RECOMMENDATION TO DEL AND ICAN: SUE THE FDA AND FORCE THEM TO REMOVE THE EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATION FOR ALL COVID VACCINES

(Emailed to Del, Aaron and Cat, and posted on Facebook on 6/22/2024)

Dear Del,

The time is ripe for ICAN/ICAN Legislate to sue the FDA to remove all Emergency Use Authorization permits for all covid vaccines. This is extremely important now because of the upcoming **Bird Flu Restrictions that will surely be pushed by health authorities.** You are in the perfect place to take such action with the new V-Safe data you have collected and even categorized with your system, and you don't need more data releases before you can proceed. Here is Aaron's great work accomplished with the V-Safe Litigation:

- 1. It proves that the CV vaccines causes injury in high percentages.
- 2. It shows that even though the purpose of the V-Safe system was to identify injury and for health authorities to report it immediately for consideration to see if the benefits outweighed the risks, that those responsible in CDC/FDA/HHS did not do so.
- 3. It shows that the CDC et al knew of the injury being reported and when confronted with ICAN's FOIA request, that they did their best to avoid releasing the data, attempting to fool all Americans and the world when they consistently claimed that the CV vaccines rarely caused injury. Because Fauci was placed in the front of the response, he is the most culpable of hiding this data.
- 4. Big Pharma likely had full access to V-Safe Data and knew of the injuries (aside from their own studies), and therefore knew of the overwhelming side effect, and yet worked in conjunction with health authorities to continue to deny any serious side effects.

With the above being true, the lawsuit would claim that,

- 1. The V-Safe Data shows that the vax's injuries outweigh the benefits.
- 2. Other treatments are now available, like Ivermectin, HCQ, and vitamin D, to name a few, making the EUA no longer valid.
- 3. In fraudulently preventing the V-Safe info from being exposed to the public, Big Pharma and health authorities conspired to maintain the EUA by making any non-vax treatments unavailable to the public, taking legal action against those promoting and using such inexpensive treatments, claiming them harmful. The classic example was the ivermectin/horse FDA post, that a court recently forced them to remove. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/health_law/section-news/2024/march/fda-to-delete-social-media-posts-discouraging-use-of-covid-19-drug/.

Section 564 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which grants the EUA has these restrictions:

"(B) the known and potential benefits of the product, when used to diagnose, prevent, or treat such disease or condition, outweigh the known and potential risks of the product (IT DOES NOT), taking into consideration the material threat posed by the agent or agents identified in a declaration (IT HAS BEEN PROVEN THAT COVID WAS LIKE/LESS THAN A FLU) under section 564(b)(1)(D) of the FD&C Act, if applicable; (3) that there is no adequate, approved, and available alternative to the product (WHICH FROM THE BEGINNING WE KNEW THERE WAS) for diagnosing, preventing, or treating such disease or condition." See <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/03/13/2023-05073/authorization-of-emergency-use-of-a-drug-product-during-the-covid-19-pandemic-availability#:~:text=Section%20564%20of%20the%20FD%26C%20Act%20permits%20FDA%20to%20authorize,the%20authorization%20of%20emergency%20use.

WORKING WITH OTHER STATE ACTIONS. The approach should include working together with Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton, who is suing Pfizer for unlawfully misrepresenting the effectiveness of the company's COVID-19 vaccine and attempting to censor public discussion of the product. See https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/news/releases/attorney-general-ken-paxton-sues-pfizer-misrepresenting-covid-19-vaccine-efficacy-and-conspiring#:~:text=Texas%20Attorney%20General%20Ken%20Paxton,public%20discussion%20of%20the%20product.

Another state suing Pfizer is Kansas, for making misleading claims about the effectiveness and safety of its COVID-19 vaccine. See https://redtea.com/pfizer-faces-lawsuit-from-kansas-over-covid-19-vaccine-misleading-statements/?awt_a=1zHkE&awt_l=bq_ms1&awt_m=iUhiUOGYhVdITkE.

There are probably other states taking similar and maybe coordinated actions. All of them will greatly benefit from getting access to your uncovered V-Safe data, where ICAN can supplement their case, and they can supplement ICAN's lawsuit to remove the EUA. If nothing else, these state prosecutors should be provided all the data you now have and will continue to have in the future. With all this corruption uncovered in lawsuits, it will be easier to argue in any court in the future that any new upcoming Plandemics should have no affect on our choice to vax or not, to lock down or not, to use a mask or not – TO BE FREE OR NOT!

Just a thought.

Have a great and blessed weekend,

Pastor Ricardo Beas www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com