

# LETTER TO DR. PIERRE KORY

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF VIRUSES

By Pastor Ricardo Beas – April 7, 2025

Revised and Edited for Publication

Good afternoon, Dr. Kory,

I reviewed all the information you provided on viruses, the AI info, Mees Baaijen response to Cowan, and the Substack article by “A Midwestern Doctor” article on the existence of viruses. To clarify, while I don’t believe in viruses as defined by the orthodox medical establishment, I do believe in pathogenic microbes that can live inside and outside of the body that can be contagious/transmitted to others, and I do believe that Rife's findings, as noted in my virus study below, prove the no-virus camp wrong. Here is my response.

As to A Midwestern Doctor’s article, somewhat more objective in his approach, but like the other two, not covering all the arguments from the no-virus camp. Thus, I will focus the rest of my comments on Midwestern’s post. (See

[https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/thoughts-on-the-existence-of-viruses?utm\\_source=publication-search](https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/thoughts-on-the-existence-of-viruses?utm_source=publication-search))

The one main thing I did not like about Midwestern’s article is that it did not give names. When he was referring to the people in the no-virus camp, their prejudices, possible bad intentions, ignorance, lack of medical licenses and only insisting on this position so they can sell their views and/or products, who was he referring to, Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Thomas Cowan, Drs. Sam and Mark Bailey, Dr. Poornima Wagh, or who? While he might have not mentioned names to avoid conflict, it does not serve the interest of those of us analyzing his work and its accuracy. Personally, I believe that all of the above mentioned person’s in the no-virus camp do believe their position on the issue, stand sincerely behind their claims, and share their findings in good faith.

(Note: I have to admit that I am disappointed at Midwestern for noting that he knew one or more of these no-virus camp doctors (I got a feeling he is referring specifically to Dr. Cowan), and that they were good persons/doctors before they jumped into the no-virus camp, and now he/they are simply doing it to grab attention, to promote their services or products, that they may be controlled opposition, and he even points to him/them having lost their medical license as proof that their views are wrong. As we know, you Dr. Kory, almost lost yours and can still lose it because of all your writings, and many other doctors did lose theirs because of them exposing and opposing the corrupt medical system, or simply because of curing person with non-approved methods, and not because of malpractice).

The same when he apparently referenced Rife's work and microscope, without naming him. For example, he writes:

***"In the past, a few creative microscope designs were made that allegedly could bypass the optical magnification limit (I have studied these devices and tracked down people who used two of these devices but never directly operated one), and many of the often cited beliefs of viruses arising from inside the body are based upon the observations made by operators of these microscopes."***

There is no "alleged" proof that Rife's microscopes work, this was well documented in the Smithsonian Institute's 1944 *"Annual report of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution."* See Smithsonian Institute's detailed report on Rife's microscope, starting at page 207, at <https://library.si.edu/digitallibrary/book/annualreportofbo1944smit>. (Note: For easier viewing and analysis, the report with important highlights was reproduced in my virus study, at pages 29-38). See my study, at <https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-Study-Viruses>.

Midwestern's statement implies that Rife believed that viruses only exist inside the body, but that is incorrect, and in fact, he clearly refers to such microbes as viruses (that being the recognized term for what he was observing at the time). I avoid using that term, because I am not convinced of a virus as defined by orthodox medical science: some microbe only living and existing when in a host cell. To me, that is like you being bitten by a bulldog, going to the doctor and he/she tells us that what caused the bite where the flees he saw on the dog – a distraction so we can never find the real answer to disease. Your work on MMS has proven how easy it is to kill pathogens, in general, of all sizes, while orthodox medicine says you are wrong, if not crazy, because you don't understand that viruses can only be killed by the ingredients in vaccines, one for each virus.

I also disagree with Midwestern that there are two camps, those that believe in viruses and those that believe in terrain theory. As I noted in my study, those behind the original terrain theory never stated that viruses/microbes only exist inside our bodies, that is simply the new belief as expressed by Kaufman et al. As I note in my study, there are now two terrain theory camps:

***"Traditional Terrain Theory.** Terrain theory advocates, at least the original ones, like Béchamp and Quinton, mentioned above, do believe in the existence of germs, including alleged viruses, and other microscopic organisms that can transmit disease, but they believe that if the body is in perfect balance, in particular in an optimal (whatever that may be) pH balance, at least when such diseases are fought, usually above neutral 7 pH, that such microbes will be unable to cause disease and will be destroyed by organisms within*

our body, those that are considered to be related to our immune system, like red blood cells.

**The New Terrain Theory.** *The New Terrain Theory is that viruses do not exist, nor do pathogenic contagious microbes; that all disease (whatever may cause them) comes from inside our bodies, and that the body heals itself by means as described in the Terrain Theory.”* Dr. Cowan et al are in this group.

As stated by Midwestern:

*“The first is that **viruses require cells to reproduce** and be cultured. This means that there will never be a way to answer this objection as **you cannot have viruses without the cells** they were cultured in, and therefore, this objection is unfalsifiable.”*

So, based on this “theory”, a virus exists, but it will soon die if it cannot find a cell to infect, live in, and reproduce in. Thus, a viable question is, from the moment the new “born” virus is released from the host cell and finally finds a new cell, for how long can that virus exist outside of a host, seconds, minutes, hours, days? Some of the examples that Midwestern uses, including using religion and God to make his point, are not convincing to me. If viruses exist, they should be able to be isolated, whether in free form or squeezing them out of the host cell that is creating/reproducing them, isolation’s true meaning being “separated from everything else,” then using it to infect a cell and having it reproduce the virus again, like Rife did in the video below, which procedure he repeated with the same results hundreds of times. If we say we don’t have the technology or Rife-type microscope to do that, then that is fine, but is no reason to say that “viruses” as defined now exist, let’s just keep it, if at all, as a “theory”.

To be clear, my study debunks more the no-virus camp than the pro-virus camp, as I do believe in a contagious/transmissible microbe that can live inside or outside of the body. Here are the arguments that none of the three sources you provided or other similar articles I have read against the no-virus camp, have really addressed – and which I will, to the best of my ability:

1. Can a PCR test really detect a specific virus? Supposedly the pro-virus camp isolates a virus by taking (for example) the snot from a sick/virus-infected individual, does a PCR test, at lower or higher cycles, or a rapid antigen test; determines that a specific virus is there, then puts it in the culture, adds multiple chemicals, does not provide food/nutrition for the cell, observes cell die-off and uses this result to prove that the virus is there and considers it isolated. There is only one problem with this approach: The no-virus camp followed the exact procedure, but without adding the snot – and cell die-off still happened, showing that

it was the added chemicals and lack of food that were the culprits. See Dr. Cowan explaining the test they did, at minute 12:23, at <https://rumble.com/embed/v3vsgl/>.

My thoughts. Two possible things:

(a) The microbe is in there, but the procedure is flawed, and no real isolation is done. See Rife's 2 minute video on using Koch's Postulates to isolate pathogenic microbes, at <https://rumble.com/v4l2zal-rife-uses-kochs-postulates-to-isolate-pathogenic-microbes.html>.

(b) If Rife's findings are correct (as I shared with you), then maybe the microbe is inside the body, produces chemicals that cause the disease, and maybe that chemical is in the runny nose snot, but not the microbe itself. See one minute video of Rife making microbes explode using his frequency machine, at <https://rumble.com/v4l30i5-rife-shows-his-frequency-ray-devitalizing-pathogenic-microbes.html>.

2. Christine Massey has done over 250 FOIA requests to the CDC and other countries health agencies asking for proof of isolation/purification of the SARS-COV-2 virus, and to the CDC, all the other viruses in the child vaccine schedule, and they all have come back with the same result – no records found. See <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/?fbclid=IwAR1lYo7LGBafMSMcDEMhic5gepP1uwVQBdpE9ruWpAz8XA2gOHw9gqnJHes>.

My thoughts. Viruses as described by orthodox medical science do not exist, but contagious microbes do exist in other forms (going back to the bite-dog-flee example). As a reminder, I do believe in pathogenic microorganisms that are contagious/transmissible, and in my life experience I have been infected several times by others' microbes, which resulted in me getting flu-like symptoms. See my virus study, at pages 22-23, at <https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-Study-Viruses>.

3. Cowan researched studies going back to the 1900s where they tried to infect healthy people with the snot and other bodily fluids of persons presenting flu-like symptoms and they were never able to transmit/reproduce the disease in others. I would share the video where he addresses all the studies individually, which I personally saw, but it has been removed from Bitchute. It used to be at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/hEW2PLYDNHZR/>. As a note, Cowan does mention such studies in the video interview I referenced above, at minute 5:30, at <https://rumble.com/embed/v3vsgl/>.

My thoughts. Based on all of Rife's findings, in particular the issue of no microorganism affecting you if you have a neutral pH of 7, and/or if you have certain healthy eating habits that would inhibit their growth, multiplication, or the survival of the pathogen, it would be impossible to infect a person under such conditions with the bodily fluid containing the microbe, and it is obvious that you would only try to infect healthy people in all the studies referenced by Cowan.

It could also be that when they tried to collect and/or tried to isolate the microbe, it was neutralized. For example, applying one of Rife's findings, like in a pleomorphic way, the microbe changing from a pathogenic microbe to a non-pathogenic microbe by the time it enters the new host, whether seconds, minutes, or hours later, as they prepared to try to infect the new host.

On a separate note, we need to find out from Midwestern who is/are the person(s) that might have possession or access to a Rife microscope, which might help us further his research. Please try to get that information for us. Bobby was also interested in Rife's work when I mentioned it to him when he was running for president, and he asked me to remind him of Rife's work once in office; even more important now that he is HHS secretary. He might even be able to help us and/or the owners of the Rife microscopes to get a grant to help us continue Rife's research. If nothing else comes out from our discussion about the existence of viruses, finding out that there are Rife microscopes out there made it worth our time. This may be another God-sent miracle for both of us - and for Mankind.

So, in summary, let me refresh my original statement:

***The pro-virus and no-virus camps are both right and wrong at the same time, except that:***

***--- The pro-virus camp is deceived by orthodox medicine as to the existence of viruses, and***

***--- Both camps are lacking the knowledge of the findings of Royal Raymond Rife and Jim Humble***

Here is a link to my full study, ***"On the Existence of Viruses: A Possible Misconception Between Both Proponents,"*** at <https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-Study-Viruses>. Again, I share my beliefs and findings with the highest respect for you, your work, and your dedication in liberating God's Children from disease.

Have a great and blessed weekend.

Pastor Ricardo Beas

[www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com](http://www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com)

[Ricardo@TheNaturalLawChurch.com](mailto:Ricardo@TheNaturalLawChurch.com)