposition-Transcript.

Calculating AAHS Only Group: $2,303 - 274 = 2,029$. Saline Placebo Group representation of total combined group: $274/2,029 = 0.1350\%$.

The number 274 referenced as the Saline Placebo group comes from Table 2, Saline Placebo (N = 274).

Calculating AAHS Only Group percentage of reported conditions: $n/2,029$. Only one decimal point used, as in combined group.

*AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate.

**Hypothyroidism includes the following terms: Hypothyroidism and Autoimmune thyroiditis.

*** Inflammatory bowel disease includes the following terms: Colitis ulcerative and Crohn's disease.

N = Number of individuals enrolled.

n = Number of individuals with specific new Medical Conditions, percentage in total group.

Gardasil Insert at <https://tinyurl.com/Gardasil-Vaccine-Insert>.

Rev 5

© PRBWorks