

To the Attention of:
PRESIDENT DONALD J. TRUMP
THE WHITE HOUSE
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500
USPS CertRec# 7018 1130 0000 2762 7650

Ricardo Beas
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Yuma, AZ 85367
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
RicardoBeasV@hotmail.com
March 9, 2026

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE - NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE IS NOTICE TO AGENT

For live links, view online at tinyurl.com/DOJ-HHS-Vax-Complaint

C/O:

<p>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTN: PAM BONDI ATTORNEY GENERAL U.S. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL C/O: HARMEET K. DHILLON Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division or successor in office 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20530 Ph: 202-514-4609/3847 Emailed to: AskOCR@usdoj.gov USPS CertRec# 7018 1130 0000 2751 8521</p>	<p>U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES ATTN: ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR. SECRETARY U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES C/O: PAULA M. STANNARD Director Office for Civil Rights or successor in office 200 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20201 Ph: 202-619-0403/800-368-1019 Emailed to: ocrmail@hhs.gov USPS CertRec# 7018 1130 0000 2751 8514</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

COMPLAINT OF CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS¹
RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION BY FORCED VACCINATION
CALIFORNIA, CONNECTICUT, MAINE, NEW YORK, AND WEST VIRGINIA

Dear Honorable Pam Bondi and Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.,

I, Ricardo Beas, on behalf of myself, my family and any and all Children of God, man and woman, minor and adult (hereinafter My Class), residing in the geographical areas known as the United States of America, including all its territories and possessions (hereinafter, the United States), in particular the states of California, Connecticut, Maine, New York, and West Virginia, **HEREBY REQUEST:** That the Civil Rights offices of the U.S. Dept. of Justice (DOJ) and the U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services (HHS) work in coordination, together with any other federal agencies that they might deem appropriate, **TAKE LEGAL ACTION: To ensure that all cities, counties, states, or areas in the United States, allow without restriction or limitations, religious exemptions to vaccination for any child or adult to attend school, including kindergarten, elementary, high school, college, university, or any other school of instruction, as well as for all employment positions, or general activities, etc.²**

¹ First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution: "***The right of the People ... to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.***" – In the instant complaint an injury, injustice and wrong which gives grounds for complaint because it is unjust and oppressive. See "Grievance," Black's Law Dictionary, Revised Fourth Edition.

² I adopt all footnotes, exhibits, internet articles and videos mentioned herein by reference and incorporation.

GENERAL JURISDICTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF INVOLVED AUTHORITIES

Both the DOJ and HHS have jurisdiction to address my complaint herein and to act upon it.

DOJ: The DOJ's Educational Opportunities Section is responsible for enforcing Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, and religion in public schools and institutions of higher learning. See <https://www.justice.gov/crt/educational-opportunities-section>.

HHS: HHS enforces federal laws that protects conscience and the free exercise of religion and prohibits coercion and discrimination in health and human services. See <https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/your-protections-against-discrimination-based-on-conscience-and-religion/index.html>.

GENERAL TRUE STATEMENTS AS CHILDREN OF GOD

We are Children of God, man and woman, and hold these truths to be self-evident:

- (1) That all Children of God are created equal and that they are all endowed by our Creator with certain unalienable Rights.
- (2) That among these unalienable rights are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness; and
- (3) That to secure these rights, all governments are instituted among men and women, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed (hereinafter, its Citizens). In a few words, all governments exist to serve their citizens, and not the other way around.³

The United States Constitution of September 17, 1787, reflects some of these unalienable rights, noting in the First Amendment the following:

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof”⁴

The primary tenet of all God-fearing religions is for us to obey God. Being created by God and being made up of the physical and spiritual elements that make up God, His Essence, we are part of God, a drop in the Ocean We Call God. The Holy Bible reflects this truth, when it states,

“Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defile the temple of God, him shall God destroy; for the temple of God is holy, which temple ye are... What? know ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Ghost which is in you, which ye have of God, and ye are not your own?”⁵

³ See the text of the Declaration on Independence, at <https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/declaration-transcript>.

⁴ See the United States Constitution, First Amendment, at <https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-1/>.

⁵ See The Holy Bible, 1 Corinthians 6:16,17,19.

In order to comply with God's commandments, we must protect our body from any and all harm, which might affect our ability to properly serve God and protect His temple, which we are. In particular, we must avoid any bodily harm that represents a clear danger to our health.

In order to serve God, we must be free from government intervention in order to exercise our religious freedoms and customs as God intended.

STATEMENTS FROM PRESIDENT TRUMP CONCERNING RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND VACCINE HARM

In his State of the Union Address of January 30, 2018, President Donald J. Trump gave the following order to all his Cabinet members and secretaries, which is true today:

“Protect Religious Liberties ... Faith and Family Are the Center of American Life ... The Motto Is ‘In God We Trust’ ... Remove Federal Employees Who Undermine the Public Trust or Fail The American People”

When on the campaign trail for the 2024 presidential campaign, President Trump stated the following:

“I will not give one penny to any school that has a vaccine mandate or a mask mandate from kindergarten to college.”⁶

When speaking about the COVID-19 vaccine mandates, President Trump made the following statement:

“They support the worst of COVID, vaccine mandates, and want to fire you if you don’t obey. You get fired. We oppose these mandates as a fundamental violation of our God-given rights. We don’t want the mandates.”⁷

On September 22, 2025, President Trump, together with Secretary Kennedy, addressed the nation and discussed the dangers of vaccines. Secretary Kennedy said in part:

“Some 40 to 70 percent of mothers who have children with autism believe that their child was injured by a vaccine. President Trump believes that we should be listening to these mothers instead of gaslighting and marginalizing them like prior administrations.”⁸

Afterwards, President Trump described his own experience with mothers whose children were seriously injured by vaccines, saying in part,

“I had a woman who worked for me ... and she had the most perfect child, beautiful, just perfect ... so healthy and vibrant ... One day I came in and she was crying her eyes out behind the counter ... I said,

⁶ See President Trump addressing school vaccine mandates, at <https://rumble.com/shorts/v2u9wpf>. See also <https://rumble.com/shorts/v482uqc>.

⁷ See President Trump opposing COVID-19 vaccine mandates, at <https://rumble.com/shorts/v5c7wfx>.

⁸ See Secretary Kennedy’s comments on mothers confirming their children’s vaccine injuries, at minute 17:50, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IQ1nbaa14Vo>.

*are you ok? ... 'My boy, my boy ... he was so beautiful, and I took him for a vaccine sir, and he developed this unbelievable ... 107, 108 (fever)' ... And ... they (their children) get fried. She said ... 'I took him, and he developed an unbelievable temperature, and I've lost him sir, he's gone.' And then I saw the boy ... It was so tragic to see. That was the following day after the vaccine, and I've seen it two other times, I think three ... where they go to the doctor, they get the vaccine, they get the shot, the kid is ...badly hurt."*⁹

VACCINES HAVE BEEN PROVEN TO CAUSE HARM, UP TO DEATH

In order for DOJ, HHS, or any other agency to understand the concerns of persons against vaccine mandates, related to the potential of injury or death from the administration of vaccines in the CDC Childhood Vaccine Schedule (hereinafter vaccines), the first thing that they need to analyze is, can vaccines cause injury?

While Big pharma claims that they don't, or very rarely do, and health agencies and the medical establishment back them, there is one undeniable truth that confirms that such claims can never be made by them, and that truth is:

IN PRE-LICENCING STUDIES CDC CHILDHOOD VACCINES HAVE NEVER BEEN TESTED AGAINST A TRUE INERT SALINE PLACEBO

This statement is confirmed by the vaccine package insert for each of these vaccines.¹⁰ This statement was further confirmed by Secretary Kennedy in a congressional hearing.¹¹ In other words, no one person can claim with 100% certainty that coffee is bitter or not – if he or she has never tasted it. The same logic applies to vaccine safety testing. It is that simple. Period!

Once this fact is admitted, then the focus should be on what potential injuries could a vaccine cause? For this, for starters, we simply go to the vaccine package inserts, that show all the diseases that each vaccine is likely to cause, as **VACCINE INSERTS MUST ONLY LIST REACTIONS THAT HAVE A CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP.**

As noted in Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations, section 201.57,

⁹ See President Trump talk about serious vaccine injuries, at minute 41:14, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IQ1nbaa14Vo>.

¹⁰ See my table showing that no vaccines were ever tested in pre-licensing studies against a true inert saline solution placebo, as well as never tested to see if they can cause cancer or if they can affect fertility, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccines-insert-breakdown.pdf>. See also my **Analysis of Vaccine Safety Claims Flowcharts**, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/analysis-of-vaccine-safety-all-flowcharts.pdf>.

¹¹ See Secretary Kennedy testifying before Congress that the vaccines in the CDC Childhood schedule were never tested using true inert saline solution placebos in pre-licensing studies, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BVVgrPQ9nJ0>.

“This definition does not include all adverse events observed during use of a drug, only those adverse events for which there is some basis to believe there is a causal relationship between the drug and the occurrence of the adverse event.”¹²

According to vaccine inserts, here is a list of some of the many negative consequences and injuries that can be caused by these vaccines, from minor to sudden death:

*Nervous tics, allergic reaction, face edema, swelling face, pruritus, rash generalized; nausea, diarrhea, cellulitis, bronchiolitis, UTI, acute gastroenteritis, asthma, aspiration, breath holding, influenza, inguinal hernia repair, viral syndrome, URI, croup, thrush, wheezing, choking, conjunctivitis, pharyngitis, colic, colitis, congestive heart failure, severe migraine with unilateral facial paralysis; nerve compression in neck and arm, paresthesia, hypoesthesia, facial palsy, convulsion, syncope, myelitis, anaphylactic and anaphylactoid reactions (can kill you); systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Guillain-Barré syndrome; multiple sclerosis, arthritis pain in extremity, tachycardia, encephalopathy, coma, Collapse or shock-like state, fainting, decreased level of consciousness, prolonged seizures, progressive neurologic disorder, including infantile spasms, uncontrolled epilepsy, or progressive encephalopathy, anaphylaxis (death can occur within 30 minutes of onset), Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), Autism, and Death.*¹³

While the CDC’s Factsheets on childhood vaccines that are provided in a doctor’s office upon request basically say that vaccines rarely cause injury, like one in a million, some stating that *“there is a very remote chance of a vaccine causing a severe allergic reaction, other serious injury, or death,”*¹⁴ the data proves otherwise.

Prior to Secretary Kennedy’s appointment to office, it was an unwritten and hidden policy of HHS officials that studies comparing vaccinated children against unvaccinated children were never to be done. This was confirmed by Dr. Anthony Fauci and others in a meeting set up by President Trump in his first administration at the National Institute of Health, where Del Bigtree and Secretary Kennedy, as a concerned citizen, requested that HHS do a study doing the comparison. In the words of Del Bigtree, these HHS representatives said, ***“We Will Never Do That Study.”***¹⁵

Dr. Stanley A. Plotkin, considered the highest authority on vaccines by the CDC, and author of the vaccinologists’ bible on vaccines, *“Plotkin’s Vaccines,”* confirmed (confessed reluctantly) in a

¹² See Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 201.57 - ***Specific requirements on content and format of labeling for human prescription drug and biological products described in § 201.56(b)(1)***, at <https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/21/201.57>.

¹³ See my ***Analysis of Scheduled Vaccines’ Box Information Insert***, covering all vaccines in the CDC childhood vaccine schedule, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/vaccines-insert-analysis.pdf>. Autism is mentioned in the Tripedia vaccine package insert, at <https://www.rxlist.com/tripedia-drug.htm>.

¹⁴ See CDC vaccine factsheets, at <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/current-vis/index.html>.

¹⁵ See Del Bigtree explaining what happened at the NIH meeting, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/1ixUvQzRjCA9/>.

civil case deposition to Attorney Aaron Siri that the CDC childhood vaccines have never been in a study comparing the vaccinated against the unvaccinated.¹⁶


A co-author of the same book, Kathryn M. Edwards, also had no option but to confess in a separate civil deposition to attorney Siri that there is not a single study that proves that any of the vaccines in the CDC childhood schedule do not cause autism. No proof that they cause autism, and no proof that they don't.¹⁷ That is to say, it is unproven, meaning, they can cause it, and do, as the mothers of vaccine-injured children have claimed for years.¹⁸

Luckily for mankind, these studies have been done by other respectable authorities, and these studies have proven over and over again that children that are vaccinated are much sicker than those that are not, proving the harm of vaccines. Here are some:

- (1) Dr. Brian Hooker and Secretary Kennedy's book, "Vax-Unvax – Let The Science Speak". See <https://discover.bklynlibrary.org/item?b=12810155>.
- (2) Dr. Paul Thomas' study comparing the health outcome of children in his practice. See Children's Health Defense's article titled "Real-Life Data Show that the CDC Vaccine Schedule is Causing Harm," at <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/news/real-life-data-show-that-the-cdc-vaccine-schedule-is-causing-harm/>.
- (3) Documentary, "An Inconvenient Study," by Del Bigtree and the Informed Consent Action Network, focused on the study done by Dr. Marcus Zervos at Henry Ford Health in Detroit, Michigan. See trailer at <https://rumble.com/v70552y-an-inconvenient-study-trailer.html>. See full documentary at <https://rumble.com/v708g9a-ican-and-del-bigtrees-an-inconvenient-study-vaxxed-vs.-unvaxxed-study.html>.

STATES THAT REFUSE TO PROVIDE RELIGIOUS EXEMPTIONS

As shown above, vaccines have the potential to cause injury. Persons, like me, have an unwavering religious belief that we must avoid potential injuries to our health in order to serve God. And as a consequence, we believe that under our God-given rights to exercise our religious beliefs and freedom, that we have a right and religious obligation to avoid vaccination to avoid such harm.



¹⁶ See Dr. Plotkin confirming the lack of studies comparing the vaccinated against the unvaccinated, at minute 43:24, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEWAPM9SAEY&t=3192s#t=43m19s>.

¹⁷ See Edwards confessing that there is no proof that vaccines do not cause autism, at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/ImQr3VsXRhk2/>. See also Aaron Siri's book, "Vaccines, Amen: The Religion of Vaccines," at https://www.amazon.com/stores/author/B0FQ4JMV3M?ccs_id=e5a934b2-2a48-4548-86fd-b28f3c26b2ea.

¹⁸ See the documentary, "Vaxxed II: The People's Truth," at https://rumble.com/v1lanfv-vaxxed-ii-the-peoples-truth.html?e9s=src_v1_s%2Csrc_v1_s_o&sci=b70a6f41-d409-4adb-91ff-b755d59f9a60.

Presently, there are five states that do not allow religious exemptions: California, Connecticut, Maine, New York, and West Virginia. Such legislation and laws are a direct violation of our religious rights, and the DOJ and HHS have the obligation to protect us from these states and their policies, by taking actions as are allowed under their civil rights protection programs.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) can and does take civil action against states, local governments, and agencies that violate federal religious rights protections. The DOJ's Civil Rights Division investigates and files lawsuits to enforce federal statutes that protect religious freedom in areas such as land use, employment, education, and access to public facilities. Here are examples where the DOJ has taken action against states that violate civil rights:

1. DOJ Sues Texas over Voting Restrictions (2021) – Civil Rights

- **Action:** The DOJ sued Texas, arguing that its voting law (SB1) would disenfranchise voters with disabilities, the elderly, and those who don't speak English, in violation of the Civil Rights Act.
- **Source:** <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/justice-department-files-lawsuit-against-state-texas-protect-voting-rights>

2. DOJ Action against North Carolina's "Bathroom Bill" (2016) – Civil/Religious Rights

- **Action:** The DOJ sued North Carolina over HB2, a law requiring people to use restrooms corresponding to their sex at birth, arguing it violated Title VII and Title IX (civil rights protections, including for transgender individuals).
- **Source:** <https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/article/north-carolina-justice-dept-sue-each-other-over-states-bathroom-bill/>

3. DOJ Lawsuit Over Alabama's Treatment of Incarcerated Individuals with Mental Illness (2019) – Civil Rights

- **Action:** The DOJ filed suit against Alabama for violating the Eighth Amendment by failing to protect prisoners from harm and provide adequate mental health care.
- **Source:** <https://clearinghouse.net/case/15506/>

4. DOJ Statement of Interest Supporting Religious Gatherings in Virginia (2020) – Religious Rights

- **Action:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, the DOJ filed a statement of interest supporting a Virginia church sued for holding services despite public health orders, arguing that restrictions targeted religious assemblies unfairly.
- **Source:** <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/department-justice-files-statement-interest-support-church-ministers-underserved-communities>

5. DOJ Settlement with Kentucky over Religious Rights in Foster Care (2022)

- **Action:** The DOJ reached a settlement with Kentucky over allegations that foster youth were discriminated against based on disability and religion, violating Title II of the ADA and the First Amendment.
- **Source:** <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-civil-rights-investigation-conditions-kentucky-youth-detention>

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) can and does take action against states, local governments, and health care providers that violate federal laws protecting religious, moral, and conscience rights. The HHS Office for Civil Rights (OCR) investigates complaints and enforces laws that prohibit discrimination against faith-based organizations and healthcare personnel. Here are examples of cases where HHS has taken action against states for violation of religious civil rights:

1. California – Abortion Coverage Mandate (Weldon Amendment)

In January 2020 HHS OCR issued a Notice of Violation to the State of California, concluding that California's requirement for all health insurance policies to cover abortion violated the Weldon Amendment because it forced religious organizations to act against their beliefs.

- **Source:** <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ca-notice-of-violation-abortion-insurance-cases-01-24-2020.pdf>

2. Illinois – Contraceptive Mandate (Church & Weldon Amendments)

In 2021, HHS OCR resolved a complaint against the State of Illinois after a law was passed requiring all health insurance regulated by the state to cover abortion, even for religious organizations. OCR worked with Illinois to resolve the claim.

- **Source:** <https://www.hhs.gov/press-room/fact-sheet-announcements-on-conscience-and-life.html>

3. Vermont – University of Vermont Medical Center (UVMHC) (Church Amendment)

HHS OCR in 2019 found the University of Vermont Medical Center (a state hospital) in violation of the Church Amendment for forcing a nurse to participate in an abortion against her religious beliefs.

- **Source:** <https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/conscience-protections/uvmhc-letter/index.html>

My and my Class's conscience and religious freedom rights must be protected by the civil rights divisions of the DOJ and HHS, working in unison, from such intrusion into our lives and our religious right to practice our religion in a way that we believe will better protect our children's health, while complying with God's ordained responsibility placed upon all parents, while ensuring that our children will not be denied public or private schooling for lack of vaccination.

The United States Department of Justice's Civil Rights Division, the primary federal agency for the protection and prosecution of any person or entity for violation of civil rights, including conscience and religious freedom rights and beliefs, has jurisdiction and can investigate any and all matters related to violations of conscience and religious freedom rights regarding a parent's decision not to vaccinate their children, such as in the following circumstances:

(1) The DOJ Civil Rights Division's Educational Opportunity Section has the authority to investigate and prosecute any person or school entity, public or private, receiving any federal funds for any activity, who violates any person's conscience and religious freedom rights.

(2) The DOJ has the authority to investigate and prosecute any person or entity violating a person's conscience and religious rights if such violation results in the loss of school

rights and privileges, to wit,

No person shall on the ground of sex or religion be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity funded in whole or in part with funds made available by the federal government, including but not limited to funds for nutrition and school breakfast programs, school materials, literature and books, field trips, awards, vouchers of any type, and any and all other tangible and intangible things and benefits from the federal government.

The HHS Conscience and Religious Freedom Division has jurisdiction and can investigate any and all matters related to violations of conscience and religious freedom rights regarding a parent's decision not to vaccinate their children, such as in the following circumstances:

(1) HHS' jurisdiction includes assisting and protecting the rights of those persons who presently receive any benefits under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as covered under Section 508 of the Social Security Act (42 USC § 708), Section 533 of the Public Health Service Act (42 USC § 290cc-33); Section 1908 of the Public Health Service Act (42 USC §300w-7); Section 1947 of the Public Health Service Act (42 USC § 300x-57); The Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (42 USC § 10406); The Communications Act of 1934 (47 USC § 398); and any other related federal laws, legislation and regulations (hereinafter "regulations").

(2) HHS has jurisdiction to investigate and take action against any medical establishment and government agency and their respective agents, in particular those receiving funds from HHS directly or indirectly in the form of tangible or intangible things of value, if such medical establishment or government agency, or any covered entity violates any person's, employees', or medical professional's conscience and religious freedoms rights and beliefs.

(3) HHS has jurisdiction to investigate and take action against any public or private school, school district, county health department, and state department of education, in particular those receiving funds from the HHS directly or indirectly in the form of tangible or intangible things of value, such as funds for nutrition and school breakfast programs, school materials, literature and books, and any and all other tangible and intangible benefits from HHS, if such entity violates any person and his children's conscience and religious freedoms rights and beliefs.

(4) If any school is violating a student's religious rights to avoid vaccination based on state legislation, like Senate Bill 277 in California, HHS has an obligation to act against such state legislation so that schools can freely allow such religious exemptions. For most of the laws enforced by HHS, a "Covered Entity" must receive, directly or indirectly through any other entity Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and most or all do.

(5) At a minimum, HHS has an obligation to refer and report this violation of our religious rights to the DOJ for further legal action.

(6) **IMPORTANT:** The whole issue of mandatory vaccination for children comes precisely from HHS' own CDC recommendations for school vaccinations based on the CDC's schedule. But these are not just CDC recommendations, they push this agenda to make childhood vaccines mandatory to the states and to the organizations the CDC funds, such as the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), all of which together with the CDC are pushing state legislators, health and educational institutions to remove the personal and religious exemptions from any legislation dealing with elementary school vaccination.¹⁹

Therefore, HHS has jurisdiction and a moral and legal obligation to investigate and take action in this matter because of the rough actions by the CDC in promoting toxic vaccines, which CDC whistleblower Dr. William Thompson has come out to confirm that the CDC has fraudulently hidden and destroyed documents that show all the dangers of vaccines, in particular their link to autism.²⁰

The federal government, as well as all local, county and state governments, de facto and de jure, are bound by the U.S. Constitution and cannot violate any of my and My Class's rights as noted in the various amendments therein, to wit,

- (1) **1st Amendment:** *"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof."*

NO ENTITY OR PERSON CAN VIOLATE MY OR MY CLASS'S CONSCIENCE AND RELIGIOUS FREEDOM RIGHT NOT TO VACCINATE OUR CHILDREN;

- (2) **4th Amendment:** *"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated."*

I AND MY CLASS HAVE A RIGHT TO BE SECURE OF OUR PERSONS, WHICH INCLUDES OUR BODY AND HEALTH AND THAT OF OUR FAMILY AND CHILDREN, AND THEREFORE NO ENTITY OR PERSON HAS THE RIGHT OR AUTHORITY TO HARM US IN ANY WAY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO (a) FORCING US TO USE TOXIC VACCINES, (b) THREATENING TO DENY SCHOOL FOR OUR CHILDREN, (c) HARASSING US OR OUR CHILDREN FOR NOT VACCINATING, (d) TAKING OUR CHILDREN AWAY FROM US FOR LACK OF VACCINATION, AND (e) TAKING LEGAL OR ILLEGAL ACTION AGAINST US FOR SUCH CONSCIENCE AND RELIGIOUS DECISIONS TO NOT VACCINATE OUR CHILDREN.

- (3) **5th Amendment:** *"No person shall ... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."*

THE ACTIONS OF ANY GOVERNMENT CAN, WILL, OR ARE DEPRIVING ME AND MY CLASS'S CHILDREN'S RIGHT TO A HEALTHY LIFE BY ATTEMPTING TO FORCE US TO GIVE OUR CHILDREN TOXIC INGREDIENTS IN VACCINES

¹⁹ See report on NACCHO, their activities and push for removal of religious exemptions to vaccinations in schools nationwide, primarily financed by the CDC, at <https://organicconsumers.org/cdcs-role-undoing-vaccine-exemptions-naccho-front-group/>.

²⁰ See Thompson's confession to Dr. Brian Hooker in the trailer for the documentary, "Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe," at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/d6v6fNRlgAN3>.

DIRECTLY INTO THEIR BLOODSTREAM. FURTHER, THE STATES MENTIONED HEREIN ARE ALSO INFRINGING ON OUR RELIGIOUS FREEDOM TO TAKE OUR CHILDREN TO A SCHOOL OF OUR CHOICE WITHOUT HAVING TO BE FORCED TO VACCINATE THEM. ALSO, THEY ARE AFFECTING MY PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF EXPENSES RELATED TO TIME INVESTED IN THIS FIGHT FOR MY CONSCIENCE AND RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND FORCING US TO HOME SCHOOL OUR CHILDREN AT OUR EXPENSE, WHILE BEING TAXED TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM.²¹

In my particular case, I was forced to move from San Diego, CA, to Yuma, AZ, to avoid vaccine mandates and compulsory/forced vaccination at two of my children's schools in California.

- (4) **8TH Amendment:** *"Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."*

STATES THAT DO NOT ALLOW RELIGIOUS EXEMPTIONS TO VACCINATION ARE INFLICTING CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT AGAINST US AND OUR CHILDREN IN THE FORM OF (a) DEPRIVATION OF EDUCATION, WHICH CAN LEAVE A CHILD BEING COMPLETELY UNPREPARED TO FACE LIFE AND ITS OBLIGATIONS; (b) BY TRYING TO FORCE US THROUGH THREAT OF PUNISHMENT IN THE FORM OF DENIED SCHOOLING TO HAVE OUR CHILDREN VACCINATED AGAINST OUR WILL, WHICH CAN RESULT IN SERIOUS INJURY, SUCH AS ENCEPHALITIS, GUILLAIN-BARRÉ SYNDROME, SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME, SEVERE AUTISM AND EVEN DEATH, AS NOTED IN THE VACCINE MANUFACTURERS OWN VACCINE INSERTS; AND (c) BY INFLICTING UNJUSTIFIED MENTAL ANGUISH, GRIEF, AND SUFFERING, EMOTIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS, SEVERE ANXIETY, DEPRESSION, INSOMNIA AND HUMILIATION FROM THOSE THAT ATTACK US FOR TRYING TO DEFEND OUR RELIGIOUS RIGHTS.²²

- (5) **9TH Amendment:** *"The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."*

THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHICH OTHER STATES' CONSTITUTIONS REFLECT AND WHERE SUCH STATES' AUTHORITY COMES FROM, NEVER MENTIONS NOR GIVES THE RIGHT TO ANY GOVERNMENT, THEIR AGENTS, OR ANY FICTITIOUS ENTITY CREATED UNDER IT, DE FACTO OR DE JURE, TO FORCE ME OR MY CHILDREN TO UNDERGO ANY MEDICAL PROCEDURE AGAINST OUR WILL, VIOLATING OUR RELIGIOUS RIGHTS, IN PARTICULAR WHERE OUR CHILDREN DO NOT SHOW ANY SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS OF MINOR OR SERIOUS DISEASE AS ARE PURPORTED TO BE CONTROLLED BY SUCH CHILDHOOD VACCINES.

²¹ See all my efforts to stop mandatory vaccination programs, legislation and laws, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/vaccines>.

²² See my expose on the fraud of Big Pharma's claim of vaccine safety and some of the people behind attacking all those that bring it to the attention of our citizens, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/Defending-Against-Pro-Coerced-Vaccination-Activists.pdf>.

NEITHER FEDERAL OR STATE GOVERNMENTS HAVE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO FORCE ME TO VACCINATE MY CHILDREN AND RISK POTENTIAL VACCINE INJURY AGAINST MY CONSCIENCE AND RELIGIOUS FREEDOM BELIEFS AND RIGHTS.²³ WE RETAIN OUR RIGHT TO BODILY AUTONOMY AND FREEDOM OF CHOICE UNDER GOD'S LAW, WHICH IS THE LAW OF ALL INHABITED LANDS IN THE UNIVERSE, GOD'S CREATION, AND UNDER HIS CONTROL AND DOMINION.

RELIGIOUS VS. SECULAR EXEMPTIONS TO VACCINATION – DISCRIMINATION

The following are state legislations that allow secular exemptions to vaccination, but prohibit religious exemptions to vaccination:

CALIFORNIA

Senate Bill 277 (2015): Eliminated personal belief and religious exemptions for required school vaccines.

Senate Bill 792 (2015): Requires vaccinations for employees and volunteers at child daycare centers and family child care homes.

CONNECTICUT

House Bill No. 6423 - Public Act No. 21-6 (2021): Removed non-medical (religious) exemptions, meaning only medical exemptions are accepted for required school vaccines.

MAIN

LD 798 - Public Law 154 (2019): Requires students in public and private schools, colleges, and nurseries to be vaccinated, removing all non-medical exemptions (religious or philosophical).

NEW YORK

Senate Bill 2994A (2019): Eliminated all nonmedical exemptions, meaning only valid medical exemptions are permitted, with no religious exceptions.

WEST VIRGINIA

Code §16-3-4 (2015): Requires students entering school (K-12) to be vaccinated, with only medical exemptions allowed.

²³ See California Constitution as an example. Article 1, section 4 states: "Free exercise and enjoyment of religion without discrimination or preference are guaranteed." Article 1, section 1 states: "ALL PEOPLE ARE BY NATURE FREE AND INDEPENDENT AND HAVE INALIENABLE RIGHTS. AMONG THESE ARE ENJOYING AND DEFENDING LIFE AND LIBERTY, ACQUIRING, POSSESSING, AND PROTECTING PROPERTY, AND PURSUING AND OBTAINING SAFETY, HAPPINESS, AND PRIVACY." Article 9, section 1 states: "A general diffusion of knowledge and intelligence being essential to the preservation of the rights and liberties of the people, the Legislature shall encourage by all suitable means the promotion of intellectual, scientific, moral, and agricultural improvement." Article 1, section 7 states: "A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws."

The above pieces of legislation are unconstitutional because they discriminate against individuals demanding religious exemptions.

In April 2023, a U.S. District Court judge ordered Mississippi to allow religious exemptions for school vaccinations, finding that the state's lack of such an exemption likely violated the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment. See *Bosarge v. Edney*, 669 F. Supp. 3d 598 (S.D. Miss. 2023).²⁴

The court found that because the state allowed medical exemptions — a secular, individualized exemption process — but not religious ones, it was not a "neutral" or "generally applicable" law. Treating secular, medical, non-vaccination arguments more favorably than religious ones was deemed discriminatory.

Plaintiffs, represented by the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN), argued that the mandatory vaccination law infringed upon their sincerely held religious beliefs. It was argued that Mississippi was an outlier, being one of the few states in the country without a religious exemption, which violated the constitutional rights of parents to act according to their faith.

Following the ruling in *Bosarge v. Edney*, Mississippi now requires a specific form (139-R) and that parents/guardians watch an educational video regarding vaccines to obtain a religious exemption.²⁵

While the ruling in *Bosarge* has a sound foundation in constitutional law and would most likely be upheld in any possible U.S. Supreme Court challenge, it is my belief that once the argument is made and accepted, that states cannot allow only secular exemptions to vaccination and still discriminate against those demanding religious exemptions, then the constitutional right to a religious exemption should be granted unconditionally, with no other requirement than a parent or guardian of a child, or an employee, simply making his or her wishes known to any school or employer, whether verbally, using a school or employment form to that effect, or writing his or her own letter requesting the religious exemption, without the need to speak with a doctor, read any educational material, or watch a video on the subject.²⁶

ADDITIONAL CASE LAW IN SUPPORT OF PERSONAL, CONSCIENCE AND RELIGIOUS EXEMPTIONS TO VACCINATION - MILITARY

1. *U.S. Navy SEALs 1-26 v. Biden* (5th Cir. 2022-2023): A federal district court in Texas first granted a preliminary injunction against the Navy for its "near-categorical" denial of religious exemptions. The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld this, ruling that the RFRA claims were meritorious because the Navy failed to demonstrate that forcing vaccination on those with religious objections was the least restrictive means of achieving military readiness.

2. *Doster v. Kendall* (S.D. Ohio, 2022): A federal judge certified a class-action lawsuit for Air Force personnel and issued a preliminary injunction, blocking the Air Force from punishing thousands of troops who applied for religious waivers.

²⁴ See the ruling in *Bosarge v. Edney*, at <https://case-law.vlex.com/vid/bosarge-v-edney-1048830356>.

²⁵ See the vaccine religious exemption form in Mississippi, at <https://msdh.ms.gov/page/resources/19963.pdf>.

²⁶ See California Governor Edmund Gerald "Jerry" Brown Jr.'s signing order for Assembly Bill 2109, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/brown-vacc-signing-orders.pdf>.

3. *Robert P. v. Austin* (M.D. Ga., 2022): A federal judge in Georgia granted an injunction to an Air Force officer, calling the Air Force's religious accommodation process "illusory and insincere".

4. Libby Counsel/Individual Cases (2022): In Tampa, a federal judge granted a preliminary injunction for a Navy commander and a Marine Lieutenant Colonel, ruling that the mandate placed an undue burden on their sincere religious beliefs.

THE ARGUMENT AGAINST JACOBSON V. MASSACHUSETTS

While some states may argue that it is the law of the land that states can mandate vaccines and prohibit religious exemptions, such argument is based on a 1905 U.S. Supreme Court case that is outdated, and which has not been properly challenged in modern times. See *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11. If argued properly, I believe that the court would rule that *Jacobson* is distinguishable and no longer controlling, like it did in the case of *Roe* and *Chevron*, due to inappropriate application of the law and/or additional present-day information and facts.²⁷

In *Jacobson*, which simply addressed a local health order that imposed a \$5 penalty for refusing to vaccinate against smallpox, the Supreme Court noted two important things in their ruling that form the basis for their conclusion that the government can issue and enforce vaccine mandates:


“There is, of course, a sphere within which the individual may assert the supremacy of his own will and rightfully dispute the authority of any human government, especially of any free government existing under a written constitution, to interfere with the exercise of that will.”

“But it is equally true that, in every well-ordered society charged with the duty of conserving the safety of its members the rights of the individual in respect of his liberty may at times, under the pressure of great dangers, be subjected to such restraint, to be enforced by reasonable regulations, as the safety of the general public may demand.”

As is proven herein, vaccines can and do cause injury, which is not in the general public's interest. In the time of *Jacobson*, we did not have the knowledge of vaccine injury we have now, we did not have access to the internet that allows us to compare all the worldwide data that proves common vaccine injuries, and we did not have Freedom of Information Act laws that allowed us to inspect government records to determine if vaccines injuries existed and were purposely hidden from the general public – **It Is The Duty Of The State To Conserve the Safety of Its Members. Vaccines Pose Great Dangers To Those Taking It.**²⁸

²⁷ See *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U. S. 113. See also *Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo*, 603 U.S. 369.

²⁸ See my petition to the U.S. Supreme Court requesting that they take up a case challenging vaccine religious exemptions and for them to reconsider their ruling in *Jacobson*, based on newly available facts and evidence of vaccine harm and corrupt and captured government health agencies hiding such dangers, as has many times been noted and confirmed by Secretary Kennedy, at <https://thenaturallawchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/Supreme-Court-Time-to-Overturn-Jacobson.pdf>,



FETAL CELLS AND DNA IN VACCINES

Finally, while I have focused this complaint on vaccine injury, it is also me and my Class's religious belief that it is unholy, an abomination before God, for us or our children to be injected with vaccines that contain healthy aborted fetal tissue matter, including DNA, which in itself is unhealthy for our body.

A study published in the September 2014 volume of the Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology reveals a significant correlation between autism disorder (AD) and MMR, Varicella (chickenpox) and Hepatitis-A vaccines. Dr. Deisher's team discovered that the fetal DNA levels ranged anywhere from 142ng – 2000ng per dose, way beyond the so-called "safe" level".²⁹

Big pharma tries its best to deny that vaccines contain fetal tissue, but the evidence is there, including Dr. Stanley Plotkin's testimony that in some of his studies, aborted fetal tissue was used, using chopped-up tissue from the pituitary gland, the lungs, the skin, the kidneys, spleen, heart, and tongue.³⁰

In China, a new aborted fetal cell line, WALVAX 2, is used for viral vaccine production. WALVAX 2 is taken from the lung tissue of a 3-month gestation female who was ultimately selected from among 9 aborted babies. The scientists noted how they followed specific guidelines to mimic WI-38 and MRC-5 in selecting the aborted babies, ranging from 2-4 months gestation. **They further noted how they induced labor using a "water bag" abortion to shorten the delivery time and prevent the death of the fetus to ensure live intact organs**

²⁹ See report titled, "Study Links Autism to Vaccines Made With Cells From Aborted Babies," at https://www.lifenews.com/2014/09/09/study-links-autism-to-vaccines-made-with-cells-from-aborted-babies/?fbclid=IwZXh0bgNhZW0CMTAAYnJpZBExQjloWEJ3Z1pNeGlBNlcxQnNydGMGYXBwX2lkEDlyMjAOT E3ODgyMDA4OTIAAR7Jhcrp-6gd8b0p3oE-Ef_7KV8WxKTqTCBLUBg5V_WAjG0_6Y0CRW6-v9C3NA_aem_2c2ZMWXKQGDxjpr7Mt832Q.

³⁰ See Dr. Plotkin's deposition confirming the use of fetal tissue in the manufacturing of vaccines, at <https://thehighwire.com/ark-videos/aborted-fetal-tissue-in-vaccines/>.

which were immediately sent to the labs for cell preparation.³¹ Abortion is against our religious beliefs, because it involves the killing of an unborn child, which justifies our objection.

REMEDY AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Based on the above, I request that the DOJ and HHS take legal action on my behalf and that of all Children of God and those in my Class, and that they initiate an investigation in the states of California, Connecticut, Maine, New York, and West Virginia, with their appropriate authorities, including their governor, his or her administrations, and legislative bodies, and that they demand that such states eliminate all laws, legislation and regulations that limit in any way me and my Class's ability to obtain religious exemptions from vaccination for any reason, to attend school, work, or otherwise.

I further request that all federal funding be denied, halted, deferred, and/or withheld from any state that refuses to unconditionally grant religious exemptions to vaccination in order to attend school, work, or otherwise until such time as all vaccine mandates are legally terminated, both by executive order for immediate application, and through the legislative process, for permanent application.

CONSENT TO INVESTIGATE

I, Ricardo Beas, give permission to DOJ and HHS to reveal my identity or to provide identifying information about me to persons at the entity or state under investigation or to other relevant persons, agencies, or entities during any part of DOJ and HHS investigation, conciliation, or enforcement process regarding my complaint.

I reserve the right to hire legal counsel to represent me in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Ricardo Beas
A Child of God
Pastor
Natural Law Church of Health and Healing

NOTE: DOJ AND HHS RESPONSES BELOW

³¹ See article titled, "New Aborted Fetal Cell Line Emerges for Vaccine Production," at <https://vaccineimpact.com/2015/new-fetal-cell-line-from-live-abortion-emerges-for-vaccine-production/>.

DOJ RESPONSE REFUSING TO INVESTIGATE



U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division

Ricardo Beas

Washington, DC 20530

April 8, 2026

Dear Ricardo Beas,

You contacted the Department of Justice on April 6, 2026. After careful review of what you submitted, we have decided not to take any further action on your complaint.

What we did:

Team members from the Civil Rights Division reviewed the information you submitted. Based on this information, our team determined that the situation you described does not appear to be within the jurisdiction of the federal civil rights laws we enforce. For that reason and in the exercise of our enforcement discretion, the Division will take no further action regarding your correspondence. Even when the Division has jurisdiction over a particular matter, it is within the Division's discretion to determine whether to pursue a particular matter for various reasons including the availability of evidence, among other considerations.

Your report number is 753003-QSN.

What you can do:

Your issue may be covered by other federal, state, or local laws that we do not have the authority to enforce. We are not determining that your report lacks merit.