

DEFENDING AGAINST THE LIBELOUS ATTACKS BY PRO-COERCED VACCINATION ACTIVISTS¹


-- THE EVIDENCE THAT TOXIC VACCINES HAVE NEVER BEEN PROVEN TO BE SAFE --

By Pastor Ricardo Beas – Revision May 25, 2025

View at <https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-Reiss-PCVA-Exposed>

INTRODUCTION

In this day and age, the concepts of *medical choice* and *bodily autonomy*, combined with basic men and women's God-given rights to be free, seem to be the target of zealous individuals that for reasons unknown to me, have dedicated much of their time, for misguided personal reasons, financial gain, or otherwise, to attack persons that chose not to vaccinate themselves, their children, or anyone under their care, and to try to get authorities to force them to do so. We, as I include myself in this category, are *libelously* called Anti-Vaxxers. See Dorit Reiss and Paul Offit link to the right.



Example of such persons include Dorit Reiss and the writers behind the websites *Skeptical Raptor* and *Respectful Insolence*. I have never done anything against any of them, other than claim my rights and present my evidence that proves that vaccines have never been proven to be safe and that instead the Principle of Parsimony and real-life experiences point to the vaccines causing serious injury and death. Yet, they attack me, directly or indirectly, to force me to vaccinate my whole family. Here are some examples of writings by Reiss, sanctioned by her employer, U.C. Hastings College of the Law, who publishes the links to these documents in their website:

1. *Litigating Alternative Facts: School Mandates in the Courts.*
2. *Influenza Mandates and Religious Accommodation: Avoiding Legal Pitfalls.*
3. *Regulating in the Era of Fake News: Anti-Vaccine Activists Respond to the CDC Quarantine Rule.*
4. *Legal Approaches to Promoting Parental Compliance with Childhood Immunization Recommendations.*
5. *Responding to the Childhood Vaccination Crisis: Legal Frameworks and Tools in the Context of Parental Vaccine Refusal.*
6. *Funding the Costs of Disease Outbreaks Caused by Non Vaccination.*
7. *Thou Shalt Not Take the Name of the Lord Thy God in Vain: Use and Abuse of Religious Exemptions from School Immunization Requirements.*
8. *Compensating the Victims of Failure to Vaccinate: What are the Options?* ²

¹ **NOTICE OF GOOD FAITH TO ALL PARTIES REFERENCED HEREIN:**

It is not my intention to harass, intimidate, offend, conspire, blackmail, coerce, or cause anxiety, alarm, or distress. This document and references are Presented with Honorable and Peaceful Intentions and are expressly for your benefit to provide you with due process and a good faith opportunity to correct your behavior in all actions that violate my and other men and women's God-given, Natural Law, Common Law, State and Federal Constitutional, and International rights, hereinafter "Constitutional Rights".

² See <https://www.uchastings.edu/people/dorit-reiss/>.

Below is my response to the attacks against me by Reiss and those named herein, who are determined to promote forced vaccination, with no scientific, legal, or moral basis for it. All my statements herein are based on my own personal beliefs, based on my readings, studies, and observations on the subject matter, expressed and done to the best of my knowledge, beliefs, upon information, and done in good faith.

TABLE OF CONTENT

- I'm Not an Anti-Vaxxer/Anti-Vaccine Activist – I Am A Pro-Health Activist	2
- The UNSAFE CDC Childhood Vaccination Schedule	3
- Destroying the Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists' Argument That Using True Placebos Is Unethical	6
- Lack of Long-Term Studies on The Safety of the CDC Vaccines and Solicited Reactions.....	7
- Lack of Any Studies for The CDC Vaccines as Regards Carcinogenicity and Fertility	7
- The Cumulative Negative Effects of the CDC Vaccines	11
- The Hidden HPV Vaccine Study That Used A True Inert Placebo Which Proves the Harm of Vaccines	13
- The Unethical Use of the Terms Correlation, Causation, and Anecdotal	14
- The Undeniable McDowell Triplets' Story of Vaccine Induced Severe Autism	15
- The Abuse of the Term "Causation" To Deny Vaccine Injury & the Principle of Parsimony ...	17
- The VAERS System and the Harvard Pilgrim Study's Obvious Revelations.....	19
- The Vaccine Court, The Vaccine Table, and the Fraud to Deny Vaccine Injury and Compensation	23
- The Pro-Health Activists' Vaccine Conspiracy Is Not A Theory – It Is Being Executed.....	27
- The Attack on Religious Principles and Rights	36
- The SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 Vaccines and their Deadly Global Impact	41
- The CDC V-Safe Data Collecting System and their Attempt to Hide Injuries.....	54
- The CDC Swine Flu Scam of 1976.....	56
- It Is Time to Overturn Jacobson	58
- Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists' Attack and Discrimination Against Pro-Health Activists	64
- Dorit Reiss Promoting Mandatory Vaccination and Punitive Damages Against Those Who Don't Is A Violation of My Rights	70
- Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists' Direct Injury to Me.....	72
- Conclusion.....	73

I'M NOT AN ANTI-VAXXER/ANTI-VACCINE ACTIVIST -- I AM A PRO-HEALTH ACTIVIST

As used by persons promoting forced vaccination, referenced herein, as well as how it is used by U.S. government health officials, the World Health Organization, the World Economic Forum, and the mainstream media, among others, an Anti-Vaxxer and/or an Anti-Vaccine Activist is a selfish and misinformed individual, who out of ignorance, self-pity, or bad faith, does not accept the lie that vaccines are safe and effective, and who puts vaccinated and unvaccinated person at risk of getting a disease that can be prevented by vaccination, all based on alleged misinformation.

As a note, the term *Anti-Vaxxer* is related directly to the title of the film “*Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe*,” a documentary directed by Dr. Andrew Wakefield and produced by Del Bigtree and Polly Tommey, that helped unite our movement and bring the vaccine controversy to the general public’s attention.³

According to Reiss, I am an Anti-Vaccine Activist,⁴ thus any attack against anti-vaxxers as a group by Reiss, is a direct and indirect attack on me. I disagree with that title. A more appropriate term for me and those in this supposed group is **PRO-HEALTH ACTIVISTS, OR PRO-CHOICE ACTIVISTS**, while those like Dorit would be more appropriately called **PRO-COERCED VACCINATION ACTIVISTS, OR NO-CHOICE ACTIVISTS**.

Contrary to the above misguided definition of an Anti-Vaxxer, an Anti-Vaxxer, hereinafter a Pro-Heath Activist, is a person that has come to his/her own conclusions, medical, personal, and/or religious, and using critical thinking,⁵ that vaccines are unsafe, that they cause injury, and that taking them places that person and their loved ones at risk of developing severe injury and/or death, which from a religious perspective defiles their body, the Temple of God, by affecting their health and their ability to serve God.

While a Pro-Coerced Vaccine Activist intends to force others to use a vaccine against their will, even if such force includes the loss of a job and a person’s livelihood, and the limitations on social life, a Pro-Life Activist respects the right of others to use vaccines, do not ask for any restrictions on the vaccinated, even though they may be the true spreaders of viruses as explained herein, and simply wants to be left alone from government overreach and attacks by these Anti-Freedom individuals.

THE UNSAFE CDC CHILDHOOD VACCINATION SCHEDULE

The notion that the vaccines in the CDC childhood vaccination schedule (hereinafter “CDC Vaccines”) have been proven to be safe and not to cause harm and injury comes from the results of the vaccine manufacturer’s pre-licensing clinical studies, which they claim prove their safety, yet the manufacturers’ own vaccine package inserts prove that this has not been proven by the mere fact that **such vaccines have never been compared to a true pure and inert saline solution placebo (hereinafter a “true placebo”)**,⁶ making their claims false and untrustworthy.


³ See the trailer for *Vaxxed*, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/d6v6fNRIgAN3/>.

⁴ See Dorit Reiss’ article at the *Skeptical Raptor* website, titled “Anti-vaccine activists try to use liability insurance policies for easy money”, at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/anti-vaccine-activists-try-to-use-liability-insurance-policies-for-easy-money/>. Dorit Reiss refers to persons against forced vaccination as “Anti-Vaccine Activists” and “Vaccine Hesitant” persons.

⁵ **The Rules of Critical Thinking:** FIRST RULE – Question Authority. SECOND FIRST RULE – Question Yourself Because You Are Your Highest Authority.

⁶ See summary and link to all CDC Vaccine inserts in my study, “*Breakdown of Childhood Vaccine Inserts’ Pre-Licensing Studies*”, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccines-insert-breakdown.pdf>.

In a post on *Skeptical Raptor*, Reiss stated that it is not true that the CDC Vaccines have not been compared to placebos, and as proof she points to an article by Paul Offit, titled “*Making Vaccines: Process of Vaccine Development*,”⁷ yet the section therein titled “*Placebo-controlled vaccine trials*” not only proves otherwise as to the CDC Vaccines, but it shows how vaccine manufacturers fraudulently use the word “placebo” to mean things other than the reference to an inactive true pure saline solution, the historical meaning of the word “placebo”.



Traditionally and as used in all other medical products’ pre-licensing studies, a placebo is a substance, pill, or other treatment, like a pure saline solution, that appears to be a medical intervention but isn’t one. According to Webster’s medical dictionary, a placebo has no pharmacological effect on the person taking it. That is to say, a placebo is an inactive substance or other intervention that looks the same as, and is given the same way as, an active drug or treatment being tested. Offit’s article clearly shows these manufacturers’ deception and their play of words when using the word “placebo”. Here are some examples, provided by Offit:

Hepatitis B Vaccine: The so-called placebo in all 5 studies contained 0.5 mg of neurotoxic aluminum, hydroxyphosphate sulfate, previously referred to as aluminum hydroxide, hereinafter aluminum, or as noted by Offit, alum. Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

Hepatitis A Vaccine: The so-called placebo in the 1992 study contained aluminum, the one in the 1994 study contained the Hepatitis B vaccine, and the one in the 2003 study contained vaccine diluent. According to the World Health Organization, a vaccine diluent can contain stabilizers, preservatives, bactericides, chemicals to assist in dissolving the vaccine into a liquid, buffers, adjuvants to enhance an immune response, and even a **separate and different vaccine**.⁸ Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

HPV Vaccine: The so-called placebo in two studies contain aluminum in the vaccine diluent, and in the other two studies the placebo contained the Hepatitis A vaccine. Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

⁷ See <https://www.chop.edu/centers-programs/vaccine-education-center/making-vaccines/process-vaccine-development>.

⁸ See *WHO Guidance Note: Vaccine Diluents*, revision 2015, at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/192741/WHO_IVB_15.08_eng.pdf;jsessionid=C7FD9F69B52858E9C7FC212E6A0313DF?sequence=1.

IMPORTANT: Note that for the HPV vaccine Offit does not mention the True Placebo group from one HPV study, referenced below, in which the participants had zero adverse reactions.

Meningococcal Vaccine: The so-called placebo in the four studies contained the meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine, a previously licensed meningococcal vaccine, the Tdap vaccine, and other childhood vaccines, respectively. Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

Meningococcal B Vaccine: The so-called placebo in two studies contained Japanese encephalitis virus vaccine. Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

Pertussis Vaccine: The so-called placebo in two studies contained the existing whole-cell pertussis vaccine and the third one contained the DT (diphtheria and tetanus) vaccine. Based on this, **this vaccine has not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

Varicella and Polio Vaccines: The so-called placebo contained vaccine diluent, which as noted in the Hepatitis A vaccine referenced above, may contain adjuvants like aluminum to enhance an immune response, and even a separate and different vaccine. Based on this, **these vaccines have not been compared to a true inert placebo and it is unethical and deceitful to say that they have been proven to be safe.**

In the CDC's website, in a section titled "Overview, History, and How the Safety Process Works," they write the following:

*"Before vaccines are licensed by the FDA, they are tested extensively in the laboratory and with human subjects to ensure their safety ... **Because the vaccinated group can be compared to those who have not received the vaccine (RB Note: a supposed true placebo group), researchers are able to identify TRUE REACTIONS.**"*⁹

Because vaccines have not been compared to actual placebos and the so-called placebo groups contained toxic ingredients also found in vaccines, then the statement above should be correctly rephrased to say, **because vaccines have not been tested properly, researchers are unable to identify true reactions** – except as noted below for one study in the HPV trials, which proves conclusively the dangers of vaccines when those results are extrapolated to other CDC Vaccines.¹⁰

⁹ See <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/ensuringsafety/history/index.html>.

¹⁰ See flowchart #4, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/analysis-of-vaccine-safety-all-flowcharts.pdf>.

DESTROYING THE PRO-COERCED VACCINATION ACTIVISTS' ARGUMENT THAT USING TRUE PLACEBOS IS UNETHICAL

Based on the misconceived *Germ Theory*, popularized by Louis Pasteur, we are told by vaccine manufacturers and health authorities that you need a specific CDC vaccine for each one of the diseases that these vaccines target, as opposed to the more plausible *Terrain Theory*, by Claude Bernard,¹¹ which states that if the body's immune system is in optimal condition, it can protect the body against all of these so-called diseases, in particular when the body is in a more alkaline state, as an acidic pH will attract germs and an alkaline pH will repel them. In layman terms: fish will live in water but not in land, terrestrial animals only thrive in land but cannot live underwater for prolonged periods of time. **If our body's physiological terrain is inhospitable to disease-causing chemicals, organisms, and pathogens, our immunological system will destroy them.**

When Pro-Health Activists point to the manufacturers' lack of true placebos being used in their pre-licensing clinical studies, the Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists counter by saying that it would be unethical to leave the **fake placebo group** unprotected. This general perverted logic is explained in a 2012 *Respectful Insolence* article,¹² where David Gorski writes the following:

*"The unvaccinated group would be left unprotected against potentially life-threatening vaccine-preventable diseases, and that **is completely unacceptable from an ethical perspective.**"*

Such excuse for not using true placebos does not hold water. To give just one example, as noted above from Offit's article, of the three Hepatitis A studies' so-called placebo groups, one used aluminum, another the Hepatitis B vaccine, and the third one used toxic diluent, and considering that Big Pharma insists that you need a specific vaccine for each disease, then **the fake placebo group was denied the Hepatitis A vaccines.** **Would this not leave the fake placebo group unprotected against potentially life-threatening Hepatitis A disease and therefore be also unethical?** Because of this illogical excuse not to use true placebos, it is my personal belief that the manufacturers did not use fake placebos for ethical reasons, but apparently did it to hide the injury of their vaccines. **There is no ethical reason why not to use true placebos, especially when millions of children will be injected with these vaccines that are not proven safe.**

Also, using this example what would be more unethical, to leave of group of a few thousand study group children without a vaccine that "may" help them, or giving vaccines that have not been proven to be safe, which could seriously injure or kill, to millions of children, over and over again? However you see it, this makes absolutely no sense unless seen through the lens of intentional and calculated fraud!

¹¹ Claude Bernard (1813 – 1878) was a French physiologist. Historian I. Bernard Cohen of Harvard University called Bernard "**one of the greatest of all men of science.**" See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Claude_Bernard.

¹² See <https://www.respectfulinsolence.com/2012/06/06/quoth-vox-day-vaccines-are-killing-babies/>.

LACK OF LONG-TERM STUDIES ON THE SAFETY OF THE CDC VACCINES AND SOLICITED REACTIONS

Further proof that vaccines can be harmful or at least that they have not been proven to be safe in long term studies is the fact that the CDC Vaccines in Phase III studies were studied for extremely short periods of time. Here are some examples from the vaccine inserts:

CDC CHILDHOOD VACCINE	PHASE III STUDY PERIOD
<i>Hepatitis B:</i>	<i>5 days</i>
<i>DTaP:</i>	<i>3 days</i>
<i>HIB:</i>	<i>48 hours, 3 days, and 30 days respectively</i>
<i>Polio:</i>	<i>48 hours</i>
<i>Varicella:</i>	<i>42 days</i>
<i>Meningococcal:</i>	<i>7 days</i>
<i>Hepatitis A:</i>	<i>14 days and 5 days respectively</i>
<i>Rotavirus:</i>	<i>42 days</i>
<i>HPV:</i>	<i>14 days</i> ¹³

LACK OF ANY STUDIES FOR THE CDC VACCINES AS REGARDS CARCINOGENICITY AND FERTILITY.

In a comment made by Reiss in a *Skeptical Raptor* article,¹⁴ Reiss also claimed that the CDC Vaccines were studied for their potential for causing cancer and their impact on fertility. To counter against Reiss misleading post on this issue, I posted a direct link to one of the inserts, and immediately my post was deleted by either Reiss or the moderator of *Skeptical Raptor*. The following or similar language is found on most or all CDC Vaccine inserts:

“13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility. (This Vaccine) has not been evaluated for its carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or its potential to impair fertility.”

Here are the Hepatitis B Recombivax and DTaP Pentacel vaccine insert sections, respectively, showing the above:

¹³ See summary and link to all CDC Vaccine inserts in my study, “*Breakdown of Childhood Vaccine Inserts’ Pre-Licensing Studies*”, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccines-insert-breakdown.pdf>. **Important Notice:** Due to pressure by government officials, mainstream media, et al, my Natural Law Church website host recently cancelled my account due to my views opposing forced vaccination. As a result, many of the documents I have written over time, some referenced herein, have links to my documents that are no longer working. In such cases and where requested, I will gladly provide any document referenced in my documents, assuming my website housed such documents, and they were not simply pointing to third party websites and documents.

¹⁴ See <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/anti-vaccine-activists-try-to-use-liability-insurance-policies-for-easy-money/>.

13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility



RECOMBIVAX HB has not been evaluated for its carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or its potential to impair fertility [see *Use in Specific Populations* (8)].

13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility

Pentacel has not been evaluated for carcinogenic or mutagenic potential or impairment of fertility.

Because my post was deleted apparently in order to hide such truth, I posted the evidence again and asked Reiss why she deleted my post and noted that if she/they deleted it again, it would be a **TACIT AGREEMENT** that she was spreading misinformation. What did she/they do? They deleted my second post. Reiss' post and mine, together with the deletion of my second post happened approximately within 5 minutes. See our posts below:

 <p>Dorit Reiss Moderator → · an hour ago</p> <p>The claim that vaccines are not tested for carcinogenicity or fertility is another piece of disinformation anti-vaccine leaders feed their followers, who lack the ability to catch the misrepresentation. Here is a helpful post.</p> <p>"To ensure the safety of new vaccines, preclinical toxicology studies are conducted prior to the initiation of, and concurrently with, clinical studies. There are five different types of preclinical toxicology study in the evaluation of vaccine safety: single and/or repeat dose, reproductive and developmental, mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, and safety pharmacology. If any adverse effects are observed in the course of these studies, they should be fully evaluated and a final safety decision made accordingly."</p>	 <p>RBWorks → Dorit Reiss · a few seconds ago</p> <p>⌚ Hold on, this is waiting to be approved by Skeptical Raptor Blog.</p> <p>Why did you delete the comment I posted, that had the text of the insert confirming no such tests, as well as the link to the actual insert? Why would I rely on a post when the insert is clear enough for a 10-year old to understand:</p> <p>"13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility RECOMBIVAX HB HAS NOT BEEN EVALUTATED evaluated for its CARCINOGENIC or mutagenic potential, or its potential to impair FERTILITY."</p> <p>Link to insert: https://www.merck.com/produ...</p> <p>If you delete this "evidence of your deception" AGAIN, it will be a tacit acknowledgment that you know for a fact that you are spreading misinformation, to the detriment of the American people.</p> <p>⬆ ⬇ ⬆ - Edit - Share ></p>
--	---

There are many other issues associated with the CDC Vaccines that morally and legally give a right to any person to refuse vaccination, including the use of healthy aborted fetuses in the manufacture of vaccines, including the abhorrent practice of using such body parts of healthy fetuses, cut into microscopic pieces, **which includes cultured cells/DNA from the fetuses' pituitary gland, lung, kidney, spleen, heart, and tongue.**¹⁵

While I contend that the vaccine manufacturers' vaccine inserts hide from the public some ingredients in vaccines and their quantities, and that they don't list certain ailments caused by vaccines, like autism,¹⁶ except for the discontinued DTaP Tripedia vaccine, which lists autism, as

¹⁵ See Dr. Stanley A. Plotkin's deposition transcript in the Michigan *Matheson vs. Schmitt* case, January 11, 2018, admitting these facts, at transcript pages 343 and 344, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/plotkin-deposition-transcript-matheson-case-2018-01-11.pdf>. See also article regarding China creating a new aborted fetal cell line in 2015, where they induce labor using a "water bag" abortion to shorten the delivery time and **prevent the death of the fetus to ensure live intact organs** which were immediately sent to the labs for cell preparation. See <http://tinyurl.com/China-Vaccine-Fetal-Line>.

¹⁶ See Dr. Stanley A. Plotkin's deposition, *supra*, where he admits that there is no scientific evidence that can be produced by the manufacturers or U.S. health agencies that can prove that vaccines cannot cause autism, which

well as Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), as adverse events,¹⁷ there are many ingredients in vaccines that can and do cause injury and many diseases. Here is the list of some ingredients and reported adverse events:

Ingredients: Thimerosal, mercury, aluminum (toxic heavy metals), formaldehyde, glyphosate, GMOs, peanut oil (most likely responsible for the now common children's reactions to nuts), other live or inactivated viruses, bovine extract, polysorbate 80, monkey kidney tissue, and aborted fetal tissue.

Adverse Events Noted in Inserts: Nervous tics, allergic reaction, face edema, swelling face, pruritus, rash generalized; nausea, diarrhea, cellulitis, bronchiolitis, UTI, acute gastroenteritis, asthma, aspiration, breath holding, influenza, inguinal hernia repair, viral syndrome, URI, croup, thrush, wheezing, choking, conjunctivitis, pharyngitis, colic, colitis, congestive heart failure, severe migraine with unilateral facial paralysis; nerve compression in neck and arm, paresthesia, hypoesthesia, facial palsy, convulsion, syncope, myelitis, anaphylactic and anaphylactoid reactions (can kill you); systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Guillain-Barré syndrome; multiple sclerosis, arthritis pain in extremity, tachycardia, encephalopathy, coma, collapse or shock-like state, fainting, decreased level of consciousness, prolonged seizures, progressive neurologic disorder, including infantile spasms, uncontrolled epilepsy, or progressive encephalopathy, anaphylaxis (death can occur within 30 minutes of onset), autism, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), and Death.¹⁸

I note here that vaccine manufacturers are the ones doing and/or managing and directing the pre-licensing clinical studies, then they process the resulting data and submit it for approval to the permitting agencies. Not all data is provided, and if it is, it is not reviewed objectively and critically by government agents, as for example, the short period of time covered under the studies as noted above, and study documentation is often denied or difficult to obtain through FOIA requests and is heavily redacted, which makes no sense, vaccines being the only type of medical product that has such liberal requirements.

Another manipulation of the data can be seen in vaccine inserts, where you can compare the pre-licensing clinical studies' adverse reactions with those of the post-marketing experience reports, where the serious injuries are mostly noted in the post-marketing group. To justify their arguments that their products are safe and to discount serious injuries as vaccine-related, most

admits that they can, as will be proven below. See <https://www.bitchute.com/video/dqgKh1ehRki3/>. See also the legal deposition of Kathryn Edwards, vaccine expert and co-author of Plotkin's book, "Plotkin's Vaccine," in a vaccine injury case, also confirming that there is no proof that vaccines do not cause autism, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/Y44QXnm1U7w2/>.

¹⁷ See Tripedia vaccine insert, page 11, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/tripedia-package-insert.pdf>.

¹⁸ See my *Analysis of Scheduled Vaccines' Box Information Insert*, where I compare the CDC vaccine information sheets given to patients when they ask for the vaccine insert, with the actual inserts, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccines-insert-analysis.pdf>.

inserts have a disclaimer for these post-marketing serious injuries, like the one used in the Recombivax Hepatitis B vaccine insert, which reads in part:

*“6.2 Post-Marketing Experience. The following additional adverse reactions have been reported with use of the marketed vaccine. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, **it is not possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to a vaccine exposure.**”*¹⁹

In other words: The Vaccines Could Be the Cause of The Injury, because there is No Proof That They Were Not.

This is the reason why only the pre-licensing clinical studies can be used to claim whether or not the CDC Vaccines are safe: This is the only supposed manufacturers’ fully controlled studies showing injuries.

Why could there be such a difference in the types of injury between both groups? It is because in the pre-licensing clinical trials, vaccine manufacturer representatives create a list of medical conditions to be reported and anything not in that list is not reported, making such injuries invisible in the summary of injuries, just like the CDC did with the COVID-19 injection’s **V-Safe database**, discussed below.²⁰ These are called **SOLICITED ADVERSE REACTIONS**. Here is an example on how this works:

In the Pentacel Vaccine,²¹ Section 6, in Table 2, titled “*Number (Percentage) of Children with Selected Solicited Adverse Reactions by Severity Occurring within 0-3 days of Pentacel or Control Vaccines in Study*,” it lists **solicited adverse reactions** from redness to fuzziness, but it does not list Sudden Infant Death Syndrome or Death – it was not solicited. Yet at the end of such section, it notes that 5 deaths occurred during the study, and one was for SIDS and happened 52 days after vaccination.

¹⁹ See https://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/r/recombivax_hb/recombivax_pi.pdf.

²⁰ **V-Safe:** The CDC set up a voluntary user-run reporting system where those that received the COVID-19 injections could report any adverse health impacts, known as V-Safe (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/vsafe.html>). According to a report done by *The Highwire* on or around October 7, 2022, through a FOIA request and after a year of legal filings and lawsuits, the CDC reluctantly provided them user information from that system. *The Highwire* created a database with the information provided and they found the following: 10,108,273 total individual users, of which 3,353,100 individuals were impacted (33.17%), and 6,458,751 reported health impacts (63.89%). As to the adverse health impacts, 1.2 million were unable to do normal activities (11.87%), 1.3 million missed work or school (12.86%), and 782,913 required medical care (7.74%). The problem was, the V-Safe system was designed specifically to only capture minor issues, there were no specific fields for serious injuries.

²¹ See Insert for Pentacel (Diphtheria and Tetanus Toxoids and Acellular Pertussis Adsorbed, Inactivated Poliovirus and Haemophilus b Conjugate (Tetanus Toxoid Conjugate) Vaccine, at <https://www.fda.gov/media/74385/download>.

As noted above and with the Hepatitis B vaccine in particular, the study/reporting covered only a ridiculous 5-day period, therefore, ***nothing would be reported after 5 days, no long-term observations, evaluations, or necessary warnings to the public.***

Something else to consider is that being that the CDC vaccines have such short periods of study and observation in the pre-licensing clinical studies, and because as proven above, they have never been studied for the potential of causing cancer (at least not that they and/or the licensing agencies have reported), it makes it very difficult to determine if in fact the explosion of childhood cancers are related to the vaccines and their persistent use when following the CDC vaccination schedule.

THE CUMULATIVE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF THE CDC VACCINES

Another thing that also needs to be considered is that the CDC Vaccines have never been studied as to getting multiple vaccines at the same time or the cumulative health threat of using multiple vaccines over a lifetime.²² Fortunately, while the CDC and the vaccine manufacturers refuse to do such studies, others have taken the time to do so, and in one instance it was the unintended result of a medical agency trying to cancel the license of a doctor that did not promote the CDC guidance on timely vaccination and who also warned his patients' parents of the potential dangers of vaccines.


Dr. Paul Thomas from Oregon wrote a book titled *"The Vaccine-Friendly Plan"* outlining potential benefits of either not vaccinating or extending the period between vaccination. As a result, the local health authorities demanded that he "show the proof" that the slower, evidence-based vaccine schedule recommended in his book "was safer than the CDC schedule."²³ Based on such a request, Dr. Thomas did a study of the patients in his then 10-year practice that included 3,344 patients, of which 2,629 were partially vaccinated and 715 were unvaccinated. As regards autism, he found the following:

- One case of autism in the unvaccinated group—a rate of 1 in 715.
- Six cases of autism in the partially vaccinated group—a rate of 1 in 438.
- In comparison, government data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) show a diagnosed autism rate of 1 in 45 children (aged 3-17 years) as of 2014 and, by 2016, a rate of 1 in 36.

²² See video of a child getting 6 vaccines at once, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/ljvh7SEfFXn4/>.


²³ See <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/news/real-life-data-show-that-the-cdc-vaccine-schedule-is-causing-harm/>.

Dr. Thomas further found that the vaccinated children in his practice had much more diseases of all kind, including neurological disorders, than the other two groups. Dr. Thomas is presently suing the Oregon Medical Board for \$35 million dollars. See his interview on Del Bigtree's *The Highwire*, starting at minute 7:42, at <https://tinyurl.com/Dr-Paul-Thomas-Lawsuit>, where he produces graphs from his 10-year practice patients that show that ***“the more vaccines you had the worse you were”***. The increased diseases in his study charts include asthma, allergic rhinitis, breathing issues, behavioral issues, ADHD, respiratory infection, otitis media, ear pain, infection (other), eye disorders, eczema, dermatitis, urticaria, anemia. In these graphs, the orange lines represent the vaccinated, the blue line the unvaccinated.



Apparently to Big Pharma and health officials the term “controlled studies” means “to control the desired outcome so it is favorable to us,” and not “to allow the evidence and results to prove and confirm the outcome.”

Here are two graphs that represent the comparison between the increase in vaccines and boosters in the CDC childhood vaccine schedule starting from the late 80s (1986 to 2017, from 11 to 53 vaccines), after the vaccine manufactures were given total immunity from vaccine harm, compared to the increase of childhood chronic illnesses (1988 to 2011, 12.8% to 54%), as well as the increase in the autism rate in the same time frame.



Based on everything I have presented in this section, it is irrefutable that the CDC Vaccines not only have not been proven to be safe, but in fact can cause serious injury. So, then the question is, how do manufacturers and government health officials get away with lying about vaccines' safety? Here is the answer: By lying about the results of their pre-licensing clinical studies and by denying vaccine victims' claims of injury using the terms “anecdotal” and “correlation is not causation.”

THE HIDDEN HPV VACCINE STUDY THAT USED A TRUE INERT PLACEBO WHICH PROVES THE HARM OF VACCINES

As was shown above, instead of using true inert saline solution placebos in the CDC Vaccines pre-licensing clinical studies, the manufacturers used other vaccines or toxic ingredients like aluminum (hereinafter “toxic placebos”) to compare those results with the vaccine being studied and claim that they are safe. In other words, if the result of the persons taking the vaccine shows that it caused a certain types and percentages of adverse events and the persons taking the toxic placebo also showed similar results, then the manufacturers falsely concluded that the vaccine is safe because both groups had similar outcomes.²⁴

This trick was exposed by the manufacturer’s themselves in the insert for the HPV Gardasil vaccine.²⁵ In it, the manufacture lists two types of recordable events, those related to **minor Injection-Site Adverse Reactions** and those related to **serious Systemic Autoimmune Disorders**. As it turns out, there were multiple studies done that were used to document such injuries in the insert, placed in multiple tables. In such studies there were 3 types of groups, the first one received the HPV vaccine, the second one received a so-called placebo that contained aluminum, among other toxic ingredients, and a third group that received a “true placebo,”²⁶ and **while the first two groups showed similar serious systemic autoimmune disorders, the true placebo group showed none, ZERO! And by the way, this also proves that the aluminum used in vaccines is toxic, something Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activist are always denying, saying it is harmless.**

In order to hide this result, the manufacturer, Merck and Co., Inc., combined the true placebo group results with that of the group that had received the aluminum, and this is blatantly obvious, because tables 3 and 4, dealing with *Injection-Site Adverse Reactions* lists all three groups, while **tables 5 through 10 dealing with Systemic Autoimmune Disorders only shows two groups, the one getting the vaccine and a second column that combines those getting the aluminum with those getting the true placebo.**

The study that had the true placebo group that showed no serious injury is titled “A Study of Gardasil (V501) in Preadolescents and Adolescents (V501-018).”²⁷ Taking the information

²⁴ It is obvious that these adverse events would be similar in both groups because the toxic ingredients used instead of a true placebo are mostly the same ingredients as in the vaccine being tested, including aluminum.

²⁵ See Gardasil insert, at https://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/g/gardasil/gardasil_pi.pdf.

²⁶ According to two of the authors of the book “The HPV Vaccine on Trial”, Eileen Iorio and Kim Mack, they uncovered that this so-called “true placebo” actually did contain other toxic substances, like sodium borate (borax), and also that the Gardasil vaccine used in the study actually only contained half of the amount of the aluminum that ended up in the Gardasil vaccine in the market. The important issue here is that this “true placebo” did not contain aluminum and thus it can be distinguished from all the other vaccine and aluminum study groups. See <https://rumble.com/v2z2qe0-hpv-vaccine-study-protocol-18.html>.

²⁷ See summary, with link to original study, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/gardasil-placebo-test-table.pdf>.

provided by the HPV insert and the true placebo study, I revised Table 10 of the insert ²⁸ to show both what they printed and the actual results when separating all three groups:

HPV INSERT REVISED TABLE 10 (see Plotkin Deposition)	Columns in original Gardasil Insert		Not Included in Insert	
	AAHS Control* & Saline Placebo (N = 2,303) n (%)	GARDASIL (N = 3,092) n (%)	Only AAHS Control* (N = 2,029) n (%)	Only Saline Placebo (N= 274) n (%)
Alopecia Areata	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ankylosing Spondylitis	2 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Arthralgia/Arthritis/Reactive Arthritis	17 (0.7)	30 (1.0)	17 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
Autoimmune Thrombocytopenia	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Diabetes Mellitus Type 1	2 (0.1)	3 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Hyperthyroidism	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Hypothyroidism**	0 (0.0)	3 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Inflammatory Bowel Disease***	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Myocarditis	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Proteinuria	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Psoriasis	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Vitiligo	5 (0.2)	2 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
All Conditions	32 (1.4)	43 (1.4)	32 (1.4)	0 (0.0)

Taking this a step further and to its conclusion, the following is most likely true:

1. True placebos do not cause any serious side effects.
2. If there had been true placebo groups in all the pre-licensing clinical studies of the CDC Vaccines, their “placebo” results would have been identical to the results of the true placebo group in the HPV study referenced above; that is to say, there would have been zero injuries. And therefore,
3. ***All the minor and serious injuries noted in the vaccinated groups in the CDC Vaccines pre-licensing clinical studies, as I listed above, were caused exclusively by these vaccines, proving conclusively that the CDC Vaccines are not safe and can and do cause serious injury and death.***²⁹ And,
4. *Vaccine manufacturers and health authorities are aware of the above and yet not only promote the use of these vaccines but are attempting to influence lawmakers to pass laws like California’s SB 277 and 276 to force school age children to get vaccinated even if they are at risk of injury, and regardless of their medical conditional and their personal and religious beliefs, as will be discussed below.*

THE UNETHICAL USE OF THE TERMS CORRELATION, CAUSATION, AND ANECDOTAL

All the writings by Dorit Reiss regarding vaccination, although appearing at face value to be based on solid legal grounds (such as the *Jacobson* Supreme Court case, discussed below), and to maybe on an extreme situation warrant coercing persons to be vaccinated against their will, the truth is

²⁸ See the complete table with related footnotes and references at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/gardasil-revised-table-10.pdf>.

²⁹ See my Analysis of Vaccine Safety Claims, Flowchart 3, *The HPV Placebo Group*, and Flowchart 4, *Summary: Vaccine Placebo Extrapolation*, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/analysis-of-vaccine-safety-all-flowcharts.pdf>.

that when you look at all the true scientific data and not just pronouncements of personal beliefs like those of Anthony Fauci and Stanley Plotkin, it is irrefutable that vaccines have not been proven safe, not to mention the evidence of harm as is discussed herein. All of Reiss' writings are founded on the here debunked declarations that vaccines have been proven to be safe.

Because that is not the case, or said bluntly, not true, a lie if done on purpose,³⁰ **Reiss' writings crumble to the ground and lose all legal justification for forcing anyone to be vaccinated against their will for a product that has no proof of safety – and with much proof of injury.**

In Other Words:

If Vaccines Have Never Been Proven to Be Safe

NO INJURY CAN BE IGNORED AS BEING CAUSED BY THE VACCINES

THE UNDENIABLE MCDOWELL TRIPLETS' STORY OF VACCINE INDUCED SEVERE AUTISM

While I could point to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) to prove that vaccines cause injury, or to the many posts made on social media from parents that saw their children hurt after vaccination, or to the many vaccine documentaries that interview persons that recount their stories of serious injury, lifelong disability, and death, together in the thousands worldwide, I will just refer to one undisputable proof of vaccine injury, which happens to capture all the immoral actions by Big Pharma and the orthodox medical establishment. It is the story of the **McDowell Triplets from Michigan**, interviewed in the vaccine-injury-packed documentary ***"Vaxxed II – The People's Truth."***³¹

To summarize this horrible and avoidable vaccine tragedy, on June 25, 2007, parents Brenda and David McDowell took their 9-month-old triplets, two boys and one girl, Richie, Robbie, and Claire, to receive a single Pneumococcal vaccine. The shots were administered at 10:00 AM. **Two hours later**, at noon, their daughter Claire shut down, went blind, deaf, just stared at the ceiling and stopped blinking. **Two hours later**, their son Richie had a similar faith and lost all reflexes. **Shortly thereafter**, their son Robbie also succumbed to the same faith. As described by the mother, Robbie looked like he was hit by a bus, and since then ***"lost his happiness."*** By that evening, all three children were severely autistic.

³⁰ Only Reiss knows if she actually believes or not that vaccines are safe. I have no evidence to prove either way, other than my own analysis of her comments, as presented herein. She could very well sincerely believe vaccines are safe, no matter what anyone says. As I mentioned in one of our email exchanges:

"You are like me, very passionate and completely dedicated to our activism, pro and anti-vaccine, although our motivations seem to vary substantially. Until you prove or show me otherwise, I will assume you do this because you feel vaccines are safe and that they are the best way to avoid these diseases, contrary to many person's opinion of your motivation ... I think that an exchange regarding our views will be helpful to both of us, either to change the other person's mind as to what is better, or to sharpen our pencils as we present our position to others, a right we both have (so long as not done in bad faith) - it is the obligation of the public to decide which information and conclusions are more in sync with reality." See page 1, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-reiss-correspondence-with-ricardo.pdf>.

³¹ See the McDowell family interview by Polly Tommey, at <https://tinyurl.com/Vaxx-II-Triplets>.

At the time of the interview, the children were around 10 years old. They have been diagnosed as having severe autism spectrum disorder, at least one of them can at most put two words together and is in a lot of pain. The mother also explains that the children are no longer engaged with anyone and lost their smiles, never hold hands, or look at each other. How much have these children changed since that single dose of a vaccine? Just look at their photograph together before the vaccine - **Lives destroyed by greed, not by mistake.**



The McDowell Triplets

According to the parents, they were told by their doctor that the vaccines were safe. Once the injury happened, they were told that the injuries were genetic, but when the parents went to a geneticist, they were told that it was statistically impossible, in particular because it happened at the same time to two boys and one girl.

Brenda said that she made a report with her doctor's office the next day to get it submitted to VAERS, but that she found out later that they never submitted it. No one from the practice or otherwise told her for five and a half years that they could bring a case before the federal vaccine court, under the *National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program*, but when they found out they were told it was too late, that they only had 3 years to submit a claim of injury. She also found out that neither the manufacturers nor the medical practice could be sued in any way. She claims that they have spent thousands of dollars trying to recover their children, with little success.

Their medical practice told them to invest in a group home and to start vaccinating again with the remaining vaccines on the CDC vaccine schedule, and when they said they were not vaccinating again, the medical practice told them that if they did not continue the vaccination they would not be allowed in the practice and subsequently send them a letter stating that they were no longer welcome there.

So, this is the Big Pharma and Medical Establishment's protocol to protect their financial interests:

1. **DO NOT GIVE INFORMED CONCENT.** Claim vaccines are safe, even though there is no proof of that (no true placebo tests, etc.). **Do not mention vaccine-reported injuries.**
2. When vaccine injury happens, **state that it can be due to anything imaginable – except vaccines.**
3. Avoid reporting the injury in the VAERS system.
4. Do not advise the injured party about the available remedy for financial assistance from the vaccine court, as it implies that the vaccines could have caused it.
5. Shame the parents into continuing with the vaccination schedule.
6. Ban the parents from the medical establishment if they refuse to vaccinate. And,
7. Threaten parents with psychological medical intervention, or worse, accuse parents of having caused the injury, using an alleged injury called "shaken baby syndrome."

This single case proves that vaccines can and indeed cause injury, exactly as noted in vaccine pre-licensing clinical studies and vaccine inserts, and much more frequently than claimed by Reiss, the CDC, and manufacturers,³² who claim that the vaccines are “very, very” safe, a one in a million event.

In the winter of 2018 U.C. Hastings’ Dean Faigman wrote an article in the *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly* titled, *Freedom of Speech Remains Superior to All Other Alternatives*.³³ In it he noted that social media was used to spread dangerous misinformation, and that is one of the things that U.C. Hastings’ employee Reiss does, throughout the day and during her working hours³⁴ using the U.C. Hastings IT system, or her own, but on employee time, on Facebook and other internet outlets, like *Skeptical Raptor*, consistently promoting vaccination as proven to be safe, discrediting and attacking any person claiming that vaccines cause injury, including me.

THE ABUSE OF THE TERM “CAUSATION” TO DENY VACCINE INJURY & THE PRINCIPLE OF PARSIMONY

Vaccine manufactures, the medical establishment, the U.S. Government, and the media hide vaccine injury in the following way. When an obvious vaccine injury, like the McDowell Triples story, becomes public, or when the injured party complaints to their medical provider and points to the vaccine as the cause of the injury, these are the two phrases used for the cover-up: ***Correlation Does Not Imply Causation -- Anything that the Injured Party Claims is Anecdotal and most likely untrue.***

The above phrases could be considered true, if it was not thanks to the overwhelming number of parents that have been brave enough to speak out about the obvious vaccine injuries happening to their children. If the CDC Vaccines have never been proven to be safe, then they can never be ruled out as the possible culprits for injury, especially when such injuries are noted in the manufacturer’s studies and vaccine inserts, as they are required by law to list them.

To find out if vaccines cause injury, all we need to do is apply the ***Principle of Parsimony***: Look at all the circumstances and facts, the health of the person prior to vaccination, the time span

³² In a footnote above I provided my study of the vaccine inserts, showing all the listed injuries, as well as the CDC vaccine information sheets that claim that vaccine injuries are rare. In one of Reiss’ courses at U.C. Hastings, in promoting that vaccines “very very rarely cause injury” to our future California attorneys, she provided the class a table that lists the vaccines and the type of injuries associated with them. In all of them, it reads, ***“Serious adverse events are very rare.”*** See ***“INFORMATION SHEET – COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF DISEASES AND THE SIDE EFFECTS OF NIP VACCINES, from the Australian Immunization Handbook 10th Edition,”*** at <https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au/resources/tables/table-comparison-of-the-effects-of-diseases-and-the-side-effects-of-vaccines-on-the-national-immunisation-program>, noting that the format of the table in the link above differs with the appearance of the one used in Reiss’ course, but has the same content.

³³ See https://repository.uchastings.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2054&context=hastings_constitutional_law_quaterly.

³⁴ See Reiss’ email correspondence with Stanley Plotkin et al, referenced herein, where many of her emails show a time stamp within her working hours, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-plotkin-emails-1.pdf> and <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-plotkin-emails-2.pdf>.

between vaccination and injury, as well as excluding all none-applicable causes of injury, to find the culprit. I created a flowchart that gives the example on how one can easily determine if in fact there is a correlation between the vaccine and the cause of the injury. My flowchart proves that in many, if not all cases with similar circumstances, that the vaccine was the culprit of the injury. As MIT Senior Research Scientist Stephanie Seneff expressed to me, ***Correlation Means Causation When Additional Data Corroborates It.***³⁵

Here is how Dorit Reiss sees causation. She recently posted on Facebook a photo of a cat in a hole on the street, and she wrote, ***“Thinking of Teaching: The Perfect Image for Addressing Causation, Courtesy of Kevin Ault.”*** This is how stupid Reiss is trying to convince the public that those against vaccination are. I counter with a cartoon that better addresses causation and those that try to hide obvious and logical injuries.



In our correspondence, Reiss told me why she believes some persons are against vaccines:

“Anti-Vaccine people can be speaking because:

Financial Motives:

A. They are alternative practitioners and their business model depends on scaring people about vaccines, so that they will turn more to alternative treatments.

B. They are researchers who turn to anti-vaccine organizations like the Dwoskin foundation for funding.

C. They have a pending case in front of NVICP and want to win.

D. They are doctors who cater to parents who are anti-vaccine.

³⁵ See my *Analysis of Vaccine Safety Claims*, Flowchart #2, Law of Parsimony. See also therein Flowchart #1, *Vaccine Pre-Licensing Studies*, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/analysis-of-vaccine-safety-all-flowcharts.pdf>.

Emotional/Prestige Motives:

I. The only community that supports their belief and fosters them is the anti-vaccine community. That was, or has become, their frame of reference, and they will not believe otherwise.

II. That's the only community that offers financial or other support for their children - I think in early days after broadening the diagnosis that was true for parents of children with autism: medicine offered little hope, and the biomed community - which blamed vaccine – offered more.

III. They have failed to make an impact in mainstream science/medicine and are building a name in the alternative communities, and seek the adoration of the anti-vaccine community. I would also think that psychologically, if you once believe your child was vaccine injured it's hard to give that up, regardless of evidence. Not many people can rethink what has become their frame of reference.”³⁶

Note that there is not a single mention of anyone actually being injured by a vaccine and having a valid claim.

Based on all the information provided herein, the Preponderance of the Evidence, in combination with the *Principle of Parsimony*, shows that vaccines can and do cause injury, so they can never be claimed to be safe.

THE VAERS SYSTEM AND THE HARVARD PILGRIM STUDY’S OBVIOUS REVELATIONS

According to the CDC, this is the description of the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS):

“VAERS was established in 1990 under the joint administration of CDC and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to accept reports of suspected adverse events after administration of any vaccine licensed in the United States. VAERS is a passive surveillance system: reports of events are voluntarily submitted by those who experience them, their caregivers, or others. Passive surveillance systems (e.g., VAERS) are subject to multiple limitations, including underreporting, reporting of temporal associations or unconfirmed diagnoses, and lack of denominator data and unbiased comparison groups. **Because of these limitations, determining causal associations between vaccines and adverse events from VAERS reports is usually not possible.** Vaccine safety concerns identified through adverse event monitoring nearly always require confirmation using an epidemiologic or other (e.g., laboratory) study ...

³⁶ See our correspondence, page 5, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-reiss-correspondence-with-ricardo.pdf>.

*“The objectives of VAERS are to 1) detect new, unusual, or rare vaccine adverse events; 2) **monitor increases in known adverse events**; 3) determine patient risk factors for particular types of adverse events; 4) identify vaccine lots with increased numbers or types of reported adverse events; and 5) **assess the safety of newly licensed vaccines**.³⁷*

As noted above, the foundational premise used by the vaccine manufacturers, the CDC, and the FDA is that the pre-licensing clinical studies of the CDC vaccines proved them to be safe, thus their approval to go to the market. When any Pro-Health Activist points to the VAERS system to show that vaccines cause injury, Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists, and the CDC, will say that it is a passive system, where the vaccines cannot be connected directly to the injury, no causal association – **it also means it cannot be discarded**. I believe that this is part of the trickery and pattern of deceit to hide the CDC Vaccines’ injuries, for the following reasons:

1. It is highly improbable that the thousands of injuries reported in the VAERS system since its inception would be false. Like the McDowell Triplets’ story shows, we know that injuries happen, and that when the parents are given the required and proper information about reporting the injury, that they will tend to do such reporting. If we were to take all of the injuries in VAERS and were to even reduce that amount to 51%, this would still leave us with thousands of injuries that most likely happened because the vaccinated children’s medical doctors did not tell the parent of the potential injuries from vaccination, not giving them the proper information so they could make a decision based on informed consent, which happened to me when I tried to comply with California’s AB 2109, where the physician only provided information about the alleged benefits of the vaccines and claimed that the vaccines were safe and would not cause any sort of injury. Again, simply go to the vaccine package inserts to see the many injuries that can result from the usage of any of these vaccines.

2. Many of the children that have been injured by vaccines claim that the injury happened shortly after a doctor’s wellness visit, where the doctor can profit from getting their patients to get all recommended vaccines.³⁸ In these visits, doctors find that such children are in good health, as ethically they could not recommend a vaccine if the child was sick. Because of this, taking a healthy child, then giving him the vaccine, then he/she suffered the injury shortly thereafter without any other contributing factor proves that the vaccine caused the injury, such as outlined in my *Principle of Parsimony* flowchart.³⁹

³⁷ See <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/ss5201a1.htm>.

³⁸ See for example, insurance carrier Blue Cross has created incentive programs to promote vaccines by bribing pediatricians in the form of bonuses for accomplishing their child patients’ **complete compliance** with the CDC immunization schedule, an amount equal to \$400 per child. Such program goes further and creates a disincentive requirement for doctors to avoid reporting any child apparent vaccine injury in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and an incentive for doctors to convince their patients’ parents that the injury is not vaccine related and that it should not be reported. See Blue Cross brochure “2016 Performance Recognition Program”, page 15, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/pediatrician-bonus.pdf>.

³⁹ See supra.

Based on the above, it is more certain than not that there is more than a 51% chance that the injuries were vaccine related under the Preponderance of the Evidence standard used in a tort claim, which I believe a neutral and objective jury in a civil and/or a grand jury in a criminal case would agree with.

3. As happened in the McDowell Triplets' story, for whatever reason, be it financial and/or fear of health authorities and medical boards, doctors tend to not report the injuries in the VAERS system and nurses are told not to report them by such doctors as well. In fact, in California, doctors refused to give vaccine medical exemption under any circumstances due to SB 277, and even more so after SB 276, which subjects such doctor/practice to investigation if they issue any medical exemptions.

4. On or around December 1, 2007, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) commissioned Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc. (hereinafter Harvard) to do a study to determine how accurate and complete the VAERS reporting system was. This study is referred to as the Harvard Pilgrim Study.⁴⁰ The final report, issued around September 30, 2010, reads in part as follows:

“ABSTRACT - Results: Restructuring at CDC and consequent delays in terms of decision making have made it challenging despite best efforts to move forward with discussions regarding the evaluation of ESP:VAERS performance in a randomized trial and comparison of ESP:VAERS performance to existing VAERS and Vaccine Safety Datalink data ...

FINAL REPORT – Results: Likewise, fewer than 1% of vaccine adverse events are reported. Low reporting rates preclude or slow the identification of “problem” drugs and vaccines that endanger public health. New surveillance methods for drug and vaccine adverse effects are needed. Barriers to reporting include a lack of clinician awareness, uncertainty about when and what to report, as well as the burdens of reporting: reporting is not part of clinicians’ usual workflow, takes time, and is duplicative. Proactive, spontaneous, automated adverse event reporting imbedded within EHRs and other information systems has the potential to speed the identification of problems with new drugs and more careful quantification of the risks of older drugs.

Unfortunately, there was never an opportunity to perform system performance assessments because the necessary CDC contacts were no longer available and the CDC consultants responsible for receiving data were NO LONGER RESPONSIVE to our multiple requests to proceed with testing and evaluation.”

⁴⁰ See <https://tinyurl.com/VAERS-Harvard-Pilgrim-Study/>.

The Pilgrim Study proved three things:

- (a) That the VAERS system only reflects less than 1% of reportable vaccine injuries, so the total actual number of injuries that should be in such reports should and must be considered to be at least 100 times higher.
- (b) If based on their study Harvard found that more than 99% of injuries were not reported, then that means that in their study they found injuries that based on all the evidence, **most likely were vaccine-related and should have been reported in VAERS**. Thus, Harvard was not only noting the under reporting: ***They were also confirming how prominent vaccine injuries are, from minor, to serious, to death.***⁴¹ And,
- (c) Once the CDC and HHS were provided the results of the study, they immediately lost interest and closed all doors to further discussions and investigations with the researchers. In a situation like this, where the lives of millions of children are at play, the CDC and HHS actions can only be driven by one or two things:
 - The fear that the truth about vaccine injury would become known, undeniable, and would require them to take steps to improve the system for accurate reporting, where doctors and patients are encouraged to report such vaccine injuries, which is required by law; and/or that,
 - All that the CDC and HHS (and maybe the vaccine manufacturers) wanted when they requested that Harvard do this study was to see if the way they designed and managed the system, together with these agencies guidance to physicians, which discourages reporting, or fails to provide the proper tools and system to do such reporting, **was working as they intended: to minimize the reporting of vaccine injury and to see what strategies they needed to further that goal**. This would explain why after the results of the study came out showing less than 1% accuracy, the people referenced above abandoned further involvement.

In other words, if the purpose was to see how the VAERS system worked to improve it if necessary, then the CDC/HHS would

⁴¹ See for example NIH published report titled "Deaths Reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, United States, 1997-2013," where it states that **"for child death reports, 79.4% received >1 vaccine on the same day,"** at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26021988/>.

have immediately invested time and resources to upgrade the system once the results came out and **to remove such injurious vaccines from the market – BUT THEY DID NOT, PURPOSEFULLY, EVASIVELY, AND WITHOUT EXPLANATION OR JUSTIFICATION.**

The way the VAERS system is set up and administered, which discourages reporting, combined with profits that doctors can make if they get their patients to get all CDC Vaccines as noted above, explains why doctors are reluctant to report these obvious vaccine reactions that are happening to healthy children shortly after vaccination. **There Is No Profession Exempt From Greed.**

The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP) is another sham of a system intended to silence vaccine injured persons. It is labeled as a way to swiftly compensate parents of vaccine injured persons, but it is in fact managed in a way that makes it almost impossible to be approved for compensation, to receive timely compensation, cases taking years to be resolved, or to admit any harm by the vaccines, in many instances with the Court sealing documents in a case to hide them from scrutiny, to the detriment of the general public.

THE VACCINE COURT, THE VACCINE TABLE, AND THE FRAUD TO DENY VACCINE INJURY AND COMPENSATION

In the 1980s, faced with potential lawsuits in the hundreds of millions of dollars, the vaccine manufacturers pushed the U.S. Congress to pass a law that would make them and all those involved in the vaccine process, from manufacturing to injecting the unsuspecting public, completely immune from any liability from harm from vaccination. Why would they face lawsuits? Because their vaccines, in particular the diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis (DTP) vaccines, were causing harm to its recipients. In *Bruesewitz v. Wyeth, Inc.*, 559 US 991 (2010), it notes that Lederle Laboratories (owned by respondent Wyeth) ***“estimated that its potential tort liability exceeded its annual sales by a factor of 200.”*** Yet, as noted by Justice Scalia,

“They are immunized from liability for punitive damages absent failure to comply with regulatory requirements, “FRAUD,” “INTENTIONAL AND WRONGFUL WITHHOLDING OF INFORMATION” or other “CRIMINAL or ILLEGAL activity.”

The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP), or Vaccine Court, was created for the purpose of providing such immunity to vaccine manufacturers et al from vaccine injury. It is claimed to be ***“a NO-FAULT alternative to the traditional legal system for resolving vaccine injury petitions. The VICP provides compensation for people injured by certain vaccines.”***⁴² As noted in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims website, under claims:

“In litigative risk settlements, the respondent does not concede that vaccines are responsible for petitioner’s injuries, or that petitioner has satisfied the criteria for

⁴² See <https://injurycompensation.hrsa.gov/DICPSubmit/>.

*compensation, but is willing, **without formally conceding entitlement**, to pay some compensation.”*⁴³

The above statement implies that none of the injuries compensated can be considered to prove that vaccines cause injury. What does this really mean? it means that legally, the manufacturers will not be considered at fault for such injuries, victims will simply be compensated by the government, our tax dollars, because “maybe” the injury happened because of vaccination, but this same system, through its judges/Special Masters will do everything possible to deny such claims. One example of this is the *Vaccine Injury Table* used to determine if an injury can be compensated.⁴⁴

As noted above, in the pre-clinical trials, which were used to authorize their use, the CDC Vaccines were tested for very short periods of time, and following that same strategy of hiding long term injury (for example, in a polio 48 hour study, an injury happening on the third day would not be recorded), the Vaccine Injury Table also limits claims of injury to very short periods of time, with no consideration with long term injuries, not to mention that the table fails to include many diseases clearly noted in the vaccine inserts as possible after vaccination, such as autism and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), which as we saw with the Tripedia vaccine insert, are listed as potential injuries.

One of the biggest examples of the abuses of the Vaccine Court and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), represented by the U.S. Department of Justice, who acts as an attorney for the government to defend all claims of vaccine injury, was the Hannah Poling case,⁴⁵ where HHS attorneys/Respondents used a highly regarded specialist to prove that the vaccine used in Hannah did not cause autism, and when the specialist told the government that indeed the vaccine could cause autism, the government removed the specialist as a witness – AND WENT AS FAR AS TO CLAIM THAT THE SPECIALIST DID NOT FIND ANY LINK BETWEEN THE VACCINE AND HANNAH’S AUTISM. An article in *The Hill* explains what Pediatric neurologist Dr. Andrew Zimmerman said about the case and the government’s actions:

*“Pediatric neurologist Dr. Andrew Zimmerman originally served as the expert medical witness for the government, which defends vaccines in federal vaccine court. He had testified that vaccines do not cause autism in specific patients ... Dr. Zimmerman now has signed a bombshell affidavit. He says that, during a group of 5,000 vaccine-autism cases being heard in court on June 15, 2007, he took aside the Department of Justice (DOJ) lawyers he worked for defending vaccines and told them he’d discovered ‘**exceptions in which vaccinations could cause autism**’ ...*

⁴³ See <https://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/Settlements-041717.pdf/>

⁴⁴ See *Vaccine Injury Table*, at <https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/vicp/vaccine-injury-table-01-03-2022.pdf>.

⁴⁵ See United States Court of Federal Claims, Office of Special Masters, docket No. 02-1466V.

“I explained that in a subset of children, vaccine-induced fever and immune stimulation did cause regressive brain disease with features of autism spectrum disorder,’ Dr. Zimmerman now states ...

“Dr. Zimmerman goes on to say that once the DOJ lawyers learned of his position, they quickly fired him as an expert witness and kept his opinion secret from other parents and the rest of the public ...

“What’s worse, he says the DOJ went on to misrepresent his opinion in federal vaccine court to continue to debunk vaccine-autism claims.

“Records show that on June 18, 2007, a DOJ attorney to whom Dr. Zimmerman spoke told the vaccine court: ‘We know [Dr. Zimmerman’s] views on the issue ... There is no scientific basis for a connection’ between vaccines and autism.

“Dr. Zimmerman now calls that “highly misleading” and says he’d told them the opposite.”⁴⁶

Here the issue is much more than just “did the vaccine cause the autism,” it also involves the government disregarding their expert’s opinion and then lying to the court about his conclusion, an obvious fraud perpetrated against Hannah Poling, and misconduct, as attorneys are officers of the court and are required to uphold the law. In this case, they gave false statements to the court. Both actions are a clear violation of the attorneys’ Oath of Office and their duties to the court as officers of the court, and they should have been held in contempt of court and jailed once Zimmerman’s declarations became public, with their license to practice revoked, not to mention legal action against HHS and DOJ who most likely instructed the attorney to fire Zimmerman and to mislead the court, because of the seriousness of their offense, not only against Poling, but the other 5,000 or more autism cases that depended on the evidence and decision in the Poling case.

While manufacturers like Pfizer, or Paul Offit in defense of such manufacturers, offer a myriad of reasons why the Poling case does not prove a link between vaccines and autism spectrum,⁴⁷ the McDowell Triplet’s story completely destroys their arguments. This means that such defenders of vaccines choose to ignore such evidence out of blindness to obvious truths, or coldly disregard and deny such injuries to minimize damage to the profitable operations of the **vaccine manufacturers’ business model, which is rooted in always denying any association between the vaccines and the reported injuries and deaths, and very specifically as regards autism.** In the Pfizer sponsored study referenced above, titled “Vaccines and Autism: The Evidence and the Law,” it notes the following as regards the Special Masters’ ruling in the Poling case:

⁴⁶ See <https://thehill.com/opinion/healthcare/425061-how-a-pro-vaccine-doctor-reopened-debate-about-link-to-autism/>.

⁴⁷ See Pfizer sponsored study, at https://publichealth.gwu.edu/departments/healthpolicy/DHP_Publications/pub_uploads/dhpPublication_D088DA8A-5056-9D20-3D10FFAECD66D20C.pdf; see Paul Offit’s article, at <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmp0802904>,

*“The court’s observation that vaccines had aggravated an underlying mitochondrial disorder was **significantly different from concluding that vaccines had caused it.**”*

To trust the vaccine manufacturers is to ignore their history of corruption and the billions of dollars they have paid in fines as a result of selling medical products that they know are harmful or deadly to their users. Some examples include the following:

- ***Talcum Powder - J&J Knew It Contained Asbestos*** ⁴⁸
- ***Opioid Crisis - Purdue Pharma Knew the Addiction of Their Products*** ⁴⁹
- ***Purdue Pharma Corrupted World Health Organization to Promote Opioid Sales*** ⁵⁰
- ***Vioxx - Merck Created Hit List Against Critics of their Product*** ⁵¹
- ***Prozac - Eli Lilly Knew It Caused Birth Defects, Violence and Suicide*** ⁵²
- ***Propecia - Merck Knew It Caused Sexual Dysfunction and Suicide*** ⁵³
- ***Pfizer Was Fined \$2.3 billion for Bribing Doctors and Suppressing Adverse Trial Results*** ⁵⁴
- ***GlaxoSmithKline Plead Guilty and Paid \$3 Billion to Resolve Fraud Allegations and Failure to Report Safety Data*** ⁵⁵

⁴⁸ See <https://www.consumersafety.org/product-lawsuits/talcum-powder/>

⁴⁹ See <https://www.npr.org/2018/12/31/680741170/opioid-makers-face-wave-of-lawsuits-in-2019>

⁵⁰ See <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/may/22/purdue-pharma-opioid-world-health-organization-painkiller-global-sales>.

⁵¹ See CBS News article, titled, “**Merck Created Hit List to ‘Destroy,’ ‘Neutralize’ or ‘Discredit’ Dissenting Doctors,**” at <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/merck-created-hit-list-to-destroy-neutralize-or-discredit-dissenting-doctors/>.

⁵² <https://www.drugdangers.com/ssri/prozac/lawsuit/>.

⁵³ <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-courts-secrecy-propecia/>.


⁵⁴ See <https://abcnews.go.com/Business/pfizer-fined-23-billion-illegal-marketing-off-label/story?id=8477617>.

⁵⁵ See <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/glaxosmithkline-plead-guilty-and-pay-3-billion-resolve-fraud-allegations-and-failure-report>.

THE PRO-HEALTH ACTIVISTS' VACCINE CONSPIRACY IS NOT A THEORY – IT IS BEING EXECUTED

According to Black's Law Dictionary, a **Conspiracy** is “a combination or confederacy between two or more persons formed for the purpose of committing, by their joint efforts, some unlawful or criminal act, or some act which is innocent in itself, but becomes unlawful when done by the concerted action of the conspirators, or for the purpose of using criminal or unlawful means to the commission of an act not in itself unlawful.”⁵⁶

When Hitler took over Germany's government and conquered many European and African countries, he did it with the help of tens of thousands of co-conspirators to achieve his goals. It ended with the Nuremberg trials. I assume that Dorit Reiss is well aware of what the Nuremberg tribunals prosecuted and how it applies to today's COVID -19 Pandemic government policies and those who support it.



The Nuremberg trials were used to judge and punish the co-conspirators for crimes against humanity, including medical experimentation and exploitation of their victims.

BigVaxPharma, with its billions of dollars in annual sales to finance their campaigns to (1) promote vaccines and (2) to hide their injury, have conspired with thousands of actors in many fields, including medicine, science, research, educational institutions, medical journals, medical institutions, executive agencies, legislative agencies, health agencies, and the mainstream media, etc., to achieve their goals. Dorit Reiss, by her actions as described herein “appears” (**READ: I cannot prove, confirm, or deny Reiss' intent, it is speculation on my part**) to be willingly or unknowingly one more co-conspirator in this fraud regarding the manufacturers' claim of vaccine safety.

If this is true, that vaccines are causing harm, including now the so-called COVID-19 vaccines, on a world-wide population, resulting in injury and death, as the evidence seems to point to, then it would be appropriate for all of us un-brainwashed Pro-Health Activists to demand justice and the punishment for all those conspirators that have ruined the lives of parents and their children who suffered injury.

This is not a matter of vengeance, mob rule, or attacking innocent people. This is a matter of justice and survival, giving an example to others to cease and desist in causing harm to humanity, both for trying to force vaccination upon those against it, for promoting them, for hiding their harm, and/or for attacking those against it. The **Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists are trying to force us into a vaccine harm Russian Roulette.**

⁵⁶ See <https://thelawdictionary.org/conspiracy/>.

Dorit Reiss, based on her writings and where she publishes her articles, has worked with others referenced herein to promote forcing the use of CDC Vaccines, influencing government representatives, the legal system, and businesses, that adopt such policies and regulations that violate the rights of Pro-Health Activists, such as myself.

Dorit Reiss has worked with others to discredit any article, story, or post that blames the vaccines for injury. One of the persons she collaborates with is **Dr. Stanley A. Plotkin**, inventor of and one of the highest authorities on vaccines. Dr. Plotkin was deposed in the Michigan *Matheson vs. Schmitt* vaccine case. In his declarations he admitted that vaccines had not been tested properly, that it would make sense to use true placebos in studies, that it would be helpful to compare the vaccinated against the unvaccinated for health outcomes, that vaccines do cause harm, and **that vaccines contain healthy aborted fetal matter/DNA that comes from the heart, lungs, kidney, spleen, skin, and tongue of such fetuses, among many other revelations.**

Here I list some of Plotkin’s declarations, as extracted by Attorney Aaron Siri, with links to the section of the video deposition, noting that YouTube has censored and removed at least one of the videos, the one where the admission of the fetal content is discussed.⁵⁷ The link to the *Deposition Summary* referenced in the table below provides links to the Plotkin deposition videos and links to the written transcript page numbers and includes more detail as to the deposition.

<p align="center">STANLEY PLOTKIN DEPOSITION -- CLICK ON LINK TO GO TO VIDEO</p> <p align="center">Deposition Summary, with links to video and deposition transcript at https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/plotkin-deposition-summary.pdf</p>
THE ONLY TRUE PLACEBO group in HPV trials had zero autoimmune disorders <u>13:46:08</u>
HPV/Gardasil vaccine: Saline placebo vs. AAHS “placebo”; they hide true placebo group with zero injuries <u>13:52:20</u>
Fetuses Used in Plotkin’s Work Related to Vaccines <u>17:25:12</u>
Healthy Aborted Fetal Tissue from the heart, lungs, kidney, spleen, skin, and tongue in vaccines <u>17:28:59</u>
Used Fetuses from Psychiatric Institutions <u>17:32:02</u>
Encephalitis and Encephalopathy as a Result of Vaccination <u>16:45:36</u>
Monkey Kidney Cells in Vaccines <u>16:48:59</u>
Blood Serum from Calves and Other Bovines in Vaccines <u>16:51:58</u>
Embryonic Guinea Pig Cell Cultures in Vaccines <u>16:54:13</u>
Gelatin from Pigs in Vaccines <u>16:56:58</u>
Gelatin from Cows in Vaccines <u>16:57:03</u>
Recombinant GMO Yeast in Vaccines <u>16:57:25</u>
MRC-5 Human Diploid Cells and Tissues in Vaccines <u>16:59:40</u>
WI-38 Human Diploid Lung Fibroblast in Vaccines <u>17:01:46</u>

⁵⁷ The pertinent section of that video is preserved at Del Bigtree’s *The Highwire* website, at <https://thehighwire.com/videos/aborted-fetal-tissue-in-vaccines/>. *The Highwire* is run by ICAN, the *Informed Consent Action Network*.

Human Albumin in Vaccines 17:03:01
Human DNA and Protein in Vaccines 17:07:45
Polio Vaccine Contamination with Simian Viruses 17:12:25
Adjuvants Bind to Impurities and Byproducts and the Body Develops Antibodies to Them 17:18:37
Used Orphans to Study Experimental Vaccines 17:32:58
Used the Mentally Handicapped to Study Experimental Vaccines 17:33:05
Experiments Performed on Fully Functioning Adults and on Children 17:36:58
Used Babies of Mothers in Prison 17:37:38
Use of Over One Million Individuals Under Colonial Rule 17:37:45
IOM report unable to determine if the DTaP and Tdap vaccines cause Autism 14:56:35
Plotkin states one should not wait to take the DTap or Tdap vaccines even though there is no evidence to support that vaccines do not cause autism 15:15:03
Plotkin states that there are no studies comparing health outcomes between vaccinated and unvaccinated children 15:16:03
Plotkin states that using the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) would be difficult 15:18:52
Plotkin denies knowing of any IOM and CDC report calling for studies comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated 15:37:21
Plotkin denies knowing of study between vaccinated and unvaccinated children that proved the unvaccinated are healthier 15:38:22
Plotkin calls journals that publish dangers of vaccines “Predatory Journals” something “they are trying to deal with currently” 15:39:21
Petter Aaby Study: Aaby found that children who receive DPT in the first six months of life versus those who got no vaccines died at ten times the rate; study questioned by Plotkin 15:50:50
Aluminum (Alum) used in vaccines stays in body for years 16:09:08
Plotkin does not believe a person can have a valid religious objection to refusing vaccination; claims to be an atheist 17:44:54
Plotkin’s definition of “anti-vaxxers” 18:13:04
985 death reported associated to the Tetanus vaccine in the last 10 years 18:25:28
Fewer than 1% of vaccine related injuries are reported in VAERS; of 376,000 given 35,570 possible reactions were reported 18:30:32
Plotkin states that he does not know if some people are more susceptible to vaccines than others 18:32:08
Plotkin implies that a study between vaccinated and unvaccinated children is scientifically unfeasible 18:40:00
Paul Offit Involvement. Discrediting Anti-Vaxxers 8:40:54
Major Vaccine Manufacturers Payments to Plotkin 9:10:02
Voices for Vaccines Funding & Plotkin as Driving Force 9:23:57
Task Force for Global Health Financed by Big Pharma 9:29:14
Plotkin Developed the Varicella Vaccine, received millions of dollars 9:42:51
Plotkin’s Curriculum Vitae Missing Items Work for Sanofi, Merck, Glaxo, Pfizer 10:15:20
Vaccine Manufacturer’s Profits for 2017 about \$30 Billion 10:54:33
Vaccine Manufacturer’s Conflict of Interest 10:58:20

Plotkin's involvement with CDC, FDA, NIH, ACIP <u>11:02:15</u>
Plotkin's work with WHO, VRBPAC, NVAC, IOM <u>11:05:33</u>
The Fraud of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 <u>11:09:17</u>
Pediatricians rely on CDC Schedule <u>11:21:54</u>
No liability for Vaccine Manufacturers – Bad Manufacturing vs. Defect Claims <u>11:24: 07</u>
Who Comprises Voting Members of ACIP <u>11:24:36</u>
Plotkin, Offit and Clark, co-inventors of rotavirus vaccine where in meeting that approved the vaccine, conflict of interest <u>11:25:44</u>
Access to ACIP by BigVaxxPharma vs. the Public <u>11:37:55</u>
Vaccines' detrimental effects on the Body <u>11:40:34</u>
Clinical Trials are a sham <u>11:49:18</u>
Peter Aaby on deaths related to DTP vaccine <u>11:50:48</u>
Hep B Length of safety review period: 5 days <u>12:37:43</u>
Vaccine Serious Adverse Reactions <u>12:41:32</u>
No placebo group for Hep B vaccine <u>12:53:42</u>
Follow-up on efficiency 12-18 months, safety 4 to 5 days <u>12:57:34</u>
Polio vaccine safety review period only 48 hours <u>13:02:34</u>
MMR had no clinical trials prior to licensure, no placebo group <u>13:06:00</u>
ActHIB vaccine safety study only 48 hours, no placebo tests, serious adverse effects <u>13:17:21</u>
Adding other vaccines to placebo group <u>13:25:20</u>
HPV/Gardasil vaccine: Saline placebo vs. AAHS "placebo"; they hide true placebo group with zero injuries <u>13:25:51</u>
AAHS "false placebo" vaccines contained Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate, just like HPV vaccine <u>13:31:14</u>
Book "Vaccines in Autoimmunity" confirms aluminum adjuvants cause autoimmune conditions <u>13:35:16</u>
Combined AAHS control group with true placebo group when it came to systemic autoimmune disorders <u>13:53:28</u>
Enbrel's 80-month clinical study compared to vaccine studies: No clinical study for DTaP <u>13:55:32</u>

Yet, regardless of his admissions, Plotkin and others try to cover such vaccine injuries, a cover-up that has ended in catastrophe, as proven in the films "*Vaxxed: From Cover-up to Catastrophe*," and "*Vaxxed II: The People's Truth*," the first one showing the confessions of a scientist at the CDC that admits that vaccines cause autism and that it was covered-up by instructions of higher-ups, and the second showing all the injuries caused by vaccines, as the Vaxxed team interviewed persons that went to see their first movie.⁵⁸ Reiss et al discouraged viewing both documentaries and discredit them, presenting them as conspiracy theories in so many words.

Through California Public Records Act request to U.C. Hastings, I obtained emails between Reiss, Plotkin, and others that proved this cooperation. In one email exchange, started on June 2, 2014,

⁵⁸ See www.VaxxedTheMovie.com; See also www.Vaxxed2.com.

Reiss asks Deborah L. Wexler and Amy Pisani if either of them knew of any pro-vaccine advocate in France due to the “anti-vaccine community” circulating information on Aluminum Adjuvants and HPV Vaccines. Wexler includes Plotkin in the thread and she replied, “*I am well aware of the controversy in France, where a surprising amount of antivaccination sentiment exists.*” In the thread Reiss stated:

“I asked about this because it's coming up in social media and we are going to have to respond to it there. But if there's anything you think of that our social media group can do to help, please let us know.”⁵⁹

In an email dated September 28, 2018, Karen Ernst writes to the group:

“Voices for Vaccines has a new weekly newsletter about **what fears are currently circulating among the vaccine hesitant. The intended audience is both providers who might be hearing these fears in clinic and the general public who might encounter them wherever, and the purpose is to give folks some tools to help assuage those fears.**”

In an April 27, 2017 email to the group, with the subject title, “*Tucker Carlson of Fox News did extensive interview with RFK tonight,*” Plotkin writes the following regarding Robert F. Kennedy Jr.:

“I agree **he should be countered.** However, that means someone(s) in the Vacsafety group would have to undertake a surveillance of what he says and issue timely refutations as press releases. An alternative would be an OpEd article for the NY Times citing his accusations and why they are wrong. Stanley Plotkin.”

As to the Matheson case, where Plotkin did his deposition, he wrote an email to Reiss dated December 12, 2018, one day after his deposition, where he asked the following, “*Dear Dorit: I’ve asked you this before, but **how long can the judge in Michigan stall without giving a decision?***” On April 1, 2019, Plotkin wrote an article for *The American Academy of Pediatrics* regarding his experience at the deposition with Siri. He wrote in part:

“I was asked to do a pro bono deposition ... I accepted because I viewed it as a public service, and I thought I merely had to testify about the benefits of vaccination and its overall safety ... That lawyer grilled me for 10 consecutive hours on subjects ranging from putative vaccine reactions, the potential dangers of adjuvants in vaccines (including aluminum), the causes of autism, possible

⁵⁹ See Reiss et al emails, pages 67, 68 and 69, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-plotkin-emails-2.pdf>. For all the following email quotes, refer to this document and to <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-plotkin-emails-1.pdf>.

contaminants in vaccines, **and OTHER antivaccination tropes**. I was also confronted with vaccine package inserts that describe hundreds of supposed reactions ...

*“In his questioning, the **lawyer constantly referred to articles of doubtful scientific quality**, and I was reminded that an appreciation of the differences between valid studies and those of poor quality is understood by scientists, but judges and lawyers may be misled by some of the thousands of articles published each year, **many of which are published for profit and lack scientific integrity**. This makes it difficult for lawyers and judges to distinguish truth from misrepresentation. Therefore, I am concerned about future expert witnesses in cases involving vaccine safety.”*⁶⁰

Plotkin fails to note that many of the exhibits presented by the mother’s attorney, Aaron Siri, where studies in which he participated, and in multiple occasion he denied a claim, only to be confronted with the document proving he was evasive, misleading, or simply lying. What Plotkin, Reiss, and others want is simply no debate on the issue of vaccine safety, such as my offers to Reiss to debate the issue. As Green Bay Packers quarterback Aaron Rodgers accurately said recently, **“Science That Cannot Be Questioned Is Propaganda!”**

In the Plotkin article, under Financial Disclosure, and clearly showing his conflict of interest, it reads:

“Dr. Plotkin is a paid consultant to Sanofi Pasteur, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Pfizer, Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Variations Bio, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, Dynavax Technologies, Serum Institute of India, CureVac, Valneva SE, Hookipa Pharma, and NTxBio. Vaxconsult gives advice to vaccine developers.”

In an email exchange of December 12, 2018, with subject title “New Hooker Analysis,” Plotkin writes to Reiss: *“Thank you for this, which led me to Orac, which enabled me to read his comments on (Dr.) Shannon Kroner, **who is trying to get me to participate in a debate on vaccines and autism, which I will not do.**”* David Gorski, who uses the pen name Orac, referenced above, runs the website, *Respectful Insolence*, dedicated to attacking anyone speaking negatively about vaccines.

⁶⁰ See Plotkin’s article, at <https://publications.aap.org/pediatrics/article/143/4/e20183578/37180/How-to-Prepare-for-Expert-Testimony-on-the-Safety?autologincheck=redirected>.

Dr. Shannon Kroner, referenced above, has been outspoken about her experience with vaccine injury and created an organization to unite religious leaders exposing vaccine injury and therefore, why they are repugnant to God, as they attack the immune system, our body, which is the Temple of God.

In a post in *Respectful Insolence*, Reiss refers to Dr. Kroner as using “signature tactics to try and **trick mainstream people ... misleading her victims**” including “religious leaders.”

65 replies on “The latest attempt by the antivaccine movement to use religion to oppose school vaccine mandates”



Dorit Reiss

February 19, 2020 at 12:57 am

It seems as so Ms. Kroner's signature tactic is to try and trick mainstream people into giving cover yo antivaccine efforts by misleading her victims, more or less blatantly.

I think religious leaders, especially those who were already tricked into signing her effort, should see this thorough debunking.

On February 27, 2018, Plotkin wrote:

*“My understanding is that there is a Texas House race going on in Houston that opposes an antivaccinationist named Susan Dukokil against a pro-vaccination Sarah Davis. I have just sent the latter a donation, but aside from that the situation in Texas is dire with some 50,000 vaccine exemptions. **I’m sure some of you are from Texas and might be able to help organize opposition to Dukokil and to the anti-vaccination wave**, fostered in part by Andrew Wakefield, who was kicked out of England only to land where outlaws are tolerated.”*

In a PowerPoint-like presentation that Reiss shared with Plotkin in her email of August 31, 2014, with the subject title, “*Manual vaccines and the Law*,” Reiss writes:

“Following your advice - and thank you, it's a great idea - I added slides about the diseases we vaccinate and their risks - in very short format - to the manual about Vaccines and the Law. I hoped you'd be willing to glance at them to see if they are accurate. I'm reattaching the manual, and the slides are slide 5-9. Any advice is welcome.”

Slide #3 is titled “Introduction: Vaccines’ **Benefits** and **Risks**.” Slide #4 is titled “Vaccines: The Benefit,” and lists some of the supposed benefits. **Missing next from the presentation was any section or slide covering the risks referenced in Slide #3**, which apparently shows how vaccine injuries are hidden by some individuals.⁶¹

⁶¹ See slide at pages 12 through 61, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/dorit-plotkin-emails-2.pdf>.

In a slide titled “*Vaccine Injuries: Compensating the rare adverse events,*” Reiss starts the slide with the phrase, “***Vaccine injuries are very, very rare ... Nothing is 100% safe; even food is potentially dangerous – you can choke or get food poisoning.***” Then Reiss point to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (NVICP) as the remedy for “*these rare events,*” but Reiss fails to point out that it was precisely due to the substantial number of injuries caused by vaccines that prompted the vaccine manufacturers to request total liability from the government, which resulted in the NVICP being established.

In that same presentation, Reiss writes that one of the goals of her presentation was to “***highlight how the law can improve immunization rates.***” In other words, how the law can be used to ***mandate, compel, and coerce vaccination against a person’s will, under threat of civil and/or criminal penalty.***

Reiss also addresses *Informed Consent for Vaccine* in one of her slides, correctly pointing out that before a patient undergoes vaccination, they must be advised by their health provider of the risks. Yet, in the same breath she notes that if such patient is provided the Vaccine Information Sheet (VIS) prepared by the CDC, that this is sufficient to advise the patient of the risks. Reiss fails to note that such VISs don’t mentioned all the injuries listed in the vaccine package inserts; instead, they use general statements, like Reiss, to imply that serious injuries are rare, and even says one in a million.

Further in her presentation Reiss discusses penalizing all those that don’t vaccinate themselves and/or their children and proposes things such as civil lawsuits, as well as considering passing legislation that imposes costs on non-vaccinated individuals in a variety of ways, such as limiting access, including (1) Conditioning getting a passport on having the appropriate immunizations, (2) Conditioning access to certain places – pools, parks, public transit - on immunization status, and (3) Requiring immunization for non-health care employees in professions where non-immunization is an issue, such as teachers and restaurant workers. She also recommends manslaughter charges, criminal penalties, forced vaccination, and quarantining for those who do not vaccinate. And note, this was years before the COVID-19 Plandemic.

In a separate batch of emails released to me by U.C. Hasting regarding correspondence between Reiss and Dr. Paul Offit, it shows what appears to be actions to hide vaccine injuries. In an email dated March 19, 2017, with subject title “US CFC,” Reiss writes the following:

*“In a little noticed moved President Trump has appointed Justice Braden, one of the U.S. Federal Court of Claims Justices, Chief Justice. **She has previously wrote opinions that are, well, troubling on vaccine injuries** ... I don't now if, and how, it will affect NVICP, **but it's worth keeping and eye on. (Which I am going to ...)**”*⁶²

⁶² See page 3, <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Dorit-Paul-Offit-Emails.pdf>.

In an email to Paul Offit dated April 29, 2016, Reiss writes in the subject title, *"Sigh. A too positive review in SF,"* in reference to an article about the movie *Vaxxed*, which notes how the parents interviewed were convinced that the MMR vaccine injured their children. She fails to mention that the CDC's own Dr. William Thompson exposed such injuries and perpetrated fraud in the documentary, which played his recorded telephone conversations/**confessions** to Dr. Brian Hooker.

WITH THOMPSON BEING RECORDED, NO MATTER WHAT THOMPSON SAYS OR WRITES THEREAFTER, IT WILL NEVER CHANGE THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

(1) THAT THOMPSON AND OTHERS AT THE CDC FOUND EVIDENCE THAT THE MMR VACCINE CAUSED AUTISM IN SOME CHILDREN.

(2) THAT HIGHER-UPS AT THE CDC DIRECTED THEM TO DISPOSE OF THE INFORMATION, TO COME UP WITH A DUCUMENT THAT PROVED NO LINK BETWEEN THE VACCINE AND AUTISM -- AND THAT MOST LIKELY THE MANUFACTURER WERE ADVISED OF THESE FINDINGS, FAILED TO PULL THEIR PRODUCT FROM THE MARKET, AND FAILED TO NOTIFY THE GENERAL PUBLIC OF SUCH RISK.

(2) THAT THOMPSON ET AL COLLUDED TO DESTROY THE EVIDENCE (WHICH THOMPSON RETAINED COPIES OF AND SHARED WITH DR. HOOKER).

(3) THAT THOMPSON ET AL AND THE CDC CONCEALED THIS INFORMATION FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC, OTHER HEALTH AGENCIES AND MAINSTREAM MEDIA. AND,

(4) THAT REGARDLESS OF ALL THIS, THE CDC CONTINUE TO SAY THAT VACCINES ARE SAFE AND THAT NO SUCH THOMSON ET AL FRAUD EVER TOOK PLACE.

IF THIS IS TRUE, NEITHER THE CDC OR THOMPSON CAN EVER BE TRUSTED ON THE ISSUE OF VACCINE SAFETY. AS THE LEGAL PRINCIPLE IN COMMON LAW STATES: "FALSUS IN UNO, FALSUS IN OMNIBUS" (FALSE IN ONE THING, FALSE IN EVERYTHING).

In another email to Paul Offit dated May 4, 2016, with the subject title *"Kevin Folta's emails given to the Food Babe,"* Reiss writes as regards Freedom of Information requests (FOIA), *"I suspect we should all be grateful you work at a private hospital and your work email is private. By the way, mine isn't. My work place is public."* This was followed by an email dated May 23, 2016, where Reiss states, *"It's a precedent that can be used against our people."*

In an April 27, 2014, email, with subject title *"New Oregon case,"* Reiss writes:

*"It is also the fourth decision - out of five jurisdictions that address the issue - that came down the same way, **allowing states to vaccinate children in foster care, even temporarily, over the objection of their parents** - even when those parents were not deprived from the authority to make medical decisions. So a small step in the right direction ... I'd say happy holocaust day, but that doesn't quite work."*

As a note, the email was dated April 27; the *International Holocaust Remembrance Day* is celebrated on January 27.

Thompson further confirmed his beliefs that vaccines caused injury in an email to Paul Offit, dated December 1, 2016, where Thompson wrote:

*"I appreciate that you are very passionate about your opinions regarding vaccine safety. **Although we may not share the exact same opinions regarding the safety of some vaccines**, I don't find it helpful for you to malign [redacted] in public talks. I consider that a cheap shot and extremely unprofessional. I would like to request that you stop doing that."*⁶³

THE ATTACK ON RELIGIOUS PRINCIPLES AND RIGHTS

Religious rights and freedoms are the cornerstone of our country's system of law, which believes and trusts in God, whose legal framework goes back to Christianity as reflected in the Bible, and was incorporated into Natural Law and Common Law, noting that the U.S. Declaration of Independence simply restates and confirms that our rights come from God and are inalienable.⁶⁴

As noted in Wikipedia, "*a 2020 Pew Research Center survey found that about **65% of Americans report that religion plays an important or very important role in their lives**, 43% report attending religious services at least monthly, and **68% report praying daily or weekly**."*⁶⁵ Therefore, about two thirds of Americans believe in God and follow His dictates -- as interpreted by each of them. This is the essence of Religious Liberty.

Based on the above, it is clear that our rights to live freely as our religious beliefs dictate does not come from other persons, corporations, authorities, and/or governments. **All governments and the people that work within them are placed there to serve those that put them in such positions of trust, mere public servants, as agents of our best interests**, that includes our right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and more than anything our right to be left alone by

⁶³ See Thompson's email to Offit, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/offit-thompson-email-1-rotated-e1673404844333.jpg>.

⁶⁴ See <https://billofrightsinstitute.org/primary-sources/declaration-of-independence>.

⁶⁵ See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Religion_in_the_United_States#:~:text=A%202020%20Pew%20Research%20Center,report%20praying%20daily%20or%20weekly.

such government agents, and for them to not violate our rights, which means for them to not violate their Oath of Office.

These religious rights are further reflected in the U.S. Constitution, where the First Amendment clearly states that before anything else, the government will not interfere with our religious rights and practices.⁶⁶ What that means is that the government cannot, among other reasons, force a person to get a vaccine if it is against their religious beliefs and practices. I describe my religious objections to vaccination as simple as this:

I am the Temple of God. God resides within me. I am a Child and Servant of God. God tells me to protect my body from harm that can come from unclean things.

Vaccines can and are harmful to my body and if I'm injured by them, knowingly taking them, then I am purposefully injuring the Temple of God, which can limit my ability to serve God and His Children, which I am sincerely trying to protect through all my writings and efforts. **If I defile my body and affect my connection to God within me, the Temple of God, God will punish me;** for it is written:

"Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defiles the temple of God, him shall God destroy; for the temple of God is holy, which temple ye are ... What? Know ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Ghost, which is in you, which ye have of God, and ye are not your own?" -- 1 Corinthians 3:16, 17, and 19

"Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you." -- 2 Corinthians 6:17

"Or if a soul touches any unclean thing, whether it be a carcass of an unclean beast, or a carcass of unclean cattle, or the carcass of unclean creeping things, and if it be hidden from him; he also shall be unclean, and guilty." -- Leviticus 5:2

"Above all, taking the Shield of Faith, wherewith ye shall be able to **Quench All the Fiery Darts of the Wicked.**"⁶⁷ -- Ephesians 6/16

Regardless of the number of Americans that believe and worship God, Dorit Reiss criticizes and libels all persons against vaccination based on religious reasons. In one of her writings in *Hastings Law Journal*, titled *"Thou Shalt Not Take the Name of the Lord Thy God in Vain: Use and Abuse of Religious Exemptions from School Immunization Requirements,"* Reiss writes the following, which she presents as a fact and as if that was her opinion as well:

⁶⁶ See <https://constitution.congress.gov/browse/amendment-1/>.

⁶⁷ All-Knowing and Almighty is Our Lord, who gave us such clear messages for today -- thousands of years ago.

*“... **MANY** of those who claim a religious exemption lie: their real reasons for not wanting to vaccinate their children are not religious. Since the religious exemption in its current format is **so easily and commonly abused**, it is inappropriate.”*⁶⁸

I note here that religious beliefs change overtime, the perfect example being what we call Born-Again Christians, that sometimes in one spiritual experience change their religious views. The same applies for persons that took vaccines in the past, trusting their doctors, then found out about the potential harm of vaccines and how their physicians and the government hid such information, thus realizing that God would not want such person to take such risk. The fact that Reiss found one person that felt compelled/coerced into lying about using prior vaccines before being enlightened by the Grace of God about their harm, does not apply to the thousands of unvaccinated persons whose religious repulsion to vaccination are sincere.

In her quest to promote vaccination, by compulsory/coerced means if necessary, Reiss further tries to defend vaccines, using the pertussis vaccines as an example, claiming that they are safe and able to stop the spread of this disease, implying that the unvaccinated are responsible for spreading the disease, writing, *“Today, all of those diseases are extremely rare (even though for somewhat complex reasons, pertussis – whooping cough – is making a comeback).”* In reality, the “somewhat complex reason” why pertussis is making a comeback is precisely due to the **pertussis vaccination program, the evidence proving that those vaccinated with this vaccine are the ones both getting sick and spreading the disease**. I have multiple newspaper clippings that shows this, including similar results for other vaccines.⁶⁹

I also did my own study using San Diego County, California Whooping Cough - Pertussis Outbreak data from 2011 to 2016, which was publish on the San Diego County News Center website.⁷⁰ Here is what I found:


Total Reported Outbreaks	58
TOTAL OUTBREAKS - ONLY VACCINATED	50
Total Outbreaks with Unvaccinated Involved	8
Total Outbreaks - only Unvaccinated:	0
Total Persons Infected	465
Total Unvaccinated Persons Infected	9 (1.9%)

⁶⁸ See https://repository.uchastings.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2168&context=faculty_scholarship.

⁶⁹ See <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccinated-children-outbreaks-flyer.pdf>; see also <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccinated-children-cause-outbreaks-2.pdf>.

⁷⁰ See <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/san-diego-county-outbreak-news.pdf>.

Reiss also ridicules the long-standing recognized fact that natural immunity is more effective than vaccination, proven easily by the pertussis example above. In her article she mentions some of the beliefs people against vaccination have, including that they might cause harm, and in those things mentioned she lists that such persons have **“a general belief that natural immunity is in some way “better,”** as if reasons existed not to trust natural immunity. Reiss even made such a bold and illogical/unproven statement in one of her posts.



First, natural immunity does not have negative side effects, like vaccines have due to the toxic substances they contain. Second, natural immunity tends to be long-lasting, if not for life, while most of the vaccines in the CDC childhood schedule require boosters. In the case of the pertussis vaccine, 4 doses are “recommended” (state required) from birth to 15 months.⁷¹

Reiss mentions in her post above that the immune system leads to symptoms of infection and fever, but it is a misleading statement. An infection occurs when germs enter the body, increase in number, and cause a reaction in the body. Fever is part of the body's own disease-fighting mechanism, raising the body's temperature to kill off disease-producing organisms.

As is noted herein, Dorit Reiss is very well versed in all the arguments and real/true evidence (what she calls “*pseudoscience*”) presented by the Pro-Health Activists. Further, like me, Reiss is not a scientist, nor a doctor, yet she fails to acknowledge the proof of confessions made by Pfizer scientists that prove that (1) ***natural immunity is far superior to so-called vaccine immunity***,⁷² and that (2) ***the COVID-19 injections are responsible for the post-COVID-19 vaccine rollout increase in myocarditis***.⁷³

These reactions represent the immune system fighting against the germs, which shows it is working as intended by God. Even Fauci admits that natural immunity is superior to vaccination.⁷⁴

Yet, extreme temperature for prolonged periods of time can be damaging, and Reiss knows or should know that CDC Vaccines have been reported to cause very high temperature, thus the vaccines are weakening and attacking the body's immune system, by placing a burden on it while it is simultaneously trying to fight off existing pathogens, which contradicts the statements made by Reiss.

⁷¹ See <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/hcp/imz/child-adolescent.html>.

⁷² See Project Veritas recordings, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/2TJNKJDpeoel/>.

⁷³ See Project Veritas recordings, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/tDKRb8J8usPp/>.

⁷⁴ See Fauci on *natural immunity*, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/GvW6PNUHaHhY/>.

The realization that something is wrong typically start with a gut feeling, followed by a full awareness of the potential negative situation. In the case of Pro-Health Activists, this happens once a person researches and understands the harm and/or the fraud behind the push for forced vaccination, or worse, when someone experiences the horrors of vaccine injury in their children, like the McDowell Triplets.

I have gladly dedicated my life to this battle for religious rights and non-discrimination because God guided me in this direction and instructed me to do so. The same goes for all others doing similar selfless work to expose the truth in service to God.

In her article Reiss attempts to convince the reader that most Christian religions and sects are either pro-vaccines or are not in favor of avoiding vaccines, but this is irrelevant. In the U.S. Supreme Court case United States v. Ballard et al, 322 U.S. 78, the court noted the following in part,

“The First Amendment has a dual aspect. It not only ‘forestalls compulsion by law of the acceptance of any creed or the practice of any form of worship’ but also ‘safeguards the free exercise of the chosen form of religion’ ... Thus, the Amendment embraces two concepts, — freedom to believe and freedom to act. The first is absolute but, in the nature of things, the second cannot be ... Freedom of thought, which includes freedom of religious belief, is basic in a society of free men ... It embraces the right to maintain theories of life and of death and of the hereafter which are rank heresy to followers of the orthodox faiths.

*Heresy trials are foreign to our Constitution. Men may believe what they cannot prove. They may not be put to the proof of their religious doctrines or beliefs. Religious experiences which are as real as life to some may be incomprehensible to others. Yet **the fact that they may be beyond the ken of mortals does not mean that they can be made suspect before the law.** Many take their gospel from the New Testament. But it would hardly be supposed that they could be tried before a jury charged with the duty of determining whether those teachings contained false representations. The miracles of the New Testament, the Divinity of Christ, life after death, the power of prayer are deep in the religious convictions of many. If one could be sent to jail because a jury in a hostile environment found those teachings false, little indeed would be left of religious freedom ...*

Man's relation to his God was made no concern of the state. He was granted the right to worship as he pleased and to answer to no man for the verity of his religious views ... ‘With man's relations to his Maker and the obligations he may think they impose, and the manner in which an expression shall be made by him of his belief on those subjects, no interference can be permitted.’⁷⁵

⁷⁵ See <https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/322/78>.

Neither a court, nor an employer, nor a school representative, can judge a person, as all persons, including those against vaccination, are granted by God, and as reflected in the U.S. Constitution, the right to worship as they please and to answer to no man for the verity of their religious views.

THE SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 VACCINES AND THEIR DEADLY GLOBAL IMPACT

I will not go into a meticulous description of the fraud being perpetrated with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, also mislabeled and known as the COVID-19 virus, noting that COVID-19 simply refers to the supposed symptoms of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, the whole fraud beginning by the fact that the injections being used to fight this disease (hereinafter COVID-19 injections) are not vaccines, but are gene therapy, as the inventor of the mRNA technology, Dr. Robert Malone, has confirmed.⁷⁶

I wrote an article that proves the fraud of the so-called COVID-19 pandemic and the serious injuries and deaths that the related COVID-19 injections are having around the world; it is titled, ***“FAILED POLICY OR PURPOSEFUL CONSEQUENCES OF THE GOVERNMENT’S HANDLING OF THE SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 PANDEMIC ---- HIDING INJURIES AND COMMITTING FRAUD,”***⁷⁷ including injury to the female reproductive system and harm to their unborn children.⁷⁸ It is easy to prove why such a COVID-19 lockdown should have never happened and why the COVID-19 injections should have never been mandated.

While one of the purposes of so-called vaccination is to avoid people from getting sick, the primary reason for mandatory vaccination is to stop the spread to other individuals, and now Dr. Fauci, the CDC, and the World Health Organization have admitted that:

- (a) The COVID-19 injections do not stop transmission, and in fact were never even tested for that purpose.**⁷⁹
- (b) Like in the case of the pertussis vaccine, the COVID-19 injections do not stop a person from being reinfected.**
- (c) The COVID-19 injections are so worthless to provide immunity that health authorities have not only recommended multiple vaccines and boosters in the last two years but are now recommending annual or bi-annual injections in order to supposedly be protected against the virus.**

⁷⁶ See Dr. Malone’s comments, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/glxJlyOISzna/>.

⁷⁷ See my *Failed Policy* at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/covid-19-proof-of-injury-and-fraud.pdf>.

⁷⁸ See vaccine harm to unborn children, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/MLg8tn2XOnOO/>.

⁷⁹ See https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/pfizer-exec-concedes-covid-19-vaccine-was-never-tested-on-preventing-transmission_4788577.html.

Every step taken by health authorities to convince or force every person to get the COVID-19 injections, was done in a manner to ensure people were terrified of being infected by the COVID-19 virus, to ensure they stayed home, and to ensure that they did not take anything to protect themselves against the virus except the COVID-19 injections. Such fraudulent steps include the following:

1. The CDC, Antony Fauci and other government officials saying that nothing would work to protect against the COVID-19 virus and to stop transmission except the COVID-19 injections.
2. The CDC changing the definitions of “Vaccination”, from *“the act of introducing a vaccine into the body to **produce immunity** to a specific disease,”* to replacing the word “immunity” with “protection;” and also changing the definition of “Vaccine”, from *“a product that stimulates a person’s immune system **to produce immunity** to a specific disease,”* to *“a preparation that is used **to stimulate the body’s immune response against diseases.**”*

The CDC defines “immunity” as *“protection from an infectious disease. If you are immune to a disease, you can be exposed to it without becoming infected.”* Clearly the COVID-19 injections do not give immunity.⁸⁰

Vaccines have been sold as giving immunity, therefore, by changing the above definitions and removing the word “immunity,” health agencies can falsely claim that the COVID-19 injections are vaccines, when in fact they do not prevent infection and don’t give immunity, or it is very short lived.


3. The National Vital Statistics System changing the requirements for filling death certificate so that people that may have had the virus as confirmed by a PCR test, or even without a test, would be recorded as having DIED BECAUSE OF THE VIRUS, even if unproven, simply by a hunch. The directive reads in part,

“In cases where a definite diagnosis of COVID–19 cannot be made, but it is suspected or likely (e.g., the circumstances are compelling within a reasonable degree of certainty), it is acceptable to report COVID–19 on a death certificate as “probable” or “presumed.”⁸¹

⁸⁰ See CDC Definition of Terms, at <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/imz-basics.htm>.

⁸¹ See <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvss/vsrg/vsrg03-508.pdf>. See also Deborah Birx and another health official admitting that those dying allegedly with COVID-19 would be considered to have died of COVID-19, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/JmNZgpOgM8tG/>.

4. Providing enormous financial compensation incentives through COVID-19 relief program funds to doctors and hospitals that reported any of their patients as having covid, as well as interpreting all flu-like symptoms as being COVID-19 symptoms.⁸²



5. Ordering medical institutions to not give or prescribe anti-viral medications to any patients with initial COVID-19 symptoms and only seeing or admitting them into their practice until they were seriously ill, necessitating a ventilator, and placing them under unhealthy diets, heavy sedation, and being administered deadly Remdezivir, even against the patient or family's wishes.⁸³
6. Revising the definition for COVID-19 Probable Cases, incrementing the total case count by about 17 times more.⁸⁴
7. Using inappropriate PCR tests, done at a threshold cycle so high that it would give false positives in most cases,⁸⁵ noting that the inventor of the PCR test, Dr. Kary Mullis, confirmed that these tests were not intended to identify any particular virus and that they do not detect free viruses at all.⁸⁶
8. Saying asymptomatic person could spread COVID-19, when even Fauci and the WHO admitted that was not the case.⁸⁷

⁸² See Dr. Deborah Birx saying, "***we no longer have flu,***" at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=etrXG0ImnC8>. See also San Diego County December 9, 2020 report of only 36 flu cases, when the annual average is +17,000, at <https://www.kusi.com/carl-demaio-calls-for-audit-of-covid-19-data-only-36-flu-cases-reported/>.

⁸³ See hospitals required to use Remdezivir, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/kwBYZ2O7Pi70/>.

⁸⁴ See Collin County, TX Commissioners Court hearing, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/O1HIsDq1m5a1/>.

⁸⁵ See Fauci admitting that PCR test would give false positives when cycle threshold used is too high, as was done by labs with COVID-19 tests, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/NTmKW3EccJ3t/>. See also *Fluvid-19* trailer, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/H82Eg3VxbG5V/>.

⁸⁶ See Mullin's statements that the PCR tests cannot detect free, infectious viruses at all, not the viruses themselves, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/bR5HF0hNzZvI/>.

⁸⁷ See admission by Fauci, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/rLJqG4N8LAcf/>; and admission by the WHO, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/yAiRbwzGkutr/>.

9. Claiming that the alleged COVID-19 pandemic was a “pandemic of the unvaccinated,” which it was not.⁸⁸ And,
10. **Attacking all treatments claimed to work against COVID-19 with the specific purpose of maintaining the Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for the COVID-19 injections.** An EUA can only be granted when **no adequate**, approved, available alternatives exist, and **when the known and potential benefits outweigh the potential risks.**

In the Vaccine Information fact sheet for the COVID-19 injections *Comirnaty* and *Bivalent*, under the question, “*are there other choices available for preventing COVID-19?*” it only points to another existing and future vaccines,⁸⁹ ignoring all the data proving that other therapies, such as using Ivermectin, are an effective treatment for this disease.

As has been proven and referenced herein:

- There are adequate remedies, safe repurposed drugs, vitamins like vitamin D⁹⁰ and minerals like zinc, that doctors have prescribed and have used successfully to not only cure COVID-19, but that results in patients never even having to be hospitalized.
 - There are no benefits from the COVID-19 injections, because (a) they have been proven to cause serious injury and death, (b) the supposed immunity they provided is short-lived, measured in months, if not days, and (c) they don’t stop anyone from getting sick or transmitting the disease.
11. Using mainstream media and social media platforms to shame people into taking the COVID-19 injections, as well as censoring any claim of vaccine injury and deplatforming those who post them, as has now been exposed by *The Twitter Files* dumps, conspicuously not covered by the mainstream media. Two recent email dumps include emails from prior FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, now a Pfizer board member who asked


⁸⁸ See *The Lancet* article titled, “COVID-19: Stigmatising the Unvaccinated Is Not Justified”, at, [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(21\)02243-1/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(21)02243-1/fulltext).

⁸⁹ See *Comirnaty* and *Bivalent* Vaccine Information Fact Sheet, at <https://www.fda.gov/media/153716/download>.

⁹⁰ See Fauci recommending and stating he takes vitamin D to avoid getting COVID-19, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5WTRCx8JYgQ>, even though he never recommended vitamin D in any of their initial Presidential news conferences on the so-called pandemic, appropriately referred to by Mikki Willis as **Plandemic**. See the documentary *Plandemic*, the story of recognized scientist Judy Mikovits, who worked with Antony Fauci and who exposed his fraudulent practices, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/IB3ijQuLkkUr/>.

Twitter to censor posts on natural immunity and low COVID-19 risk in children,⁹¹ and emails from health officials discussing the failures of the COVID-19 injections.⁹² Other documents uncovered a secret Twitter portal that US government officials used to censor COVID-19 content.⁹³

Here is one example of a Twitter email, exposed by Missouri Attorney General Andrew Bailey, where White House Director of Digital Strategy, Rob Flaherty, communicated with Twitter and told them that the highest levels at the White House wanted them to censor anyone against vaccines.



12. The FDA, CDC, and their bosses, vaccine manufacturers, seeking to keep the COVID-19 injection studies by the manufacturers hidden from the public for more than 55 years.⁹⁴

Of all these measures, the deadliest one was the one discrediting and attacking proven cures like Hydroxychloroquine (hereinafter HCQ) and Ivermectin, something that Reiss did via her postings:



While some studies were provided by Fauci and the CDC to say that HCQ was ineffective and harmful, one was discredited for being a complete fraud and was even retracted from publication

⁹¹ See https://www.theepochtimes.com/pfizer-board-member-pressured-twitter-to-censor-posts-on-natural-immunity-low-covid-risk-to-children-emails_4972280.html.

⁹² See https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/all-three-vaccines-are-breaking-through-emails-show-discussion-of-vaccine-failure-among-health-officials_4974246.html.

⁹³ See https://www.theepochtimes.com/documents-uncover-secret-twitter-portal-us-government-used-to-censor-covid-19-content_4924270.html.

⁹⁴ See [Wait what? FDA wants 55 years to process FOIA request over vaccine data | Reuters](#).

from the Lancet and the New English Journal of Medicine,⁹⁵ and the other done by the U.S. Dept. of Veteran Affairs, which used lethal doses of HCQ in their study and used patients that were at the brink of death.⁹⁶ Yet, the FDA, Fauci and the National Health Institute, and the CDC have never changed their position on this drug, of which millions of doses are given worldwide every year and has always been considered safe and to have minimal reactions with other drugs. Further, many valid and verifiable studies have been published proving that HCQ does help patients with the onset of COVID-19.⁹⁷ Evidence shows that HCQ has in-vitro antiviral effects and anti-inflammatory properties.⁹⁸

Here is an interesting fact: On or around December 20, 2020, news sources in Taiwan reported that **the world's second largest supplier of raw materials to make HCQ blew up**, which most likely put a strain on the world's supply of HCQ.⁹⁹ This was within months after President Donald J. Trump informed the world that HCQ could help with COVID-19.

A similar situation exists for Ivermectin. There have been many studies and real-life positive results by prestigious physicians, like Dr. Pierre Kory,¹⁰⁰ that prove that it works to combat COVID-19. While the FDA made a post on Twitter telling people not to use it, implying that it was a drug used for cows and should not be used by humans, in a recent court case they argued that their comments were only advise. In fact, **the FDA continues to say that Ivermectin doesn't work against COVID-19 but points to studies that show it does.**¹⁰¹



⁹⁵ See https://uncoverdc.com/2020/06/06/politicized-science-lancet-nejm-retract/?fbclid=IwAR3Y0yCyEI1kpK5e_eo55Q-RISpiIKqMq_6MXc6bqTJZtLlsBHAgR3W5dg.

⁹⁶ See <https://www.worldtribune.com/va-secretary-blasts-phony-hydroxychloroquine-study-widely-cited-by-media/>. See also <https://nationalfile.com/busted-media-uses-va-study-to-launch-easily-debunked-attack-on-hydroxychloroquine/>.

⁹⁷ See Dr. Vladimir Zelenko interview on *The Highwire*, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/pXPglo4K7D5b/>.

⁹⁸ See PubMed study, "Effects of chloroquine on viral infections: an old drug against today's diseases", at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7128816/>.

⁹⁹ See *Taiwan English News* article of Dec 20, 2020, on HCQ fire, at [Pharmaceutical factory on fire after explosion: 2 injured – Taiwan English News](https://www.taiwanenglishnews.com/pharmaceutical-factory-on-fire-after-explosion-2-injured-taiwan-english-news).

¹⁰⁰ See Dr. Kory's testimony before U.S. Senator Ron Johnson and the Homeland Security Committee, at <https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Kory-2020-12-08.pdf>.

¹⁰¹ See https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/health/fda-says-ivermectin-doesnt-work-against-covid-19-but-points-to-studies-that-show-it-does_4903968.html?utm_source=News&src=News&utm_campaign=breaking-2022-12-08-1&src_cmp=breaking-2022-12-08-1&utm_medium=email&est=OucDqcb5dPUJ1crz9RwkeXYNryGWklwdHHJewj2irAetfBOyhScNBW8bzNU9S8Wpg6OJ6A%3D%3D.

Regardless of these drugs' effectiveness, not only did many states banned their use to fight COVID-19, but medical boards started targeting doctors that prescribed it,¹⁰² which makes no sense and appears highly coordinated. **If before the COVID-19 vaccines the medical establishment said that there was nothing available to treat this disease, why would they ban treatments where actual patients were being cured, anecdotally or not?** Unless they were purposefully trying to let people suffer, the only other logical reason was to maintain the EUA for the COVID-19 vaccines. Other treatments that have also been proven in real-life experienced to help against COVID-19 are Budesonide, Azithromycin, and Fluvoxamine Maleate.

Our government's COVID-19 strategy is not based on true science, it has a foundation on simple and misleading pronouncements by persons like Fauci. These pronouncements are the Real Fake News that has resulted in immense injury all over the world. After the rollout of the COVID-19 injections, people started posting videos of their vaccine injuries all over social media, only to have them removed by organizations like YouTube and Facebook, as well as Twitter, which now thanks to Elon Musk, it is being revealed how all this censorship was organized in collusion with health authorities, other federal executive agencies, and Big Pharma.


Reiss dismisses all these injuries and in fact is a proponent on censoring all physicians that don't agree with the COVID-19 agenda, especially if they issue vaccine medical exemptions, with threat of losing their medical license for explaining to their patients the treatments that can help and the proven harm of these vaccines. See Reiss' post about punishing physician.



¹⁰² See https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_eet/mn-board-of-medical-practice-requesting-files-of-patients-prescribed-ivermectin-doctor_4184636.html.



Dorit Reiss Speaking at a Hearing in Favor of California's SB 276, Which in Essence Eliminated All Medical Exemptions in The State by Threatening to Investigate and Revoke the Medical License of Any Doctor Issuing Vaccine Medical Exemptions



***One Thing That Dorit and I Agree on Is That Our Freedom and Whole Way of Life Is at Peril
– We Just Disagree on Who the Culprits Are***

Just like she did with the movie Vaxxed, Reiss is now attacking a documentary that shows the harm being caused by the COVID-19 injections, including so-called blot clots that have not been seen in the past. The documentary is called ***“Suddenly Died,”*** and it shows many instances of persons collapsing in numbers that have never been seen before. Here is Reiss’ attempt to discredit the viewing of the documentary. While the documentary does show many people collapsing, they never claim that all of them died.

Noticeable from her comment is that she does not properly address all the evidence and testimony presented in the documentary, like the unusually long and uncommon clots found or the elevated death rate since the rollout of the COVID-19 injections reported by embalmers.¹⁰³



Anatomic/Clinical Pathologist Dr. Ryan Cole has confirmed that these sorts of clots are not natural or common and that they started to appear as the COVID-19 injections were rolled out.¹⁰⁴

¹⁰³ See the documentary, *“Died Suddenly,”* at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/LhU1hhSF8uI8/>.

¹⁰⁴ See Dr. Cole’s interview on *The Highwire*, starting at minute 1:03:03, at <https://thehighwire.com/watch/?category=get-factsinated>.

To address and refute Reiss' comments on *Died Suddenly*, I replied with a link to a Facebook group where instances of COVID-19 vaccine injury and death are being recorded, but she removed the post.



In the social media *Telegram* platform there is a group called ***“Covid BC (Vax Reactions),”*** that shows posts about and/or from individuals seriously injured and/or dying after COVID-19 vaccination, showing their previous posts claiming that they were happily vaccinated before they suffered the injury, including many family posts noting that such victims died suddenly or unexpectedly.¹⁰⁵ The increase in deaths noted in the VAERS system is just one more example of how the COVID-19 injections are causing, among other things, heart problems and death. More proof of vaccine injury and death can be found in my study, *Failed Policy...* reference above,¹⁰⁶ which includes information on the ***Great Barrington Declaration***, that “until then” prestigious epidemiologists from Harvard, Oxford, and Stanford, coauthored, which now shows 934,000+ signatures, including that of many scientists and doctors against COVID-19 injections and the related global lockdown.¹⁰⁷





Yet, regardless of all this, Big pharma placed their controlled mainstream and social media machines to work to try to justify all the serious injuries that are happening as a result of the COVID-19 injections and their boosters, especially heart problems. Here are some examples:




¹⁰⁵ See posts on the *Telegram* group *“Covid BC (Vax Reactions),”* at <https://telegram.me/s/covidbc>.

¹⁰⁶ See my study, *Failed Policy*, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/covid-19-proof-of-injury-and-fraud.pdf>.

¹⁰⁷ See article on *Great Barrington Declaration*, at <https://tinyurl.com/CV-Barrington-Declaration>. See their page, at <https://gbdeclaration.org/>.




OR COMBINING THE COVID-19 INJECTION INJURIES AND DEATHS WITH GLOBAL WARMING/CLIMATE CHANGE CLAIMS -- A DOUBLE-WHAMMY GOVERNMENT AND MEDIA SPONSORED FEAR CAMPAIGN AGAINST HUMANITY



TO MAKE MATTERS WORSE FOR THE UNVACCINATED:

*“Dr. Peter McCullough says that mRNA can be spread by people who received COVID ‘vaccines’ to other people who refused the injections. He says it is transmitted through close contact such as kissing, sexual contact and breast milk. He said that mRNA appears to never leave the body. He said that the COVID vaccines change the human genome, and that **two vaccinated people can pass the code mRNA code when they have a baby.** The government rushed the jabs through*

approval and there were no assurances that they were safe, and **there were no geno-toxicity studies. He said that in autopsies, the spike protein is found in the heart and brain.**" ¹⁰⁸



The above VAERS information charts show the sharp increase in Myocarditis and Pericarditis starting in 2021, when the COVID-19 vaccines rolled out, as well as a summary of multiple types of injuries reported related to the COVID-19 vaccines.

The most recent report of COVID-19 injection injury and death comes from Germany, a study of autopsies of people found dead at home following COVID-19 vaccination. One article reads,


*"German pathologists performed a study of **autopsies on 35 people who died within 20 days of injection of a COVID 'vaccine' and found that 25 of them (71%) had final diagnoses consistent with a vaccine injury syndrome including myocardial infarction, worsening heart failure, vascular aneurysm, pulmonary embolism, fatal stroke, and vaccine-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenia. In addition, five of the cases showed that inflammation in the heart was similar to the inflammation in the arm at the injection site.**"* ¹⁰⁹

And here is another headline, **"108 FIFA Registered Players and Over 400 Athletes dead from heart failure in 6 months."** ¹¹⁰ How many coincidences of injury and death are enough for you to point to the COVID-19 injections, Dorit? The graph below shows the VAERS Vaccine Deaths spiking at the beginning of the COVID-19 injections rollout:

¹⁰⁸ Read about Dr. McCullough's claims, at <https://needtoknow.news/2022/12/dr-mccullough-the-covid-vaccinated-are-a-health-threat-to-the-unvaccinated/>.

¹⁰⁹ See article on German study, at <https://needtoknow.news/2022/12/german-study-of-autopsies-of-people-found-dead-at-home-following-covid-vaccination/>.

¹¹⁰ See dead athletes report, at <https://catherinesalgado.substack.com/p/400-athletes-die-of-heart-failure#:~:text=Per%20a%20video%20posted%20by%20Citizen%20Free%20Press%2C,did%20not%20die%2C%20but%20received%20life-changing%20vaccine%20injuries>. See also the recent study authored by structural biologist Panagis Polykretis, and board-certified internist and cardiologist Dr. Peter McCullough, regarding more than 270 deaths in US athletes after vaccination, at https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/more-than-270-sudden-cardiac-deaths-in-us-athletes-after-vaccination-peer-reviewed-study_4960561.html.







Adverse Event Safety Signals for 12-17 Year-olds:

- Myocarditis
- Pericarditis
- Bell's Palsy
- Genital ulcerations
- High blood pressure
- Tachycardia
- Menstrual irregularities
- Cardiac valve incompetencies
- Pulmonary embolism
- Cardiac arrhythmias
- Thromboses
- Pericardial and pleural effusion
- Appendicitis (and perforation)
- Immune thrombocytopenia
- Chest pain
- Intensive care
- Increased troponin levels
- Anticoagulant therapy

Major Thrombo-Embotic Adverse Event Safety Signals from CDC's Analysis of VAERS Reports for mRNA COVID Vaccines

Adverse Event Safety Signals for 5-11 Year-olds:

- Myocarditis
- Appendicitis & appendectomies



Adverse Event Safety Signals for 5-11 Year-olds:

- Myocarditis
- Pericarditis
- Ventricular dysfunction
- Cardiac valve incompetencies
- Pericardial & pleural effusion
- Chest pain
- Appendicitis & appendectomies
- Kawasaki's disease
- Menstrual irregularities
- Vaccine breakthrough infection
- Vitiligo

THE CDC V-SAFE DATA COLLECTING SYSTEM AND THEIR ATTEMPT TO HIDE INJURIES


The CDC set up a voluntary user-run reporting system where those that received the COVID-19 injections could report any adverse health impacts. The system is known as “V-Safe”.¹¹³ According to a report done by *The Highwire* on or around October 7, 2022, through a FOIA request and after a year of legal filings and lawsuits, the CDC reluctantly provided them participant information from that system, dating from December 14, 2020 to July 31, 2022.

The Highwire created a database with the information provided by the CDC, and they found the following:

TOTAL INDIVIDUAL USERS:	10,108,273	
TOTAL INDIVIDUAL IMPACTS:	3,353,100	(33.17%)
TOTAL HEALTH IMPACTS:	6,458,751	(63.89%)
UNABLE TO DO NORMAL ACTIVITIES:	1.2 MILLION	(11.87%)
MISSED WORK OR SCHOOL:	1.3 MILLION	(12.86%)
REQUIRED MEDICAL CARE:	782,913	(7.74%)



The problem was, the V-Safe system was designed specifically to only capture minor and general issues, there were no checkboxes for serious events, like those listed as known in vaccine inserts (noting that the COVID-19 injections insert is fraudulently left blank). See screenshots on the right.



For example, it asks the participant to note simply Yes or No if they had fever but does not ask how high the fever was or for how long. It asks if they had any injection site symptoms, like pain, redness, swelling, and itching, but does not ask to what degree and where.

For symptoms, it has check boxes that only ask the participant for the following: chills, headache, joint pain, muscle or body aches, fatigue or tiredness, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, or rash, but again does not ask to what degree, and does not include other very serious symptoms reported in the COVID-19 studies, such as those listed in the Pfizer vaccine study titled, “Cumulative Analysis of Post-Authorization Adverse Event Repots of PF-07302048 (BNT162B2) received through 28-Feb-2021,” where in Appendix 1, titled “List of Adverse Events of Special Interest,” it provides 9 pages of single-spaced, back to back, injuries, including many serious injuries listed in the CDC Vaccines inserts, which I listed above.¹¹⁴ Further, **in these checkboxes**

¹¹³ See CDC’s information on V-Safe, at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/vsafe.html>.

¹¹⁴ See <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/pfizer-study-to-fda-shows-injuries-smoking-gun.pdf>.

they did not include myocarditis or heart attacks, which the manufacturers and the CDC knew have been associated with the COVID-19 injections and which have skyrocketed in the VAERS system since their rollout.

For Health Impacts, it asks if the participant was unable to work, unable to do normal activities, or required medical care, but again not how severe or how long that impact was. In all these outcomes noted, the V-Safe system could have easily added check boxes for each of these symptoms to determine seriousness, but it was, I believe, purposefully omitted.

It took the CDC 463 days from the time the data was requested by ICAN for the CDC to produce the data, but they did not provide all the information. In a further attempt to hide serious injuries, the CDC did not release the information that was provided by the participants in an open text field (fill in the blank box) titled “Any Other Symptoms or Health Conditions You Want to Report,” where more detailed information could be provided of not only the extent of the health impacts, but the specific medical problems suffered by the COVID-19 injections recipients.¹¹⁵


When the CDC established this tracking system, they announced that they would make the V-Safe data available to the public, but they have not published it in over 2 years. In the CDC letter/email to ICAN where they released the information, they noted that they had not released the data to the public because they had not completed the technical and administrative process required to post the data. With billions of dollars at their disposal, this is a flat out lie, as it took ICAN **less than one week** to create an active database to research all the data, as noted on the ICAN V-Safe Data searchable database screenshot above. The actions by the CDC are fraudulent and criminal in magnitude. Here is what the CDC wrote on their letter to ICAN to try to justify hiding the COVID-19 injections injury information:

The agency originally planned to post these datasets on CDC’s website on or before September 30, 2022. However, the agency has not yet completed the technical and administrative processes required to post data on a CDC.gov site. The agency is therefore releasing these datasets directly to you.

¹¹⁵ See *The Highwire* report on their V-Safe struggles with the CDC and the information they uncovered, presented by Del Bigtree and Attorney Aaron Siri, at <https://thehighwire.com/videos/exclusive-aaron-siri-breaks-down-cdcs-v-safe-data/>. To access the ICAN V-Safe database and do your own research, go to <https://icandecide.org/v-safe-data/>.

THE CDC SWINE FLU SCAM OF 1976

When the government through the CDC embarked in a national vaccination campaign against the so-called Swine Flu in 1976, claiming that 50-60 million could die if not inoculated, it only took 3 deaths for 9 states to halt the program, but as always, the authorities tried to say that there was no relationship. Then the program was halted entirely, after 32 death and 4,000 reported injuries, including Guillain-Barré syndrome that were attributed to the vaccines. Yet, the CDC even made a museum to their then Director, David J. Sencer, for supposedly doing a great job in handling the alleged pandemic and how to mobilize vaccination rollouts,¹¹⁶ but his lies were completely exposed. It was all a fraud. As a note, Sencer did nothing to stop the Tuskegee Syphilis Study despite ethical concerns raised internally.¹¹⁷



In a 1979, CBS *60-Minutes* report by Mike Wallace, Wallace interviews Sencer and the following facts were exposed:

1. Over 40 million persons received the swine flu vaccine, starting on October 1, 1976. At that time, **he admitted that there had been “several” reports worldwide of the swine flu – but none confirmed!** When asked if he had ever uncovered any swine flu outbreaks in the world, Sencer simply said, NO!
2. The consent form given to those vaccinated stated that the vaccine had been tested, but what it did not state was that after that vaccine’s tests were completed, **the scientists developed another vaccine, X53A, and that was the one given to most Americans.**
3. When Sencer was asked if X53A was ever tested, he first said, *“I can’t say, I would have to ...”* then Wallace interrupted and said, *“But it wasn’t,”* to which Sencer replied – ***“I DON’T KNOW.”***

¹¹⁶ See the CDC’s website describing the Sencer museum and his work in the swine flu fraud, at <https://www.cdc.gov/museum/online/story-of-cdc/h1n1/index.html>.

¹¹⁷ The *Tuskegee Experiment* or *Tuskegee Syphilis Study* was a study conducted between 1932 and 1972 (40 years) by the United States Public Health Service (PHS) and the CDC on a group of nearly 400 African Americans with syphilis. The purpose of the study was to **observe the effects of the disease when untreated. The men were not informed of the nature of the experiment and that there was a cure, and more than 100 died as a result.**

4. The consent form was supposed to warn about serious complications about the shot, but it did not, other than sore arm and fever.
5. When Sencer was asked if anyone had come to him and told him that the swine flu vaccine had the possibility of causing serious neurological damage, he said with a high degree of certainty, “No.” Yet, Dr. Michael Hadwick, head of the Surveillance Team for the Swine Flu program said that he did tell Sencer about these injuries being reported, saying in the interview that he “**Absolutely**” told Sencer.
6. **When Sencer was confronted by Wallace with an internal report from the CDC that lists neurological complications due to the vaccine, he simply said that the “consensus” (NOT THE EVIDENCE) was that the association between the vaccine and the illnesses was not a real one, which obviously the resulting injuries and deaths proved otherwise.**
7. When asked if he did not feel it was necessary to tell the American People the above information, he evaded a direct response.
8. The CDC also helped create the advertising for the Swine Flu vaccine. In one publicity campaign it listed celebrities that supposedly had taken the vaccine. Sencer said he did not know if anyone talked to these people beforehand, that he did not know if permission was granted by these celebrities to use their name. One of the persons listed was Mary Tyler Moore, who said when interviewed that she did not take the vaccine and that she did not give them permission to use her name.¹¹⁸

Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists ignore the dark history of our health agencies and take at face value their claims, which they use to attack those against the mandatory vaccination agenda. In one *Skeptical Raptor* article, David Gorski wrote,

*“These anti-vaxxers, who have nothing but fear, uncertainty, and doubt to support their pseudoscience need to resort to violent metaphors and hatred, just to make sure others support their cult of bovine fecal material. If they had real scientific evidence supporting their claims, they would, of course, engage in civil discourse. Well, if they were actually capable of understanding those words. An **open** vaccine forum, like this one at Loma Linda University, would have provided important information to parents so that they understand why mandatory (not really mandatory) vaccinations are critical to the well-being of not only their own*

¹¹⁸ See Sencer interview on 60-Minutes, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/9GRTehUxtq0b/>.

*children but all of the children in their communities. Sadly, **the anti-vaccine religion cannot abide by accurate information, because it negates their lies.***" ¹¹⁹

As has been proven herein, true science is behind Pro-Health Activists as to the harm of vaccines and how this affects all communities. As noted above, Gorski even goes as far as to claim that childhood vaccines are not mandatory, but they are: when the only other option is to be kicked out of school. Gorski further ignores the fact that Pro-Health Activists are the ones requesting civil discourse to bring attention to the public about vaccine injury, but Reiss and other Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists refuse to do such a debate.¹²⁰

Gorski wrote another article that proves this point, appropriately titled, ***"The vaccine debate — there is no debate, the science is settled,"*** where he writes, ***"I always laugh, and then I always recommend not participating."*** ¹²¹ My questions to Gorski would be, **SINCE WHEN IS SCIENCE SETTLED?** That is the most unscientific statements one can make, **for science is never settled. It is, by definition, an ever-evolving body of human knowledge.** All that Gorski and Reiss are trying to do is to close all debate on vaccines through intimidation and personal attacks, not real scientific facts, and real-life experiences.

IT IS TIME TO OVERTURN JACOBSON

U.S. Supreme Court decisions are not absolute. Each one has unique facts of the day used to make a ruling. All the facts presented herein would make a case that would be distinguishable from the facts considered in *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11 (1905).¹²² It is time that courts, and in particular the U.S. Supreme Court, revisit *Jacobson*, just like it recently did with the *Roe v. Wade* case when it overturned it. As a reminder, it was the same *Jacobson* court that ruled to uphold a state's right to forcibly sterilize a person considered unfit to procreate.¹²³ In *Jacobson*, the Court noted the following:

*"There is, of course, a sphere within which the individual may assert **the supremacy of his own will and rightfully dispute the authority of any human government, especially of any free government existing under a written constitution, to interfere with the exercise of that will. But it is equally true that, in every well ordered society charged with the duty of conserving the safety of its members the rights***

¹¹⁹ See *Skeptical Raptor* article, at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/tag/david-gorski/>.

¹²⁰ See my presentation at Loma Linda, where I address Gorski's article, at <https://tinyurl.com/PastorRicardo-LomaLindaSpeech>. See also NY Senator Brad Hoylman refusing to debate Robert F. Kennedy Jr. on vaccine harm, at <https://www.nysenate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/brad-hoylman/sen-hoylman-declines-rfk-jr-debate-challenge-refuses-spread>.

¹²¹ See Gorski's article rooting for no debate on the vaccine issue, at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/the-vaccine-debate-there-is-no-debate-the-science-is-settled/>.


¹²² See decision in *Jacobson* at <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/197/11/>.

¹²³ See U.S. Supreme Court decision on allowing forced sterilization, *Buck v. Bell*, 274 U.S. 200 (1927), at <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/274/200/>.

of the individual in respect of his liberty may at times, under the pressure of great dangers, be subjected to such restraint, to be enforced by reasonable regulations, as the safety of the general public may demand."

This paragraph summarizes the main argument in this case: ***Is the Supremacy of an individual's right to be free from forced vaccination above the general public's right to demand that he be vaccinated, done through government regulatory schemes, and are these regulations as applied today reasonable? As a note: There Can Be No Great Pressure – If There Is A Cure!!!***

This first thing to point out is that it is not the general public who is requiring the vaccines, it was, as it is today, corrupt health officials, vaccine manufacturers, and other interested parties, as proven herein, that promote such coerced vaccination rules and regulations, and who in the process hide the injuries and deaths caused by vaccines. The dangers of vaccines were known and reported going back to the time of *Jacobson*, although they were hidden from the general public. These injuries were well documented by Chas. M. Higgins in his 1920 book, "***Horrors of Vaccination Exposed and Illustrated***,"¹²⁴ as was the collusion to hide vaccine deaths at the vital statistics department level. Here are some screenshots from the book:



Nothing is new under the sun. Higgins summarizes the dangers of vaccines in his book and includes quotes from his contemporaries against forced vaccination, such as the following:


¹²⁴ See the book *Horrors of Vaccination*, at <https://ia800704.us.archive.org/28/items/39002086340891.med.yale.edu/39002086340891.med.yale.edu.pdf>.

"This amazing act is the homicidal insanity of a whole profession. This is blood assassination."

-- Dr. James J. Garth Wilkinson. 1876

"It is unwise for the physician to force the operation upon those who are unwilling, or to give assurances of absolute harmlessness."

-- Dr. Osier's "Modern Medicine," 1913, Vol. I, page 848.



"Vaccination is not always a harmless procedure; it must be looked upon as the production of an acute infectious disease."

-- Dr. Milton J, Rosenau, 1914.

"Against the body of a healthy man Parliament has no right of assault whatever, under pretense of the public health."

-- Professor F. W. Newman, of Oxford.

"Vaccination is a delusion, its penal enforcement a crime."

-- Professor Alfred Russel Wallace, in "The Wonderful Century," 1899.

The second thing to note is that, as has been proven herein, the CDC Vaccines and the COVID-19 injections have not been proven to be safe, but on the contrary, they have resulted in injury and death and therefore, forcing any person by regulation and/or employer policies to be vaccinated, **IS NOT in the best interest of any well-ordered society charged with the duty of conserving the safety of its members, as the safety of the general public demands.**

Things that make *Jacobson* distinguishable from a properly submitted court challenge today include the following:

1. The fact that the *Jacobson* case only involved a penalty of \$5 dollars, whereas today the effect of such coerced vaccination includes the loss of an opportunity for education, loss of employment, being kicked out of the military, being denied access to public places, being denied travel, forcing harmful masking in order to be in indoor and/or outdoor settings, and even being denied medical services, like organ transplants, which many courts have found recently to be unconstitutional.
2. In the time of *Jacobson* access to information regarding manufacturers' vaccine studies, the vaccine package inserts, and government agency interdepartmental correspondence, as well as health agency innerworkings and communications, was not available to the public as we have today through Freedom of Information requests and Discovery requests in civil and criminal litigation. We also did not have tracking

systems for vaccine injuries like VAERS, access to the internet to compare global studies and medical experiences on vaccine injuries, and testimonies readily available from experts that would expose the injuries caused by vaccines, in particular the COVID-19 vaccines, or the declarations by Plotkin that admit that vaccines have not been properly tested, and that therefore there is no proof that they are safe, admitting that no true placebo tests exist, but are needed, to name a few.¹²⁵

3. We now have whistleblowers that prove not only the danger of vaccines, but also the collusion between the Criminal Participants responsible for making vaccines mandatory. This includes whistleblowers from the vaccine manufacturer industry (@BigPharMafia),¹²⁶ government agencies like the CDC¹²⁷ and HHS,¹²⁸ from hospital staff,¹²⁹ funeral homes embalmers,¹³⁰ insurance representatives,¹³¹ mainstream media reporters,¹³² luminaries in the medical field,¹³³ financial institutions,¹³⁴ and the victims of vaccine injury.¹³⁵

If the CDC, HHS, NIH, FDA, and other executive agencies, as well as local health officials, are hiding the injuries caused by the CDC Vaccines and the COVID-19 injections, then they are not

¹²⁵ See also my analysis of the Robert Kennedy Jr. and Alan Dershowitz debate on compulsory vaccination, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/bobby-and-alan-debate-rb-letter.pdf>.

¹²⁶ See former Vice-President of Pfizer Michael Yeadon's petition to Europe's medicine regulators to Halt COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/dlMoxix4tx7t/>. See also declarations by Pfizer researcher, at https://needtoknow.news/2022/03/researcher-blows-the-whistle-on-pfizer-vaccine-trials/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=researcher-blows-the-whistle-on-pfizer-vaccine-trials.

¹²⁷ See confessions by Dr. William Thompson in the movie *Vaxxed*, supra.

¹²⁸ See declaration from Dr. Maria Gonzales, ER Doctor, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, on the government not reporting COVID-19 vaccine injuries, at <https://www.projectveritas.com/news/federal-govt-whistleblower-goes-public-with-secret-recordings-government/>.

¹²⁹ See hospital whistleblower on hospitals not reporting COVID-19 vaccine injuries, at https://needtoknow.news/2021/09/hospital-whistleblower-reports-that-doctors-hospitals-do-not-report-vaccine-injuries-deaths-t/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=hospital-whistleblower-reports-that-doctors-hospitals-do-not-report-vaccine-injuries-deaths-t.

¹³⁰ See the documentary *Suddenly Died*, supra.

¹³¹ See insurance company estimating that 3 million people in Germany have been treated for COVID-19 vaccines injuries, at https://needtoknow.news/2022/02/insurance-co-estimates-3-million-people-in-germany-treated-for-covid-vaccine-injuries/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=insurance-co-estimates-3-million-people-in-germany-treated-for-covid-vaccine-injuries.

¹³² See Lara Logan expose on vaccine injury, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/HmMQi8WPe7Ra/>. See also report by Ben Swann, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/EDpVj18Yjg43/>.

¹³³ See Senator Ron Johnson's Capitol Hill Covid Forum hearings, at <https://thehighwire.com/videos/inside-the-capitol-hill-covid-forum/>.

¹³⁴ See former BlackRock fund manager Edward Dowd details data showing the COVID shots are a crime against humanity, at https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2022/12/11/cause-unknown-epidemic-sudden-death.aspx?ui=74191f6d122b35582b0f9ed0152999f1f0c4366305468a2e10760a1df6318678&sd=20200608&cid_source=dnl&cid_medium=email&cid_content=art1ReadMore&cid=20221211_HL2&cid=DM1304210&bid=1666488428.

¹³⁵ See the documentary, *Vaxxed: The People's Truth*, supra.

acting in the best interest of society; instead, they are contributing to its negative health outcomes because of such treatments and the assault on their victims' civil liberties by promoting and trying to force vaccination on the public.

Therefore, it can easily be argued that because mandatory vaccination programs are not in the best interest of society, then individuals such as myself and Pro-Health Activists, have a right, in vaccine cases, to demand and ***assert the supremacy of our own will and rightfully dispute the authority of any government agency to interfere with the exercise of our will to not be vaccinated under any circumstances.***


I HEREBY ASSERT MY SUPREMACY OVER ANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, THEIR RULES AND REGULATIONS, TO DECLINE ANY AND ALL VACCINATIONS.

VACCINATIONS ARE NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF OUR NATION'S PUBLIC HEALTH. ANY PRONOUNCEMENTS FROM ANY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE, BIG PHARMA, OR DORIT REISS TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDING.


Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists make fun of those claiming vaccine injuries, noting that their injuries are anecdotal and because of that, not related to vaccines. The persons that appear in the documentary "Anecdotal" show a completely different situation based on true stories.¹³⁶ As one person in the documentary noted,

*"How can you say (injuries) rarely happens when they even don't acknowledge they exist ... How do they (the government and the medical industry) truly know what the percentage is (of COVID-19 injured persons) **WHEN THEY WON'T EVEN ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE EXIST.**"*



Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists want us to believe that COVID-19 vaccines are the leading cause of coincidental injuries and deaths, like the following coincidences noted in two VAERS generated charts, one showing a report on miscarriages with an extremely unusual rise in miscarriages in 2021 and 2022; and the other showing how many days after vaccination miscarriages occurred.




¹³⁶ See the documentary "Anecdotal", at <https://www.anecdotalsmovie.com/>.



Or maybe the coincidence shown on these other two VAERS generated charts, one showing all reports of Myocarditis and Pericarditis with an “unexplainable increase” in the years 2021 and 2022; and the other showing how many days after the Flu shot and the COVID-19 injections did deaths occur. Again, a reminder that a Harvard study found that only 1% of actual injuries and deaths are reported in the VAERS system.



This is the result for COVID-19 injections that we were told were effective. Well not exactly, it was all a play of words. Here is one example, **showing less than one percent effectiveness:**



Relative risk is the ratio between one risk and another. This means that you take the likelihood of one event happening and divide it by another. Absolute risk is the absolute difference between the two risks, which just means that instead of dividing one risk by another you subtract.¹³⁷

¹³⁷ See PubMed article titled, “Outcome Reporting Bias in COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Clinical Trials”, at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33652582/>.

PRO-COERCED VACCINATION ACTIVISTS' ATTACK AND DISCRIMINATION AGAINST PRO-HEALTH ACTIVISTS

On the pro-vaccine website, Vaxopedia.org, apparently run by Dr. Vincent Iannelli, and which appears to have corroboration with *Skeptical Raptor* and *Respectful Insolence*, as they recommend and provide links to their websites, in an article from December 16, 2018 titled "*Ethics and Vaccines*," they wrote,

*"Remember, a vaccine mandate that says you have to be vaccinated to attend daycare or school **does not force anyone to get vaccinated**. Folks still have a choice to not get vaccinated, even without access to non-medical vaccine exemptions, **although they might not like what that choice entails**, such as homeschooling or not being able to attend daycare or summer camp."*

Applying this to the COVID-19 injections, the choices for not being vaccinated included losing your job, being kicked out of the military, not been able to go to public places, having to be masked and secluded from others, not allowed in hospitals, being denied medical treatment, being denied government benefits, etc. **In other words, denied the right to live.**

In the Merriam-Webster dictionary website they define the verb *force* as follows: "**FORCE: To compel by physical, moral, or intellectual means ... FORCE, COMPEL, COERCE, CONSTRAIN, OBLIGE mean to make someone or something yield. FORCE is the general term and implies the overcoming of resistance by the exertion of strength, power, or duress.**"¹³⁸ In simple terms, this means to make someone do something against their will. So, let's apply the above comment from *Vaxopedia* to two examples:












1. If a person loses his job because they are not vaccinated, and all employers require such vaccination, or are compelled by government to only hire vaccinated employees, then that person will not have money to feed his/her family. So, the options are only two: vaccinate or be prohibited from working. Would that person feel and be forced to take the vaccine in order to eat and survive? YES.



2. If a person's child would not be able to attend school unless he/she is vaccinated, and if that child's parent is of low income, a single parent, and works as his/her only means of income, and the parent can neither do homeschooling or afford to have someone do it for him/her, what is that parent's options: vaccinate the child or leave him/her at home alone (remembering that this would violate laws that requires children to go to school). Would that parent feel and be forced to vaccinate the child in order to give him/her an education and not violate the law? YES.

¹³⁸ See definition of "*force*", at <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/force>.

What does this prove? This proves that the statement by *Vaxopedia* may be deceiving and might even be raised to the level of being fraudulent upon its followers and the public in general, a sort of *Fraud In The Factum*, especially if *Vaxopedia* has any sort of financial gain by promoting both vaccination and forced vaccination and attacking Pro-Health Activists, noting that the amount of knowledge regarding vaccines and related studies shown by Reiss, *Skeptical Raptor*, *Respectful Insolence*, and *Vaxopedia*, imply that they know of all the evidence referenced and provided both by the medical establishment and health authorities, as well as by Pro-Health Activists, that confirms vaccine injury and death, such as information from *ICAN/The Highwire* and the *Children's Health Defense*, to name two. This is made obvious by Reiss in a recent post she made on her Facebook page:

10:51 AM Sat Sep 3 facebook.com 49%


          

 Dorit Rubinstein Reiss 

"Having watched enough of these films, I've become aware of their structure, and Willis' film is one of the tightest, most concise versions of the conspiracy theory documentary. It has all the elements of quack documentaries going back to time immemorial and books lauding quacks:

1. A miracle drug/treatment for a deadly disease.
2. The brave maverick doctor or doctors who have discovered this miracle drug/treatment, who are portrayed as geniuses.
3. The "persecution" of the brave maverick doctors for just wanting to help patients.
4. Enemies, specifically big pharma and the government, whose profits and interests are threatened by the miracle drug/treatment and the brave maverick doctors promoting it.
5. A deceptive description of the evidence base for the miracle drug/treatment that portrays it as, well, miraculous.
6. The media as part of the conspiracy to shut down the miracle drug/treatment and the brave maverick doctors promoting it.
7. A note of hope at the end that the public will "wake up" to the conspiracy and take back the power to use the miracle drug/treatment.



Once you become familiar with this sort of narrative and the elements, all or some of which are generally employed in such propaganda exercises, you'll see them everywhere in science denying films and books. Indeed, Willis' film reminds me very much of other quack and antivax conspiracy films, such as the movies about Stanislaw Burzynski from a decade ago or Del Bigtree and Andrew Wakefield's antivax epic VAXXED from six years ago. The narratives are very similar, but Willis distills the narrative down to an easy-to-digest 14 minutes, which makes his film peddling disinformation about ivermectin all the more insidious."



RESPECTFULINSOLENCE.COM


Mikki Willis has expanded from Plandemic to ivermectin conspiracy theories

Mikki Willis of "Plandemic" fame is back, and this time he's flogging conspiracy theories ab...

When it comes to *Fraud in the Factum*, this is defined as a misrepresentation that **causes one party to enter into a transaction without clearly understanding** the duties, obligations, or **risks incurred**.

Because of the obvious harm caused by vaccines, and in an attempt to protect me and my family, as well as all Children of God around the world, I have dedicated much of my time since 2015 not only to expose the dangers of vaccines, but also to expose those that push such inhumane agenda, and in the case of Dorit Reiss, in good faith I have tried to convince her to expose this fraud, to acknowledge the victims of vaccine injury, and to join me in my efforts, such as my recent post in her Facebook page, which she deleted shortly thereafter.



An example of Reiss' attack on my reputation is a recent article she published primarily about me in *Skeptical Raptor*, titled, *"Anti-vaccine activists try to use liability insurance policies for easy money."*¹³⁹ Simply the title of the article implies that I am in this fight for money and not for the unselfish purpose of helping others. Reiss has researched many of my writings, knows I established a church to help Pro-Health Activists legally establish their religious right not to vaccinate, that I do this work free of charge,¹⁴⁰ and that I do all this with good and honorable intentions. Here are some other libelous claims in her article and my rebuttal to such attacks on my character:

CLAIM #1: *"On learning my school has a liability insurance policy, decided that provides him with a double opportunity: **revenge** (for imaginary harms) and **windfall**."*

REBUTTAL: I seek no **revenge** against Reiss, I simply request that she stop providing misinformation and attacking those against forced vaccination, including me, and reminding her that she is in violation of her Oath of Office based on her conduct, representing U.C. Hasting and/or personally. Further, my actions prove I have no interest in financial gain by doing all my filings and that their sole purpose is to stop the mandatory vaccine programs.

CLAIM #2: My Pro-Heath Activist tactics include filing baseless legal claims to harass and distract.

¹³⁹ See Reiss' article attacking me, at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/anti-vaccine-activists-try-to-use-liability-insurance-policies-for-easy-money/>.

¹⁴⁰ See my Church's introductory video, at <https://tinyurl.com/The-Natural-Law-Church-RBeas>.

REBUTTAL: I do not file baseless legal claims. I follow well-established legal procedures afforded by multiple government agencies to make my filings and request remedy and relief, providing documentation that proves the dangers of vaccines and the illegality of the mandatory vaccine programs. My intent is not to harass, but to provide legal arguments that prove that it is unconstitutional to forced individuals and those under their legal care to get vaccinated. My message is not intended to distract anyone; on the contrary, it is intended to bring attention to all the evidence as presented herein that shows the fraud and danger behind the mandatory vaccine program.

CLAIM #3: I am a harasser and self-appointed pastor of a church.

REBUTTAL: I have never harassed Reiss or anyone else. On the contrary, I have amicably approached people promoting vaccination and provided evidence of the frequent injuries caused by CDC Vaccines and the COVID-19 injection, giving them the opportunity to be forthcoming and truthful.¹⁴¹ I have never used aggressive pressure or intimidating tactics against anyone. If anything, as in my post in Reiss' Facebook account above, I have requested that they reevaluate their position on mandatory vaccination and to turn to God for guidance. As to being a self-appoint pastor of a church, Reiss comment implies that my church has no valid standing, when in fact by reading the description I provided on my church website, www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com, one can confirm that I have followed Biblical Scripture in establishing my church, and as many other religious leaders throughout history, received a calling from God to establish such church to guide His Children.¹⁴²

CLAIM #4: *"In (Beas') case, (my request for Reiss' Oath of Office to U.C. Hastings) seems based on the assumption that since he decided that – in contrast to my view and the judicial consensus – vaccines mandates are unconstitutional, **my speaking up for them was, in his opinion, a violation of my oath of office.**"*

REBUTTAL: I did not "decide" that vaccine mandates are unconstitutional, the evidence as provided herein shows they are, and that they are based in fraud. It is not my opinion that Reiss simply speaking in favor of and promoting vaccines is a violation of her Oath of Office. Instead, it is my belief that promoting that my God-given and constitutionally reflected personal and religious rights be violated by employers and government agencies and that I be ostracized from society and destroyed financially, and in the case of my children, to deny them education and/or to have the government taken them away from me and placed in foster care simply for not vaccinating, is in fact a violation of her Oath of Office, her oath to defend the U.S. and California State constitutions, which includes not violating my rights to life, liberty, the pursuit of happiness, and my right to ignore medical tyranny brought against me through fraud and Reiss' misrepresentation of the facts of vaccine injury. Our courts have consistently held that fraud

¹⁴¹ See my recent phone conversation with Dr. Stanley Plotkin regarding the COVID-19 vaccines, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/stanley-plotkin-rb-phone-call-12-13-2022.pdf>.

¹⁴² See my church website, **Biblical Authority** section, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/biblical-authority/>.

vitiates whatever it touches, Morris v. House, 32 Tex. 492 (1870)". Estate of Stonecipher v. Estate of Butts, 591 SW 2d 806. "It is a stern but just maxim of law that fraud vitiates everything into which it enters." Veterans Service Club v. Sweeney. 252 S.W.2d 25. 27 (Kv.1952)." Radioshack Cory, v. ComSmart, Inc., 222 SW 3d 256.

CLAIM #5: *"In my view, his continued obsession with me stems from this refusal to continue to engage."*

REBUTTAL: I am not obsessed with Dorit Reiss, Reiss is simply a threat to my rights, has affected my life directly, and because she continues to use her brilliant legal mind to try to justify mandating vaccines and removing all personal, medical, and religious exemptions to it, which government agencies and employers can use against me, I am simply defending myself from her and her political influence.

It would be a tragedy if Reiss where to give a vaccine to one of her beautiful children, and then see them seriously injured by such vaccine, like the COVID-19 injections she is promoting for children. It would break my heart to see that she did not change her ways until a vaccine injury happened in her family, like many pro-vaccines persons now that regret taking the COVID-19 injections and who have now apologized to Pro-Health Activists for not believing them and attacking their stance against vaccination.

CLAIM #6: *"My impression is that **he intended to target me**. I think this is reflected in a document he wrote titled "Vaccine Class Action Complaint: Violation of Civil Rights and Criminal Conspiracy by Criminal Conspiracy (sic, Participants)" – in **which he accused me of a variety of creative and unrealistic (read: imaginary) things**. **This is not a valid legal document**. It's not a class action complaint. It is not a lawsuit. It's basically a memo trying to make claims hoping some official will see something to act in them.*

REBUTTAL: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the U.S. Department of Education (DOE) have well-established procedures for residents of this country to submit complaint against entities and/or government agencies violating their constitutionally protected civil and religious rights. You will find the DOJ procedures at the following website: <https://www.justice.gov/actioncenter/submit-complaint>, for HHS you will find them at <https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/filing-a-complaint/index.html>, and for the DOE at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/complaintprocess.html>. I further copied President Donald J. Trump on my complaint, being that he is the Chief Executive Officer and has final authority over all these agencies. Due to my limited resourced, I combined my complaint to cover all three agencies and requested that due to the importance of my filing, affecting our national interest, that they work together to address my complain. Thus, my filing was and is a valid legal document.

My **Vaccine Class Action Complaint** (VCAC) is named that way because I invited others to join me in submitting related complaints, and in fact several individuals did. The VCAC was not intended to target Reiss, it was intended to be a detailed expose of the whole chain of individuals, organizations, and government agencies that work together in unison to violate our personal, medical, and religious right not to vaccinate.¹⁴³ None of my claims are imaginary, they are based in fact and my complaint provides all necessary references to prove my position. The fact that none of these agencies acted on my complaint is not an indication that my complaint was frivolous, it simply shows how infiltrated, captured, and corrupt our government agencies have become, resulting in dereliction of duty. Thanks to President Trump, Elon Musk, and others this deep government corruption is being exposed.

In another article published by Reiss, titled *“No personal liability for officials who impose COVID public health restrictions in California schools,”*¹⁴⁴ Reiss states that **“the Nuremberg Code does not apply to mask mandates, since they are not human experiments.”** This means that the Nuremberg code does apply to those attempting to force vaccination upon others. This is even more evident from the fact that the COVID-19 injections’ Emergency Use Authorization clearly note that they cannot be mandated on anyone. As noted in the Vaccine Information Fact Sheet for the *Comirnaty* and *Bivalent* COVID-19 injections: **“Under the EUA, it is your choice to receive or not receive any of these vaccines. Should you decide not to receive any of these vaccines, it will not change your standard medical care.”**¹⁴⁵ Regardless of this, Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists promote that these vaccines be made mandatory with legal consequences for those who refuse them, including for children from 6 months of age.

And now, to maintain the authorization to continue to push the COVID-19 injections on the public, the federal government is extending the COVID-19 public health emergency – which has now been extended about 12 times – for three years, since this deadly farce began,¹⁴⁶ even though Joe Biden recently said he would be ending it on May 11, 2023.

In the above article Reiss references California Government Code 820.2 to try to make the point that public officials cannot be held liable when acting within their discretion. Section 820.2 reads as follows:

“Except as otherwise provided by statute, a public employee is not liable for an injury resulting from his act or omission where the act or omission was the result of the exercise of the discretion vested in him, whether or not such discretion be abused.”

¹⁴³ See my *Vaccine Class Action Complaint*, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/criminal-complaint-vaccines-us-dept-justice.pdf>.

¹⁴⁴ See <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/no-personal-liability-for-officials-who-impose-covid-public-health-restrictions-in-california-schools/>.

¹⁴⁵ See <https://www.fda.gov/media/153716/download>.

¹⁴⁶ See https://www.theepochtimes.com/federal-govt-extends-covid-19-public-health-emergency_4977861.html.

What Reiss fails to point out is that **public employees do not have any discretion vested in them to violate their Oath of Office, which requires them to uphold the federal and state constitutions and not violate any person's civil and religious rights.** A violation of an Oath of Office, which is acting outside of their vested authority, is an abuse of power and strips any public official from sovereign immunity, making them personally liable for any harm they cause and subjects them to tort action. As Reiss notes, *"public officials are expected to use their discretion for the public good,"* but **violating or promoting the violation of a person's constitutional rights is not in the public's good or best interest.**

In our email exchange of 2016 (supra, page 3), Reiss wrote:

*"I understand that a family that sincerely believes a child was vaccine injured **will find SB277 extremely distressing, whether or not the evidence supports the belief in the vaccine injury.** I understand why they object. That doesn't change the risk from promoting anti-vaccine misinformation. However sincere these families are, **they are acting in ways that put others at risk.**"*

This is a misguided interpretation of the truth because Reiss denies all vaccine injuries when someone claims them (like when Reiss deleted the link to the McDowell Triplets's interview in her Facebook page about three times when I posted it), and because these parents are not putting others at risk; instead, they are warning other parents of the potential of suffering the same injuries if they vaccinate their children. One thing that is true in her statement, is that laws like SB 277 and Reiss' influential and harmful writings do create extreme distress on me and Pro-Health Activists, which would justify a tort claim for discrimination and for related harm from those responsible for hiding vaccine injuries from the public.

DORIT REISS PROMOTING MANDATORY VACCINATION AND PUNITIVE DAMAGE AGAINST THOSE WHO DON'T -- IS A VIOLATION OF MY RIGHTS

While it is true that Dorit Reiss has a right to her own opinions as an individual and not as a lawyer,¹⁴⁷ as I do, neither of us have the right to violate the other's legally established rights, nor the right to promote others to do so. **It's like yelling "FIRE" in a movie theater, hoping everyone will rush out to get their toxic vaccines, feeling forced to do so in order to save their lives – from COVID-19 or from the government's wrath. People are being pointed directly into the slaughterhouse of annual mandatory vaccine orders and regulations.**

There were 3 vaccines that were mandated for school children in 1962, 24 in 1983, and after the vaccine manufacturers were given full immunity in the late 80s, they increased to around 76 today. **Do you think that now that Big Pharma has not only children, but all of humanity, under**

¹⁴⁷ As Reiss noted in one of her writings: "... **talk to a lawyer (which I AM NOT),**" at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/anti-vaccine-activists-try-to-use-liability-insurance-policies-for-easy-money/>.

its grip through government force, that they won't start increasing the number of mandatory vaccines???

Now that the COVID-19 injections were accepted by health officials and the general public, even though they did not go through animal studies, as it is always required, now health authorities like the FDA and the CDC are talking of new medications without initial animal studies, **USING ONLY COMPUTER MODELS.**¹⁴⁸

DO YOU REALLY THINK THEY ARE WORKING IN OUR BEST INTEREST, OR IS IT OBVIOUS TO YOU, LIKE IT IS TO ME, THAT THEY ARE A COMPLETELY BIG PHARMA-CAPTURED POLICE FORCE UP TO NO GOOD?

U.C. Hastings is aware of the Pro-Vaccine/Pro-Coerced Vaccination activities of Reiss, both in her writings and in the content of the classes she teaches in its campus.

U.C. Hastings' *Policy on Social Media* states the following:

"UC Hastings community members (academic and staff employees, students and community members) are expected to act with honesty, integrity, and respect for the rights, privileges, privacy, sensibilities, and property of others."

Regardless of this and for reasons unknown to me, U.C. Hastings continues to allow Reiss to be disrespectful of the rights and privileges of others, such as myself and other Pro-Health Activists, and she continues to teach future attorneys that vaccines are not harmful and that those that oppose them have no constitutional right to avoid them.

U.C. Hastings' *Code of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities and Procedures for Discipline and Grievances* states the following:

¹⁴⁸ See <https://dailycloud.io/by-eliminating-requirement-for-animal-testing-fda-modernization-act-allows-faster-more-cost-effective-drug-development/>.



*“The authority to discipline faculty members in appropriate cases derives from the shared recognition by the Faculty and the Administration that **the purpose of discipline is to preserve conditions necessary to the College fulfilling its mission as an institution of higher learning. College discipline should be reserved for faculty misconduct that is either serious or is made serious through its repetition or its consequences.**”*

PRO-COERCED VACCINATION ACTIVISTS’ DIRECT INJURY TO ME

As a direct result of Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists’ influences on government representatives in passing bills such as California’s SB 277 and SB 276, and creating and publishing regulatory schemes to justify others to continue the COVID-19 restrictions and vaccination policies, I was forced to homeschool one of my children for two years in California, which seriously affected her emotionally, and to subsequently leave California and move to Yuma, Arizona, in order to avoid vaccinating my minor children, which is against my personal, medical, and religious beliefs and rights.

Further, by trying to silence and punish all dissent against mandatory vaccination programs, including me, Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists are engaged in discrimination and in an attack on my constitutionally protected right to free speech. In an article titled, *“Anti-vaccine claims, misrepresentation and free speech,”* Reiss wrote:

*“If an individual relies on that advice (to not vaccinate) but is hurt, is there a legal remedy? Or is there a way to prevent the harm? Are these kinds of comments protected by free speech? ... Naprawa suggests anti-vaccine claims and utterances, **because of their falsity and potential harm, deserve only limited protection under the first amendment.**”*¹⁴⁹

After quoting Amanda Naprawa, Reiss proceeds to make the case that anyone speaking against vaccines should lose their right to free speech. Yet, as proven here in, (1) vaccines do cause injury so any statements to that effect are not false, and (2) there is no harm in not vaccinating, when other treatments are available that don’t have the potential side effect of vaccines, from minor injuries, to various degrees or neurological disorders and death. At the same time, because vaccines don’t stop transmission and those vaccinated also spread the disease, if not more so than the unvaccinated, as proven herein, then there is no reason to discriminate between both groups as to their right to free speech. Therefore, there is no justification in trying to limit my First Amendment right to free speech to call out such fraud behind the CDC Vaccines and COVID-19 injections and related lockdown measures.

One the other hand, because of the falsity that vaccines have been proven to be safe and because their harm is well documented, like in the case of the McDowell Triplets, **shouldn’t Dorit Reiss, Skeptical Raptor, and Respectful Insolence, et al deserve only limited protection** under the first amendment, in case someone was defamed by them, or injured by their comments and activism,

¹⁴⁹ See Reiss’ article on free speech, at [Anti-vaccine claims, misrepresentation and free speech \(skepticalraptor.com\)](https://skepticalraptor.com).

and such Pro-Health Activist where to bring a tort claim against them? That is something for all Pro-Health Activists to consider seriously. Isn't it time for us to consider putting an end to the real harmful misinformation promoters, whose focus is to destroy our reputation, harm our health, and strip us of our rights?

CONCLUSION

As has been proven herein, the mandatory vaccine programs, both CDC Vaccines and the COVID-19 injections, are permeated with fraud, lies, and an attempt to hide vaccine injuries to continue to justify the promotion of these programs. Such compulsory programs directly violate my God-given and constitutionally protected rights. Every publication or online post or blog that Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists' make promoting that I be discriminated against and punished for standing firm on my right not to be vaccinated, is both a violation of my rights, and when it comes to government employees, it is also a violation of their Oath of Office to uphold the constitution and protect my rights.


Every day new evidence comes out proving the harm that vaccines are causing, in particular the COVID-19 vaccines.¹⁵⁰ The harm being done to most of the population will be irreversible, and if Pro-Coerced Vaccination Activists don't join Pro-Health Activists soon, they and their descendants will suffer worse consequences than those of us that had the courage to fight against this tyranny and avoided toxic vaccinations. Sometimes you just have to do what you have to do – This is an open invitation to Pro-Coerced Vaccinations Activists to join us to save our species, nothing less than that. Here is a link to a summary of all the evidence for you to share with others that exposes the truth about vaccines: <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/vaxx-truth>.

¹⁵⁰ See the following examples of recent news reports regarding the dangers of vaccines and COVID-19 policies:

- <https://needtoknow.news/2023/01/pfizer-biontech-bivalent-covid-booster-sets-off-safety-signal-warning-for-stroke/>.
- <https://needtoknow.news/2023/01/top-cancer-expert-says-aggressive-cancers-are-appearing-after-vaccine-boosters/>.
- <https://needtoknow.news/2023/01/after-four-shots-covid-jabs-produced-collapse-of-immune-system-in-mice/>.
- https://www.ntd.com/pfizer-responds-after-director-says-company-is-developing-ways-to-mutate-covid-19_897843.html.
- https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/cdc-aware-of-reports-of-debilitating-illnesses-after-covid-19-vaccination-official_5020135.html.
- https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/mit-expert-calls-for-immediate-stop-of-mrna-covid-jab-evidence-shows-unprecedented-level-of-harm_5020151.html.
- https://www.theepochtimes.com/immune-exhaustion-emerges-after-3rd-vaccine-dose-current-findings_5007886.html?ea_src=ai&ea_med=search.
- <https://needtoknow.news/2023/02/top-insurance-analyst-finds-7-increase-in-mortality-for-each-covid-vax-dose-received/>.
- <https://www.zerohedge.com/political/massive-mask-study-shows-little-no-difference-preventing-covid-flu-infection>.
- https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/bivalent-covid-vaccines-perform-worse-against-variant-now-dominant-in-united-states-studies_5040659.html.
- <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/infant-mortality-vaccine-doses/>.

May God protect us from vaccine harm, forgive those that repent for their bad deeds, and punish those that are trying to force us to get vaccinated against our will, our health, and our well-established God-given rights. Amen.

With Love to All.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ricardo Beas', with a horizontal line underneath.

Pastor Ricardo Beas

Natural Law Church of Health and Healing

A Natural Born Man, a Child of God

All rights reserved

*Written as a reflection of my personal views and not
as a representative or Pastor of our Church*

